



T-1

Kansas Laws to Eliminate Deficit Spending

T-2

Local Demand Transfers

T-3

District Court Docket Fees

J.G. Scott
Chief Fiscal Analyst
785-296-3181
JG.Scott@klrd.ks.gov

Kansas Legislator Briefing Book 2014

State Finance

T-1 Kansas Laws to Eliminate Deficit Spending

Various laws or statutory sections are designed to provide certain safeguards with respect to state budgeting and managing of expenditures, and to prevent deficit financing. These laws and statutes are summarized below.

Constitutional Provisions

Sometimes certain provisions of the *Kansas Constitution* are cited with regard to financial limitations. For instance, Section 24 of Article 2 says that “No money shall be drawn from the treasury except in pursuance of a specific appropriation made by law.”

Section 4 of Article 11 states “The Legislature shall provide, at each regular session, for raising sufficient revenue to defray the current expenses of the state for two years.”

Sections 6 and 7 of Article 11 relate to incurring public debt for the purpose of defraying extraordinary expenses and making public improvements. Such debt shall not, in the aggregate, exceed \$1 million without voter approval of a law passed by the Legislature. The Kansas Supreme Court, in several cases over the years, has said these sections apply only to debts payable from the levy of general property taxes and thus do not prohibit issuance of revenue bonds to be amortized from non-property tax sources.

Unencumbered Balance Required

KSA 75-3730, enacted in 1953, states that all commitments and claims shall be preaudited by the Division of Accounts and Reports as provided in KSA 75-3731. “No payment shall be made and no obligation shall be incurred against any fund, allotment, or appropriation, except liabilities representing the expenses of the legislature, unless the Director of Accounts and Reports shall first certify that his or her records disclose there is a sufficient unencumbered balance available in such fund, allotment, or appropriation to meet the same.”

State General Fund Ending Balance Law

Part of 1990 HB 2867 (then KSA 75-6704) provided that the Governor and Legislature must target year-end State General Fund balances

expressed as a percentage of fiscal year expenditures and demand transfers, as follows: at least 5 percent for FY 1992, 6 percent for FY 1993, 7 percent for FY 1994, and 7.5 percent for FY 1995 and thereafter (now KSA 75-6702). Beginning in the 1992 Legislative Session, an “Omnibus Reconciliation Spending Limit Bill” is to be relied upon to reconcile total State General Fund expenditures and demand transfers to the applicable ending balance target. The law does not require any future action by the Governor or Legislature if the target is missed when actual data on receipts, expenditures, and the year-end balance become known.

Allotment System

The allotment system statutes (KSA 75-3722 through 3725) were enacted in 1953 as part of the law which created the Department of Administration. In response to a request from Governor Carlin, the Attorney General issued an opinion (No. 82-160) on July 26, 1982, which sets forth some of the things that can and cannot be done under the allotment system statutes. Some of the key points in that opinion are:

- With certain exceptions, noted below, the Governor (through the Secretary of Administration and Director of the Budget) has broad discretion in the application of allotments in order to avoid a situation where expenditures in a fiscal year would exceed the resources of the State General Fund or a special revenue fund. Allotments need not be applied equally or on a *pro rata* basis to all appropriations from, for example, the State General Fund. Thus, the Governor may pick and choose “as long as such discretion is not abused.”
- Demand transfers from the State General Fund to another fund are not subject to the allotment system because technically, appropriations are made from the other fund and not the State General Fund. Such transfers include those to the Local Ad Valorem Tax Reduction Fund, County and City Revenue Sharing Fund, City-County Highway Fund, State Highway

Fund, State Water Plan Fund, and School District Capital Improvements Fund.

- The allotment system cannot be used in any fiscal year for the purpose of increasing the year-ending balance of a fund nor for controlling cash shortages that might occur at any time within a fiscal year. Thus, if a “deficit” were to be projected at the end of the fiscal year, the allotment system could be used to restore the State General Fund balance to zero.

The Legislature and the Courts and their officers and employees are exempt from the allotment system under KSA 75-3722.

