

Wildlife, Parks, and Tourism Commission

Notice of Public Hearing

A public hearing will be conducted by the Wildlife, Parks, and Tourism Commission at 6:30 p.m., Thursday, November 14, 2019 at the William Carpenter 4-H Building, Exhibit Building, Scott County Community Center and Fairground Facilities, 608 N. Fairground Road, Scott City, Kansas to consider the approval and adoption of the proposed regulations of the Kansas Department of Wildlife, Parks, and Tourism.

A general discussion and workshop meeting on the business of the Wildlife, Parks, and Tourism Commission will begin at 1:30 p.m., November 14 at the location listed above. The meeting will recess at approximately 5:00 p.m. and then resume at 6:30 p.m. at the same location for the regulatory hearing and more business. There will be public comment periods at the beginning of the afternoon and evening meeting for any issues not on the agenda and additional comment periods will be available during the meeting on agenda items. Old and new business may also be discussed at this time. If necessary to complete business matters, the Commission will reconvene at 9:00 a.m. November 15 at the location listed above.

Any individual with a disability may request accommodation in order to participate in the public meeting and may request the meeting materials in an accessible format. Requests for accommodation to participate in the meeting should be made at least five working days in advance of the meeting by contacting Sheila Kemmis, Commission Secretary, at (620) 672-5911. Persons with a hearing impairment may call the Kansas Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing at 1-800-432-0698 to request special accommodations.

In addition to the previously published notice, this 60-day notice period prior to the hearing constitutes a public comment period for the purpose of receiving written public comments on the proposed administrative regulations.

All interested parties may submit written comments prior to the hearing to the Chairman of the Commission, Kansas Department of Wildlife, Parks, and Tourism, 1020 S. Kansas Ave., Suite 200, Topeka, KS 66612 or to sheila.kemmis@ks.gov if electronically. All interested parties will be given a reasonable opportunity at the hearing to express their views orally in regard to the adoption of the proposed regulations. During the hearing, all written and oral comments submitted by interested parties will be considered by the commission as a basis for approving, amending and approving, or rejecting the proposed regulations.

The regulations, in addition to the previously published notice, that will be heard during the regulatory hearing portion of the meeting are as follows:

K.A.R. 115-4-2. This permanent regulation sets general provisions for big game and wild turkey. The proposed amendments would allow the use of electronic carcass tagging as the agency moves towards electronic licensing and permitting.

Economic Impact Summary: No substantial negative economic impact to the department, other state agencies, small businesses, or individual members of the public is

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

anticipated.

K.A.R. 115-7-3. This permanent regulation provides for taking and use of baitfish or minnows. The proposed amendments would require that crayfish, leeches, amphibians and mussels that are used as fishing bait conform to the same requirements for baitfish to reduce the risk of transmission of invasive species.

Economic Impact Summary: No substantial negative economic impact to the department, other state agencies, small businesses, or individual members of the public is anticipated.

K.A.R. 115-7-4. This permanent regulation sets requirements for fish processing and possession. The proposed amendments would allow use of electronic carcass tagging as the agency moves towards electronic licensing and permitting.

Economic Impact Summary: No substantial negative economic impact to the department, other state agencies, small businesses, or individual members of the public is anticipated.

K.A.R. 115-25-5. This exempt regulation sets the fall season, bag limit and permits for turkeys. The proposed version of the regulation would close the fall season in three of the five currently open units.

Economic Impact Summary: The proposed version of the regulation is expected to reduce fees to the department in the amount of \$82,884 and the collateral economic impact to the State of Kansas will be an estimated reduction of \$5,308,560. Otherwise, no substantial negative economic impact to the department, other state agencies, small businesses, or individual members of the public is anticipated.

K.A.R. 115-25-6. This exempt regulation sets the spring season, bag limit, permits and game tags. The proposed version of the regulation would reduce the spring bag limit for turkeys in three units from two birds to one bird.

Economic Impact Summary: The reduction in bag limit is estimated to reduce fees collected by the department in the amount of \$243,810. The collateral economic benefit to the State of Kansas for the spring turkey season is estimated to be \$58,135,600. Otherwise, no substantial negative economic impact to the department, other state agencies, small businesses, or individual members of the public is anticipated.

Copies of the complete text of each regulation and its respective economic impact statement may be obtained by writing the chairman of the Commission at the address above, electronically on the department's website at ksoutdoors.com, or by calling (785) 296-2281.

Gerald Lauber, Chairman

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

115-4-2. Big game and wild turkey; general provisions. (a) Possession.

