


Proposed

Department of Agriculture, Division of Conservation  
Notice of Hearing on Proposed  
Administrative Regulations, Statewide



A public hearing will be conducted at 10:00 a.m., Tuesday, February 23, 2016, in the 1<sup>st</sup> floor meeting room 124 of the Kansas Department of Agriculture, 1320 Research Park Dr., Manhattan, Kansas, to consider the adoption of proposed regulations.

This 60-day notice of the public hearing shall constitute a public comment period for the purpose of receiving written public comments on the proposed rules and regulations. All interested parties may submit written comments prior to the hearing to the Secretary of Agriculture, 1320 Research Park Dr., Manhattan, Kansas, 66502, or by e-mail at [ronda.hutton@kda.ks.gov](mailto:ronda.hutton@kda.ks.gov). All interested parties will be given a reasonable opportunity to present their views orally on the adoption of the proposed regulations during the hearing. In order to give all parties an opportunity to present their views, it may be necessary to request that each participant limit any oral presentation to five minutes. These regulations are proposed for adoption on a permanent basis. A summary of the proposed regulations and their economic impact follows:

K.A.R. 4-5-4 is proposed by the Kansas Department of Agriculture and provides an improved service to agricultural lime users in Kansas. The Kansas Department of Agriculture (KDA) is in the process of updating the Kansas Administrative Regulations (K.A.R.'s) governing agricultural lime testing protocol to match that of Kansas State University (KSU) Soils Testing Laboratory. The 2015 Legislature approved the KDA submitted revisions to the Kansas Agricultural Liming Act to allow the KDA the authority to revise regulations to reflect the analysis procedures used by the KSU Soils Testing Laboratory. Prior to fiscal year 2014 the KDA used a different analyses method at the KDA lab in Topeka. The KSU Soils Lab and KDA feel the KSU method provides a more accurate analysis for agricultural lime consumers.

K.A.R. 4-5-1 and 4-5-2 are to be revoked as these provisions will be obsolete with the adoption of K.A.R. 4-5-4.

**Economic Impact Statement:**

The Kansas Legislature amended the Kansas Agricultural Liming Materials Act (K.S.A. 2-2901 et seq.) in the 2015 session at the request of KDA in order to have authority to adopt the KSU recommended testing protocols. This action allows the KDA authority to revise the agricultural liming regulation. The KSU analysis protocol differs from the current state regulation primarily in that the KSU method give the particles falling through the 60 mesh a full count or 100 percent credit as opposed to the current Kansas regulation giving it only a ½ or 50 percent credit. Under the current Kansas regulations equal (0.5) credit is given to particle sizes between 8 and 60 mesh, and those falling through 60 mesh. When using the current regulation the effective calcium carbonate (ECC) may be falsely low. The KSU calculation is superior to the current regulation because the finer the lime the quicker it will react with soil acidity. This change is a scientifically based proposal that provides a more accurate value of the (ECC).

The KDA realizes savings of approximately \$2.00 per sample by using the KSU Soils Laboratory rather than the KDA Laboratory. Approximately 70 samples are analyzed annually.

The KSU calculation is more accurate than the State of Kansas's written regulation because the finer the lime the quicker it will react with soil acidity. This is a scientifically backed observation. The current Kansas regulation written goes against this observation, by giving equal (0.5) credit to particle sizes between 8 and 60 mesh and those falling through 60 mesh. The current regulation could potentially fiscally impact agricultural lime producers by giving a falsely lower ECC for their product than the KSU protocols. Such a false result could have a negative financial impact on agricultural lime users because they would purchase more lime than is necessary. During this process, KDA has communicated with and sought input from various stakeholders, including major industry organizations, to ensure the proposed changes will result in a more accurate, environmentally safe and fiscally responsible agricultural lime regulation in Kansas.

No alternative methods were considered because of scientifically based recommendations of the KSU soils laboratory. There also would be minimal impact to the agency and other governmental agencies. These proposed regulations are not mandated by federal law.


The current regulation opens the door for potential over application of agricultural lime, based on a variety of factors including soil type. Over application of agricultural lime in no-till farming applications can cause soil pH to be too high in the top two to three inches of soil. The proposed regulation will reduce that risk by proving more accurate agricultural lime analysis.

**Proposed**

Any individual with a disability may request accommodations in order to participate in the public hearing and may request the proposed regulations and impact statements in an accessible format. Requests for accommodations should be made at least five working days in advance of the hearing by contacting Ronda Hutton at (785) 564-67153 or fax (785) 564-6777. Handicapped parking is located on the west side of the building located at 1320 Research Park Drive, Manhattan, and the west entrance to the building is accessible to individuals with disabilities.