The \$100 Million Balance Provision

Part of 1990 HB 2867 (KSA 75-6704) authorizes the Governor to issue an executive order or orders, with approval of the State Finance Council, to reduce State General Fund expenditures and demand transfers if the estimated year-end balance in the State General Fund is less than \$100 million. The Director of the Budget must continuously monitor receipts and expenditures and certify to the Governor the amount of reduction in expenditures and demand transfers that would be required to keep the year-end balance from falling below \$100 million. Debt service costs, the State General Fund contribution to school employees retirement (KPERs-School), and the demand transfer to the School District Capital Improvements Fund created in 1992 are not subject to reduction.

If the Governor decides to make reductions, they must be on a percentage basis applied equally to all items of appropriations and demand transfers, *i.e.*, across-the-board with no exceptions other than the three mentioned above. In contrast to the allotment system law, all demand transfers but one are subject to reduction.

In August 1991 (FY 1992), the Governor issued an executive directive, with the approval of the State Finance Council, to reduce State General Fund expenditures (except debt service and the KPERs-School employer contributions) by 1 percent. At the time of the State Finance Council action, the

projected State General Fund ending balance was projected at approximately \$76 million.

Certificates of Indebtedness

KSA 75-3725a, first enacted in 1970, authorizes the State Finance Council to order the Pooled Money Investment Board (PMIB) to issue a certificate of indebtedness when the estimated resources of the State General Fund will be sufficient to meet in full the authorized expenditures and obligations of the State General Fund for an entire fiscal year, but insufficient to meet such expenditures and obligations fully as they become due during certain months of a fiscal year. The certificate must be redeemed from the State General Fund no later than June 30 of the same fiscal year in which it was issued. If necessary, more than one certificate may be issued in a fiscal year. No interest is charged to the State General Fund. However, to whatever extent the amount of a certificate results in greater spending from the State General Fund than would occur if expenditures had to be delayed, there may be some reductions in interest earnings that otherwise would accrue to the State General Fund.

To cover cash flow issues, the State Finance Council authorized issuance of certificates of indebtedness, as follows:

- \$65 million in December FY 1983;
- \$30 million in October FY 1984;
- \$75 million in April FY 1986;
- \$75 million in July FY 1987;
- \$140 million in December FY 1987 (replaced the July certificate);
- \$75 million in November FY 1992;
- \$150 million in January FY 2000;

- \$150 million in January FY 2001;
- \$150 million in September FY 2002;
- \$200 million in December FY 2002;
- \$450 million in July FY 2003;
- \$450 million in July FY 2004;
- \$450 million in July FY 2005;
- \$450 million in July FY 2006 ;
- \$200 million in December FY 2007;
- \$350 million in December FY 2008;
- \$300 million in June FY 2009;
- \$250 million in December FY 2009;
- \$225 million in February FY 2009;
- \$700 million in July FY 2010;
- \$700 million in July FY 2011;
- \$600 million in July FY 2012;
- \$400 million in July FY 2013; and
- \$300 million in July FY 2014.

The amount of a certificate is not “borrowed” from any particular fund or group of funds. Rather, it is simply a paper transaction by which the State General Fund is temporarily credited with the amount of the certificate and state moneys available for investment and managed by the PMIB. The PMIB is responsible under the state moneys for investing available moneys of all agencies and funds, as well as for maintaining an operating account to pay daily bills of the state. (Kansas Public Employee Retirement System invested money is not part of “state moneys available for investment” nor is certain money required to be separately invested by the PMIB under statutes other than the state moneys law.)

Certificates of indebtedness could be used if allotments were imposed or if expenditures were reduced under the \$100 million balance provision, or if neither such action were taken.

For more information, please contact:

J.G. Scott, Chief Fiscal Analyst
JG.Scott@klrd.ks.gov

Dylan Dear, Principal Fiscal Analyst
Dylan.Dear@klrd.ks.gov

Kansas Legislative Research Department
300 SW 10th Ave., Room 68-West, Statehouse
Topeka, KS 66612
Phone: (785) 296-3181
Fax: (785) 296-3824