68-West–Statehouse | 300 SW 10th Ave. | Topeka, Kansas 66612-1504 (785) 296-3181

kslegres@klrd.ks.gov kslegislature.org/klrd

April 16, 2021

To: Kansas Legislature

From: Jill Shelley, Principal Research Analyst

Re: Report of the March 26, 2021, Meeting of the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

and Regulations

With this report, the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules and Regulations (Committee) provides its comments on rules and regulations reviewed at its meeting of March 26, 2021. Agencies are asked to respond to each comment or request for information; responses are compiled and maintained by staff of the Kansas Legislative Research Department (KLRD).

## Real Estate Appraisal Board

KAR 117-8-3, "Uniform standards of professional appraisal practice"; adoption by reference.

The Committee had no comments.

## State Fire Marshal

New Article 26, Commercial Industrial Hemp Processing: KAR 22-26-1, definitions; KAR 22-26-2, hemp processor registration, renewal; KAR 22-26-3, compliance with laws, denial, revocation, or conditioning of a registration, appeals; KAR 2-26-5, policies and procedures manual; KAR 22-26-6, processing records; KAR 22-26-7, access to records and property; KAR 22-26-8, facilities, exemptions; KAR 22-26-9, security measures, reportable events, recordkeeping; KAR 22-26-10, acquisition of hemp, by-products, intermediate substances, or seeds for processing; KAR 22-26-11, inventory control, reports; KAR 22-26-12, disposal of hemp waste; KAR 22-26-13, transportation; KAR 22-26-14, chain of custody for transportation of intermediate substances; KAR 22-26-15, stop sale, use, or removal order, cease and desist; KAR 22-26-16, testing.

The Committee expresses its appreciation to the agency for reaching out to the industry, visiting industry facilities, and proposing further changes to the proposed rules and regulations based on industry suggestions to lessen the impact to the industry. The Committee thanks

theagency for its plans to provide specific information on subsequent changes to these rules and regulations.

The Committee finds the Economic Impact Statement to be incomplete and has concerns costs could exceed \$3.0 million. It asks the agency to provide information on known specific costs, such as costs to industry for saving recordings from required video surveillance systems and meeting requirements of fire and safety codes and costs to the agency for testing product samples, background checks, and reviewing and recording information provided by the industry.