- (1) Each permittee shall meet either of the following requirements:
- (A) Nonelectronic carcass tags. Each The permittee shall sign, record the county, the date, and the time of kill, and attach the carcass tag to the carcass in a visible manner immediately following the kill and before moving the carcass from the site of the kill. The carcass tag shall remain attached to the carcass or in the possession of the permittee if transporting a quartered or deboned animal until the animal reaches the permittee's residence or a commercial place of processing or preservation and is processed for consumption. The permittee shall retain the carcass tag until the animal is consumed, given to another, or otherwise disposed of.
- (B) Electronic carcass tags. Using the department's electronic carcass tag system, the permittee shall record the county, the date, and the time of kill and enter a photograph of the entire carcass, with sufficient clarity to display the species and the antlered or antlerless condition of the big game animal or the beard of the wild turkey, immediately following the kill and before moving the carcass from the site of the kill. The permittee shall possess the confirmation number until the animal reaches the permittee's residence or a commercial place of processing or preservation and is processed for consumption. The permittee shall retain the confirmation number until the animal is consumed, given to another, or otherwise disposed of.
- (2) Except for a wild turkey or big game animal taken with an "either sex" permit, the beard of the wild turkey shall remain naturally attached to the breast or the head of the big game animal shall remain naturally attached to the carcass while in transit from the site of the kill to the permittee's residence or to a commercial place of processing or preservation, unless the carcass has been tagged with a department check station tag, the permittee is using the department's electronic carcass tag

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 2 8 2019

AUG 28 2019

SEP 3 2019

DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

system and has submitted the information required in paragraph (a)(1)(B), the permittee has obtained a transportation confirmation number after electronically registering the permittee's big game animal or wild turkey on the department's electronic registration site, or the permittee retains photographs necessary for electronic registration until registration occurs. "Electronically registering" shall mean submitting any necessary and relevant information and digital photographs of the big game head or turkey breast and of the completed carcass tag of sufficient clarity to display the species and the antlered or antlerless condition of the big game animal, the beard of the wild turkey, and the transaction number and signature on a completed carcass tag.

- (3) Any legally acquired big game or wild turkey meat may be given to and possessed by another, if a dated written notice that includes the donor's printed name, signature, address, and permit number accompanies the meat. The person receiving the meat shall retain the notice until the meat is consumed, given to another, or otherwise disposed of.
- (4) Any person may possess a salvaged big game or wild turkey carcass if a department salvage tag issued to the person obtaining the carcass is affixed to the carcass. The salvage tag shall be retained as provided in paragraph (a)(1). Big game or wild turkey meat may be donated as specified in paragraph (a)(3) using the salvage tag number. Each salvage tag report prepared by the department agent issuing the tag shall be signed by the individual receiving the salvaged big game or wild turkey carcass. Each salvage tag shall include the following information:
 - (A) The name and address of the person to whom the tag is issued;
 - (B) the salvage tag number;
 - (C) the species and sex of each animal for which the tag is issued;
 - (D) the location and the date, time, and cause of death of each animal; and

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 2 8 2019

AUG 28 2019

SEP 3 2019

DIVISION OF THE BUDGET

DEPT OF ADMINISTRATION ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

- (E) the date of issuance and the signature of the department agent issuing the salvage tag.
- (b) Big game and wild turkey permits and game tags.
- (1) Big game and wild turkey permits and game tags shall not be transferred to another person, unless otherwise authorized by law or regulation.
- (2) In addition to other penalties prescribed by law, each big game and wild turkey permit or game tag shall be invalid from the date of issuance if obtained by an individual under any of these conditions:
 - (A) Through false representation;
 - (B) through misrepresentation; or
- (C) in excess of the number of permits or game tags authorized by regulations for that big game species or wild turkey.
- (3) No individual shall copy, reproduce, or possess any copy or reproduction of a big game or wild turkey permit or carcass tag.
- (c) Hunting assistance. Subject to the hunting license requirements of K.S.A. 32-919 and amendments thereto, the license requirements of the implementing regulations, and the provisions of paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(2), and (c)(3), any individual may assist any holder of a big game or wild turkey permit or game tag during the permittee's big game or wild turkey hunting activity. This assistance may include herding, driving, or calling.
- (1) An individual assisting the holder of a big game or wild turkey permit or game tag shall not perform the actual shooting of big game or wild turkey for the permittee, unless authorized by K.A.R. 115-18-15. However, a permittee who is, because of disability, unable to pursue a wounded big game animal or wild turkey may designate any individual to assist in pursuing and dispatching a big game

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

AUG 28 2019

SEP 3 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

proposed

K.A.R. 115-4-2 Page 4

animal or wild turkey wounded by the disabled permittee.

