Kansas Legislative Research

F-1 Kansas Prison Population and Capacity

Department

F-2 Sentencing

Robert Gallimore Principal Research Analyst 785-296-3181 Robert.Gallimore@klrd.ks.gov

Kansas Legislator Briefing Book 2016

Corrections

F-2 Sentencing

The Kansas Sentencing Guidelines Act (KSGA) became effective July 1, 1993. Two grids, which contain the sentencing range for drug crimes and nondrug crimes, were developed for use as a tool in sentencing. The sentencing guidelines grids provide practitioners in the criminal justice system with an overview of presumptive felony sentences. The determination of a felony sentence is based on two factors: the current crime of conviction and the offender's prior criminal history. The sentence contained in the grid box at the juncture of the severity level of the crime of conviction and the offender's criminal history category is the presumed sentence. See KSA 21-6804(c).

Off-Grid Crimes

The crimes of capital murder (KSA 21-5401), murder in the first degree (KSA 21-5402), terrorism (KSA 21-5421), illegal use of weapons of mass destruction (KSA 21-5422), and treason (KSA 21-5901) are designated as off-grid person crimes.

Kansas law provides for the imposition of the death penalty, under certain circumstances, for a conviction of capital murder. See KSA 21-5401 and KSA 21-6617. Where the death penalty is not imposed, a conviction of capital murder carries a life sentence without possibility of parole. See KSA 21-6620(a).

The remaining off-grid person crimes require life sentences with varying parole eligibility periods. Persons convicted of premeditated first-degree murder committed prior to July 1, 2014, are eligible for parole after serving 25 years of the life sentence, unless the trier of fact finds there were aggravating circumstances justifying the imposition of the "Hard 50" sentence (requiring 50 years to be served before parole eligibility).

Persons convicted of premeditated first-degree murder committed on or after July 1, 2014, are eligible for parole after serving 50 years of the life sentence, unless the sentencing judge, after a review of mitigating circumstances, finds substantial and compelling reasons to impose the "Hard 25" sentence instead. See KSA 21-6620(c).

Persons convicted of felony murder committed prior to July 1, 2014, are parole eligible after serving 20 years of the life sentence. Persons convicted of felony murder convicted on or after July 1, 2014, are parole eligible after serving 25 years of the life sentence.

Persons convicted of terrorism, illegal use of weapons of mass destruction, or treason are parole eligible after serving 20 years of the life sentence. See KSA 22-3717(b)(2).

Also included in the off-grid group are certain sex offenses against victims under the age of 14: aggravated human trafficking (KSA 21-5426(b)), rape (KSA 21-5503), aggravated indecent liberties (KSA 21-5506(b)), aggravated criminal sodomy (KSA 21-5504(b)), commercial sexual exploitation of a child (KSA 21-6422), and sexual exploitation of a child (KSA 21-6422), and sexual exploitation of a child (KSA 21-5510). Offenders sentenced for these off-grid crimes are parole eligible after 25 years in confinement for the first offense, parole eligible after 40 years in confinement for the second offense, or sentenced to life without parole if they have been convicted of two or more of these offenses in the past.

Drug Grid and Nondrug Grid

The drug grid is used for sentencing on drug crimes described in KSA Chapter 21, Article 57. The nondrug grid is used for sentencing on other felony crimes. In both grids, the criminal history categories make up the horizontal axis, and the crime severity levels make up the vertical axis. Each grid contains nine criminal history categories.

The drug grid contains five severity levels; the nondrug grid contains ten severity levels. A thick, black dispositional line cuts across both grids. Above the dispositional line are unshaded grid boxes, which are designated as presumptive prison sentences. Below the dispositional line are shaded grid boxes, which are designated as presumptive probation sentences.

The grids also contain boxes that have a darkshaded color through them, which are referred to as "border boxes." A border box has a presumptive prison sentence, but the sentencing court may choose to impose an optional nonprison sentence, which will not constitute a departure. The nondrug grid contains three border boxes, in levels 5-H, 5-I, and 6-G. The drug grid contains seven dark shaded border boxes, in levels 4-E, 4-F, 4-G, 4-H, 4-I, 5-C, and 5-D. See KSA 21-6804 and KSA 21-6805.