Copies of the regulations and their economic impact statements may be obtained by contacting the Department of Agriculture, Ronda M. Hutton, 1320 Research Park Drive, Manhattan, KS 66502 or (785) 564-6715 or by accessing the department's Web site at [agriculture.ks.gov](http://agriculture.ks.gov). Comments may also be made through our website under the proposed regulation.

Jackie McClaskey  
Secretary  
Kansas Department of Agriculture



**Proposed**

**K.A.R. 4-5-1.** (Authorized by K.S.A. 1981 Supp. 2-2910; implementing K.S.A. 1981 Supp. 2-2902; effective May 1, 1982; revoked P-\_\_\_\_\_.)

RECEIVED  
DEC 07 2015  
KRIS W. KOBACH  
SECRETARY OF STATE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

NOV 09 2015

APPROVED BY 

APPROVED

AUG 18 2015

DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION

**Proposed**

**K.A.R. 4-5-2.** (Authorized by K.S.A. 1981 Supp. 2-2910; implementing K.S.A. 1981 Supp. 2-2903; effective May 1, 1982; revoked P-\_\_\_\_\_.)

RECEIVED  
DEC 07 2015  
KRIS W. KOBACH  
SECRETARY OF STATE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

NOV 09 2015

APPROVED BY *ef*

APPROVED

AUG 18 2015


DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION

**Proposed**

**K.A.R. 4-5-4. Agricultural liming material testing.** The process for determining the effective calcium carbonate equivalent of agricultural liming materials shall be in accordance with Kansas state university's document titled "Kansas state university soil testing lab agricultural liming material testing procedure," dated October 15, 2015, which is hereby adopted by reference.

(Authorized by K.S.A. 2-2910; implementing K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 2-2903 and 2-2907; effective

P-\_\_\_\_\_.)



ATTORNEY GENERAL

NOV 09 2015

APPROVED BY *sf*

APPROVED

OCT 20 2015

DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION

**KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
IMPACT STATEMENT  
Proposed Regulations  
K.A.R. 4-5-1 and 4-5-2 (Revoked) and  
K.A.R. 4-5-4 Agricultural Liming Material Testing (Proposed)**

**I. Summary of Proposed Regulation, Including Its Purpose.**

This draft rule is proposed by the Kansas Department of Agriculture and provides an improved service to agricultural lime users in Kansas. The Kansas Department of Agriculture (KDA) is in the process of updating the Kansas Administrative Regulations (K.A.R.'s) governing agricultural lime testing protocol to match that of Kansas State University (KSU) Soils Testing Laboratory. The 2015 Legislature approved the KDA submitted revisions to the Kansas Agricultural Liming Act to allow the KDA the authority to revise the K.A.R.'s to reflect the analysis procedures used by the KSU Soils Testing Laboratory. Prior to fiscal year 2014 the KDA used a different analyses method at the KDA lab in Topeka. The KSU Soils Lab and KDA feel the KSU method provides a more accurate analysis for agricultural lime consumers.


K.A.R. 4-5-1 and 4-5-2 are to be revoked as these provisions will be obsolete with the adoption of K.A.R. 4-5-4.

**II. Reason Or Reasons The Proposed Regulation Is Required, Including Whether Or Not The Regulation Is Mandated By Federal Law.**

The Kansas Legislature amended the Kansas Agricultural Liming Materials Act (K.S.A. 2-2901 et seq.) in the 2015 session at the request of KDA in order to have authority to adopt the KSU recommended testing protocols. This action allows the KDA authority to revise the ag liming regulation. The KSU analysis protocol differs from the current state regulation primarily in that the KSU method give the particles falling through the 60 mesh a full count or 100 percent credit as opposed to the current Kansas regulation giving it only a ½ or 50 percent credit. Under the current Kansas regulations equal (0.5) credit is given to particle sizes between 8 and 60 mesh, and those falling through 60 mesh. When using the current regulation the effective calcium carbonate (ECC) may be falsely low. The KSU calculation is superior to the current regulation because the finer the lime the quicker it will react with soil acidity. This change is a scientifically based proposal that provides a more accurate value of the (ECC).

**III. Anticipated Economic Impact Upon The Kansas Department Of Agriculture.**

The KDA realizes savings of approximately \$2.00 per sample by using the KSU Soils Laboratory rather than the KDA Laboratory. Approximately 70 samples are analyzed annually.