- (2) The designated individual shall carry the disabled permittee's big game or wild turkey permit or game tag and shall attach the carcass tag to the carcass immediately after the kill and before leaving the site of the kill utilize the applicable procedure specified in subsection (a).
- (3) The designated individual shall use only the type of equipment authorized for use by the disabled permittee. (Authorized by and implementing K.S.A. 2016 2019 Supp. 32-807, K.S.A. 2016 2019 Supp. 32-937, and K.S.A. 2016 2019 Supp. 32-969; effective June 1, 2001; amended April 22, 2005; amended April 16, 2010; amended April 20, 2012; amended July 18, 2014; amended April 21, 2017; amended P-

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

AUG 28 2019

SEP 3 2019

DIVISION OF THE BUDGET

DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION ATT

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

115-7-3. Fish; taking and use of baitfish or minnows. (a) Baitfish may be taken for noncommercial

purposes by any of the following means:

(1) A seine not longer than 15 feet and four feet deep with mesh not larger than 1/4 inch;

(2) a fish trap with mesh not larger than 1/4 inch and a throat not larger than one inch in

diameter;

(3) a dip or cast net with mesh not larger than one inch; or

(4) a fishing line.

(b) Each fish trap shall be tagged with the operator's name and address when the fish trap is in

use.

(c) Baitfish taken, except gizzard shad, shall not exceed 12 inches in total length.

(d) The possession limit shall be 500 baitfish.

(e) For the species specified in this subsection, the department's applicable creel and possession

limits shall apply.

Live baitfish, crayfish, leeches, amphibians, and mussels, except for bluegill and green sunfish

from non-designated aquatic nuisance waters and baitfish, crayfish, leeches, amphibians, and mussels

from designated aquatic nuisance waters, may be caught and used as live bait only within the common

drainage where caught. However, live baitfish, crayfish, leeches, amphibians, and mussels shall not be

transported and used above any upstream dam or barrier that prohibits the normal passage of fish.

Bluegill and green sunfish collected from non-designated aquatic nuisance waters may be possessed or

used as live bait anywhere in the state. Live baitfish, crayfish, leeches, amphibians, and mussels

collected from designated aquatic nuisance waters shall be possessed or used as live bait only while on

that water and shall not be transported from the water alive.

APPROVED

AUG 2 8 2019

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 2 9 2019

AUG 28 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

proposed

K.A.R. 115-7-3

Page 2

(f) No person shall import live baitfish that does not meet the requirements of K.A.R. 115-17-2 and K.A.R. 115-17-2a.

This regulation shall be effective on and after January 1, 2019. (Authorized by and implementing K.S.A. 2018 2019 Supp. 32-807; effective Sept. 10, 1990; amended Nov. 20, 2009; amended Jan. 1, 2012; amended Jan. 1, 2013; amended Jan. 1, 2015; amended Jan. 1, 2019; amended P-_______.)

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

AUG 28 2019

AUG 29 2019

DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

115-7-4. Fish; processing and possession. (a) Each person who takes any fish from a body of water shall leave the head, body, and tail fin attached while the person has possession of the fish on the water.

- (b) Each person who has taken any fish shall retain the fish in that person's possession until any of the following occurs:
 - (1) The fish is consumed or processed for consumption.
- (2) The fish is transported to the person's domicile or given to another person. Legally taken sport fish may be possessed without limit in time and may be given to another if accompanied by a dated written notice that includes the donor's printed name, signature, address, and permit or license number.
- (3) The fish is transported to a place of commercial preservation or place of commercial processing for consumption.
 - (4) The fish is returned unrestrained to the waters from which the fish was taken.
- (5) The fish is disposed of at a location designated for fish disposal or at a designated fish cleaning station.
 - (c) Each paddlefish permittee shall meet either of the following requirements:
- (1) Nonelectronic carcass tags. The paddlefish permittee shall sign, record the county, the date, and the time of kill, and attach the carcass tag to the carcass in a visible manner immediately before reducing the paddlefish to permanent possession. The carcass tag shall remain attached to the carcass until the conditions of paragraphs (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), or (b)(5) are met. The paddlefish permittee shall retain the carcass tag until the paddlefish is consumed, given to another, or otherwise disposed of.
- (2) Electronic carcass tags. Using the department's electronic carcass tag system, the paddlefish permittee shall record the county, the date, and the time of kill and enter a photograph of the entire carcass, with sufficient clarity to display the species immediately before reducing the paddlefish to

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 2 8 2019

AUG 28 2019

SEP 3 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE

RECEIVED

K.A.R. 115-7-4

Page 2

permanent possession. The paddlefish permittee shall possess the confirmation number until the conditions of paragraph (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), or (b)(5) are met. The paddlefish permittee shall retain the confirmation number until the paddlefish is consumed, given to another, or otherwise disposed of.