Grid Boxes

Within each grid box are three numbers, representing months of imprisonment. The three numbers provide the sentencing court with a range for sentencing. The sentencing court has discretion to sentence within the range. The middle number in the grid box is the standard number and is intended to be the appropriate sentence for typical cases. The upper and lower numbers should be used for cases involving aggravating or mitigating factors sufficient to warrant a departure, as explained in the next paragraph. See KSA 21-6804 and 21-6805.

The sentencing court may depart upward to increase the length of a sentence up to double the duration within the grid box. The court also may depart downward to lower the duration of a presumptive sentence. See KSA 21-6815, 21-6816, and 21-6817. The court also may impose a dispositional departure, from prison to probation or from probation to prison. See KSA 21-6818.

In State v. Gould, 271 Kan. 394, 23 P.3d 801 (2001), the predecessor to KSA 21-6815 was found to be "unconstitutional on its face" for the imposition of upward durational departure sentences by a judge and not a jury. In the 2002 Legislative Session, the departure provisions were amended to correct the upward durational departure problem arising from Gould, and this change became effective on June 6, 2002. The jury now determines all of the aggravating factors that might enhance the maximum sentence, based upon the reasonable doubt standard. The trial court determines if the presentation of evidence regarding the aggravating factors will be presented during the trial of the matter or in a bifurcated jury proceeding following the trial. See KSA 21-6817.

Sentencing Considerations

The sentencing court should consider all available alternatives in determining the appropriate sentence for each offender. The sentencing guidelines seek to establish equity among like offenders in similar case scenarios. Rehabilitative measures are still an integral part of the corrections process, and criminal justice professionals continue efforts to reestablish offenders within communities. The guidelines do not prohibit sentencing courts from departing from the prescribed sentence in atypical cases. The sentencing court is free to choose an appropriate sentence, or combination of sentences, for each case. See KSA 21-6604.

Good Time and Program Credits

While incarcerated, offenders may earn (and forfeit) "good time" credits based upon factors such as program and work participation, conduct, and the inmate's willingness to examine and confront past behavioral patterns that resulted in the commission of crimes. These credits reduce the time the offender spends in prison while increasing the time the offender spends in postrelease supervision. Depending on the severity level of the offender's crime, the offender may earn up to 15 percent or 20 percent of the prison part of the sentence in good time credits.

Additionally, offenders serving only a sentence for a nondrug severity level 4 or lower crime or a drug severity level 3 or lower crime may earn up to 90 days of credit that may be earned by inmates "for the successful completion of requirements for a general education diploma, a technical or vocational training program, a substance abuse treatment program or any other program designated by the secretary which has been shown to reduce offender's risk after release." With a few exceptions for certain sex-related offenses, any program credits earned and subtracted from an offender's prison sentence is not added to the postrelease supervision term. See KSA 21-6821.

Postrelease Supervision

Once offenders have served the prison portion of a sentence, most must serve a term of postrelease supervision, plus the amount of good time earned while incarcerated. For crimes committed on or after July 1, 2012, offenders sentenced for drug severity levels 1-3 or nondrug severity levels 1-4 must serve 36 months of postrelease supervision, those sentenced for drug severity level 4 or nondrug severity levels 5-6 must serve 24 months, and those sentenced for drug severity level 5 or nondrug severity levels 7-10 must serve 12 months. These periods may be reduced based on an offender's compliance and performance while on postrelease supervision. See KSA 22-3717(d) (1).

While on postrelease supervision, an offender must comply with the conditions of postrelease supervision, which include reporting requirements; compliance with laws; restrictions on possession and use of weapons, drugs, and alcohol; and education requirements; employment restrictions on contact with victims or persons involved in illegal activity; and other conditions. A "technical violation" of the conditions of postrelease supervision (such as failure to report) will result in imprisonment for six months, reduced by up to three months based upon the offender's conduct during the imprisonment. A violation based upon conviction of a new felony or a new misdemeanor will result in a period of confinement as determined by the Prisoner Review Board, up to the remaining balance of the postrelease supervision period. See KSA 75-5217.