**IV. Anticipated Financial Impact Upon Other Governmental Agencies And Upon Private Business Or Individuals.**


The KSU calculation is more accurate than the State of Kansas's written regulation because the finer the lime the quicker it will react with soil acidity. This is a scientifically backed observation. The current Kansas regulation written goes against this observation, by giving equal (0.5) credit to particle sizes between 8 and 60 mesh and those falling through 60 mesh. The current regulation could potentially fiscally impact agricultural lime producers by giving a falsely lower ECC for their product than the KSU protocols. Such a false result could have a negative financial impact on agricultural lime users because they would purchase more lime than is necessary. During this process, KDA has communicated with and sought input from various stakeholders, including major industry organizations, to ensure the proposed changes will result in a more accurate, environmentally safe and fiscally responsible agricultural lime regulation in Kansas.

**V. Less Costly or Intrusive Methods That Were Considered, But Rejected, And the Reason For Rejection.**

No alternative methods were considered because of scientifically based recommendations of the KSU soils laboratory. There also would be minimal impact to the agency and other governmental agencies.

**VI. Environmental Impact**

The current regulation opens the door for potential over application of agricultural lime, based on a variety of factors including soil type. Over application of agricultural lime in no-till farming applications can cause soil pH to be too high in the top two to three inches of soil. The proposed regulation will reduce that risk by proving more accurate agricultural lime analysis.



**Proposed**

# Kansas State University Soil Testing Lab Agricultural Liming Material Testing Procedure

By Kansas State University

**October 15, 2015**


Moisture Content. The moisture content of ag lime materials varies widely as sampled and as delivered to customers. Current lime materials sold in Kansas vary in moisture content from a low of 1-5% moisture for "Dry Lime Products", to a high of 48 to 54% water/moisture for "Liquid Lime Products". To provide uniformity in reporting, and facilitate Kansas citizens determining the true neutralizing value of the product they are purchasing, all Ag Lime product analysis is reported on a dry basis. This requires the determination of moisture content on each sample.

## 1. Lime Moisture test Procedure:

- a. Label the tall, moisture sample specimen cup with Ag Lime sample ID. Weigh empty specimen cup and record weight (approx. 15 grams).
- b. Weigh 100 grams of the as received lime sample into the specimen cup. Record the weight of the sample plus the specimen cup (approx. 115 grams).
- c. Place the specimen cup with the moisture sub-sample of lime into the soil oven overnight or until the sample is completely dry. Drying is done at an oven temperature of 60° C
- d. When the moisture sub-sample is dry, weigh and record the weight of the dry sample and the specimen cup. Input weights into the lime calculation template to find the moisture percent. Calculation is made as follows:

Sample Wet = (Specimen Cup weight + Sample Wet weight) –  
Specimen Cup weight

Sample Dry = (Specimen Cup weight + Dry Sample weight ) –  
Specimen Cup weight



$$\text{Moisture \%} = (\text{Sample Wet weight} - \text{Sample Dry weight}) / \text{Sample Wet} * 100$$


Sample Fineness. Lime particle size influences the surface area of the particle available for reaction with soil acidity, and the rate at which lime will react. Coarse particles, those > than 8 mesh in size, react very slowly and will have little effect on soil pH, while fine particles, those < 60 mesh, will react very quickly, and will react completely with soil acidity. Thus finely ground limestone will react faster, and have a greater neutralizing value than coarse ground ag lime.

In Kansas, two screen sizes, an 8 mesh sieve and a 60 mesh sieve, are used to determine three ranges of particle sizes: Those coarse particles which remain on the 8 mesh sieve with a size > 8 mesh which will not be effective at raising soil pH; those intermediate sized particles which remain on the 60 mesh sieve and have a range of particle sizes < 8 mesh but > 60 mesh and have impact on pH over a 1-3 year time frame; and those very fine particles which pass through the 60 mesh sieve with a size < 60 mesh and react very quickly to raise soil pH.

Previous protocols called for determining lime fineness from a dried sample. However, the drying process causes cementing of small particles resulting in artificially low fineness scores, and increased variability in results with some samples. To avoid this problem samples are run as as received moist samples, similar to the condition they would be applied in the field.

## 2. Lime Fineness test:

- a. Label the short, empty fineness specimen cup with Ag Lime sample ID. Weigh empty specimen cup and record weight (approx. 10 grams).
- b. Weigh 100 grams of the as received lime sample into the labeled specimen cup. Record the weight of the subsample plus the specimen cup (Approximately 110 grams).
- c. Pour the weighed as-received fineness sub-sample over a No. 8 and No. 60 USA Standard Testing Sieve, stacked



together with the No. 8 sieve above the No. 60. Shake vigorously until no material falls through the sieves.