Recent Notable Sentencing Guidelines Legislation

In 2006, the Kansas sentencing guidelines law dealing with upward departures was amended to add a new aggravating factor when the crime involved two or more participants and the defendant played a major role in the crime as an organizer, leader, recruiter, manager, or supervisor.

The law was amended further to add a new mitigating factor for defendants who have provided substantial assistance in the investigation or prosecution of another person who is alleged to have committed an offense. In considering this mitigating factor, the court may consider the following:

- The significance and usefulness of the defendant's assistance;
- The truthfulness, completeness, and reliability of any information;
- The nature and extent of the defendant's assistance;

- Any injury suffered, any danger of risk of injury to the defendant, or the defendant's family; and
- The timeliness of the assistance.

In 2008, the Kansas sentencing guidelines were amended to provide the following:

- No downward dispositional departure can be imposed for any crime of extreme sexual violence. A downward durational departure can be allowed for any crime of extreme sexual violence to no less than 50 percent of the center of the grid range of the sentence for such crime; and
- A sentencing judge cannot consider social factors as mitigating factors in determining whether substantial and compelling reasons exist for a downward departure.

In 2010, the Kansas Criminal Code, including the sentencing guidelines, was recodified. The recodification took effect July 1, 2011. The citations in this article are to the recodified code.

In 2012, the Legislature passed Senate Sub. for Sub. for HB 2318, which changed the drug grid from a four-level grid to a five-level grid, adding a new level 2 with penalties falling between the existing first and second levels of the grid. The new grid also expanded the presumptive imprisonment boxes and the border boxes.

In June 2013, the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Alleyne v. U.S.*, 570 U.S. ____, 133 S. Ct. 2151, 186 L. Ed. 2D 314 (2013), called the constitutionality of Kansas' "Hard 50" sentencing statute (KSA 21-6620) into doubt. Since 1994, in cases where a defendant was convicted of premeditated first degree murder, the statute had allowed the sentencing court to impose a life sentence without eligibility for parole for 50 years when the judge found one or more aggravating factors were present. The *Alleyne* decision indicated that such determinations must be made by the trier of fact (usually a jury) using a reasonable doubt standard, rather than by the sentencing judge.

In response to the *Alleyne* decision, Kansas Attorney General Derek Schmidt requested

Governor Sam Brownback call the Kansas Legislature into Special Session "for the purpose of repairing" the Hard 50 sentence. The Governor subsequently called the Legislature into Special Session starting September 3, 2013, to respond to *Alleyne*.

Before the 2013 Special Session, the Special Committee on Judiciary met to review *Alleyne*, receive testimony, and report preliminary findings to the House and Senate Judiciary Committees at the commencement of the Special Session. The Special Committee recommended language for a bill that would institute a jury procedure for the Hard 50 determination.

At the Special Session, the Legislature considered and passed HB 2002, which was an amended version of the language proposed by the Special Committee. HB 2002 went into effect upon its publication in the *Kansas Register* (September 6, 2013).

In 2014, the Legislature passed HB 2490, which included amendments to the sentencing provisions for premeditated first-degree murder, attempted capital murder, and felony murder.

The bill increased the default sentence for premeditated first-degree murder committed on or after July 1, 2014, from the Hard 25 sentence to the Hard 50 sentence. The sentencing judge may impose the Hard 25 sentence if the judge reviews mitigating factors and finds substantial and compelling reasons to impose the lesser sentence.

The bill also imposed the Hard 25 sentence for attempted capital murder (previously a severity level 1 felony) and felony murder (previously a Hard 20 sentence).

If a defendant's criminal history when sentenced for any of these crimes would subject the defendant to imprisonment for a term exceeding the Hard 50 or Hard 25 sentence (as applicable), then the defendant will be required to serve the mandatory minimum term equal to the sentence established under the sentencing guidelines.

In 2015, the Legislature passed HB 2051, which increased the amount of good time inmates

sentenced for post-July 1, 2012, drug severity level 3 crimes may earn, to try to restore the general good time eligibility criteria to a similar state as it existed before the 2012 changes to the drug grid. The bill also increased the amount of time that may be earned by any eligible inmate for program credits from 60 days to 90 days. The source for the attached sentencing range grid for drug offenses and nondrug offenses is the *Kansas Sentencing Commission Guidelines, Desk Reference Manual, 2014.*

For more information, please contact: Robert Gallimore, Principal Research Analyst Robert.Gallimore@klrd.ks.gov Natalie Teemer-Washington, Research Analyst Natalie.Teemer-Washington@klrd.ks.gov Kansas Legislative Research Department 300 SW 10th Ave., Room 68-West, Statehouse Topeka, KS 66612 Phone: (785) 296-3181

Fax: (785) 296-3824

2016 Briefing Book

Categories <i>→</i>	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I
Severity Level ↓	3 + Person Felonies	2 Person Felonies	1 Person & 1 Nonperson Felony	1 Person Felony	3 + Nonperson Felonies	2 Nonperson Felonies	1 Nonperson Felony	2 + Misdemeanors	1 Misdemeanor No Record
	204	196	187	179	170	167	162	161	154
I	194	186	178	170	162	158	154	150	146
	185	176	169	161	154	150	146	142	138
	144	137	130	124	116	113	110	108	103
I	136	130	123	117	111	108	104	100	98
	130	122	117	111	105	101	99	96	92
	83	77	72	68	62	59	57	54	51
III	78	73	68	64	59	56	54	51	49
	74	68	65	60	55	52	51	49	46
	51	47	42	36	32	26	23	19	16
IV	49	44	40	34	30	24	22	18	15
	46	41	37	32	28	23	20	17	14
	42	36	32	26	22	18	16	14	12
v	40	34	30	24	20	17	15	13	11
	37	32	28	23	18	16	14	12	10
Presumptive P	robation					•	•	•	•

SENTENCING RANGE- DRUG OFFENSES

Presumptive Probation Border Box

•Fines not to exceed \$500,000 (SL1-SL2), \$300,000 (SL3-SL4), \$100,000 (SL5)

Presumptive Imprisonment

•Severity level of offense increases one level if controlled substance or analog is distributed or possessed w/ intent to distribute on or w/in 1000 ft of any school property.

	Distribute o	r Possess w/ inter	nt to Distribute						
Levels	Cocaine	Meth & Heroin	Marijuana	Manufacture (all)	Cultivate	Dosage Units	Postrelease	Probation	Good Time
Ι	≥ 1 kg	≥ 100 g	≥ 30 kg	2nd or Meth	>100 plants	>1000	36	36	15%
II	100 g - 1 kg	3.5 g - 100 g	450 g - 30 kg	1st	50-99 plants	100-999	36	36	15%
III	3.5 g - 100 g	1 g - 3.5 g	25 g - 450 g		5-49 plants	10-99	36	36	**20%
IV	< 3.5 g	< 1 g	< 25 g			<10	24	≤ 18	20%
v	Possession		Possession-2nd offense				12	*≤12	20%

* \leq 18 months for 2003 SB123 offenders

** Effective July 1, 2015 - retroactive

F-2 Sentencing

SENTENCING RANGE - NONDRUG OFFENSES Α В С D Е \mathbf{F} G н Ι Category \rightarrow 3+ 1 Person & 3+ 2+ Severity Level Person Person 1 Nonperson Person Nonperson Nonperson Nonperson Misdemeanor Misdemeanor Felonies Felonies Felonies Felony Felonies Felonies Felony No Record Ι Π III IV v VI VII VIII IX Х

36 months recommended for felonies classified in Severity Levels 1-5

24 months recommended for felonies classified in Severity Levels 6-7

18 months (up to) for felonies classified in Severity Level 8

12 months (up to) for felonies classified in Severity Levels 9-10

Postrelease Supervision Terms are:

36 months for felonies classified in Severity Levels 1-4

24 months for felonies classified in Severity Levels 5-6

12 months for felonies classified in Severity Levels 7-10

Postrelease for felonies committed before 4/20/95 are: 24 months for felonies classified in Severity Levels 1-6

12 months for felonies classified in Severity Level 7-10

KSG Desk Reference Manual 2015 Appendix E

LEGEND
Presumptive Probation
Border Box
Presumptive Imprisonment

Kansas Legislative Research Department