- d. Gently wash the lime caught on the sieves with distilled water until mostly clear water is running through the sieves.
- e. Take the No. 8 sieve and wash the lime caught on top (> 8 mesh fraction) into a metal pan. Rinse until the water runs clear.
- f. Take the No. 60 sieve and wash the lime caught on top (< 8 mesh but > 60 mesh intermediate sized fraction) into a metal pan. Rinse until the water runs clear.
- g. Place both the pans into a 60° C oven for 2 hours, or until the sample is completely dry.
- h. After 2 hours, weigh the No. 8 pan with the sample. Record the weight. Empty the pan and record the empty pan weight. Weigh the No. 60 pan with the sample. Empty the pan and record the pan weight. Input weights into the lime calculation template to find the fineness factor.

> 8-mesh sieve sample weight (g) = (Pan weight+ Sample dried weight) – Pan weight

Sample % on 8-mesh = Dry Sample weight from 8 mesh seive / Total dry sample weight (weight adjusted for moisture) \* 100

< 8 mesh but > 60-mesh sample weight (g) = (Pan weight+ Sample dry weight) – Pan weight

Sample % on 60-mesh = Sample weight from 60 mesh seive / Total dry sample weight (weight adjusted for moisture) \* 100

Sample through 60-mesh (< 60 mesh particle size) = Total dry sample weight – ((> 8-mesh sample weight) + (< 8 mesh but > 60-mesh sample weight ))

Sample % through 60-mesh = Sample weight through 60-mesh / Total dry sample weight ( weight adjusted for moisture) \* 100

RECEIVED  
DEC 07 2015  
KRIS W. KOBACH  
SECRETARY OF STATE

Fineness factor = (Sample % > 8-mesh \* 0) + (Sample % < 8 mesh but > 60 mesh \* 0.5) + (Sample % through 60-mesh \* 1)

Calcium Carbonate Equivalent. The calcium carbonate equivalence of a limestone sample compares the acid neutralizing power of an ag lime to pure reagent grade calcium carbonate. Results are given on a percent equivalence to pure calcium carbonate. Ag limes commonly found in Kansas will vary from 60 to 105% (the low values are found in samples with a high degree of non-lime contamination such as clay or shale, while the high values generally contain dolomite,  $\text{CaMg}(\text{CO}_3)_2$ ). The average CCE of Kansas lime is around 90 to 95%.

3. For Calcium Carbonate Equivalent:

- a. Weigh 1 gram of Calcium Carbonate (standard reagent grade) and place in plastic vial.
- b. From the dried lime sample obtained from moisture determination, scoop out approximately 5 grams of lime. Mortar and pestle until the lime sample is finely ground. Weigh 1 gram of finely ground lime sample into a plastic vial.
- c. Transfer 8 mL of Hydrochloric acid into a glass French bottle. Swirl bottle so that the HCl coats each side of the French bottle.
- d. Carefully place plastic vial of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  into French bottle, so as not to spill any.
- e. Make sure water level in gasometric apparatus is at 50 mL. Adjust water level as necessary. Attach the French bottle containing the  $\text{CaCO}_3$  to the gasometric apparatus. Make sure the seal is secure and no air leaks are present. Record the mLs of water displaced.
- f. Tip French bottle so that HCl and  $\text{CaCO}_3$  mix. Gently shake until the  $\text{CaCO}_3$  has completely reacted with the HCl. Record the mLs of water displaced.

RECEIVED

DEC 07 2015

KRIS W KOBACH  
SECRETARY OF STATE

- g. Detach the French bottle from the gasometric apparatus. Allow the water level in the graduated cylinder on the gasometric apparatus to fall back to 50 mL, allowing the instrument to reach equilibrium.
- h. Repeat steps C-G with the lime sample.
- i. Input displacement values into the lime calculation template to find the calcium carbonate equivalent.

Sample Displacement = Final displacement – initial displacement

Standard CaCO<sub>3</sub> Displacement = Final displacement – initial displacement

CCE = Sample Displacement / CaCO<sub>3</sub> Displacement

Percent Effective Calcium Carbonate. The percent Effective Calcium Carbonate, (%ECC), of lime is a value calculated to allow quick comparison of the neutralizing power of ag lime products. The %ECC calculation uses the Calcium Carbonate Equivalent, CCE, an estimate of chemical purity, and the Fineness Factor, particle size effects on lime value, in the following calculation:

$$\text{Percent ECC} = (\text{Fineness Factor} / 100) * (\text{CCE} / 100) * 100$$

Percent ECC values are commonly referred to as the “percent lime”. Common ranges of percent ECC values range from 40 to 60%.

Effective Calcium Carbonate Equivalent. The Effective Calcium Carbonate Equivalent, ECCE, is another way to compare liming materials and to calculate lime application rates for a specific product. ECCE is calculated as follows:

$$\text{ECCE in pounds ECC/ton} = (\% \text{ECC}) * (2000 \text{ lbs/ton})$$

This is a particularly valuable calculation as lime recommendations are given by most soil testing labs in the Plains region in pounds ECC per acre.