- (d) For paddlefish parts, the following additional requirements shall apply:
- (1) No person shall possess any eggs that are attached to the egg membrane of more than one paddlefish.
- (2) No person shall possess more than three pounds of processed paddlefish eggs or fresh paddlefish eggs removed from the membrane. "Processed paddlefish eggs" shall mean any eggs taken from a paddlefish that have gone through a process that turns the eggs into caviar or into a caviar-like product.
- (3) No person shall ship into or out of, transport into or out of, have in possession with the intent to transport, or cause to be removed from this state any raw unprocessed paddlefish eggs, processed paddlefish eggs, or frozen paddlefish eggs.
- (4) Each harvested paddlefish carcass shall have all internal organs removed before transporting the carcass from Kansas. (Authorized by and implementing K.S.A. 2018 2019 Supp. 32-807; effective Dec. 26, 1989; amended Nov. 27, 2006; amended Dec. 22, 2017; amended Jan. 11, 2019; amended P-

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 2 8 2019

AUG 2 8 2019

SEP 3 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

115-25-5. Turkey; fall season, bag limit, and permits. (a) The open fall season for the taking of turkey shall be the first day of October through the day before the first day of the regular deer firearms season as specified in K.A.R. 115-25-9 and shall reopen on the day following the last day of the regular deer firearms season through the last day in January. All equipment that is legal during an archery or fall firearm turkey season shall be permitted during this season.

- (b) The units and the number of permits authorized for the taking of turkey during the established seasons shall be as follows:
- (1) Unit 1. Unit 1 shall consist of that area bounded by a line from the Nebraska-Kansas state line south on federal highway US-183 to its junction with interstate highway I-70, then west on interstate highway I-70 to the Colorado-Kansas state line, then north along the Colorado-Kansas state line to its junction with Nebraska-Kansas state line, and then east along the Nebraska-Kansas state line to its junction with federal highway US-183, except federal and state sanctuaries. An unlimited number of permits shall be authorized for unit 1.
- (2) Unit 2. Unit 2 shall consist of that area bounded by a line from the Nebraska-Kansas state line south on federal highway US-81 to its junction with interstate highway I-135, then south on interstate highway I-135 to its junction with federal highway US-56, then west on federal highway US-56 to its junction with state highway K-96, then west on state highway K-96 to its junction with federal highway US-183, then north on federal highway US-183 to its junction with the Nebraska-Kansas state line, and then east along the Nebraska-Kansas state line to its junction with federal highway US-183, except federal and state sanctuaries. An unlimited number of permits shall be authorized for unit 2.

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

AUG 28 2019

SEP 3 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

- (3) Unit 3. Unit 3 shall consist of that area bounded by a line from the Nebraska-Kansas state line south on federal highway US-81 to its junction with interstate highway I-135, then south on interstate highway I-135 to its junction with federal highway US-56, then east on federal highway US-56 to its junction with state highway K-150, then east on state highway K-150 to its junction with federal highway US-50, then east on federal highway US-50 to its junction with interstate highway I-35, then northeast on interstate highway I-35 to its junction with the Missouri-Kansas state line, then north along the Missouri-Kansas state line to its junction with Nebraska-Kansas state line, and then west along the Nebraska-Kansas state line to its junction with federal highway US-81, except federal and state sanctuaries. No permits shall be authorized for unit 3.
- (4) Unit 4. Unit 4 shall consist of that portion of the state bounded by a line from the Kansas-Colorado state line east on interstate highway I-70 to its junction with federal highway US-183, then south on federal highway US-183 to its junction with federal highway US-54, then southwest on federal highway US-54 to the Oklahoma-Kansas state line, then west along the Oklahoma-Kansas state line to its junction with the Colorado-Kansas state line, and then north along the Colorado-Kansas state line to its junction with interstate highway I-70, except federal and state sanctuaries. No permits shall be authorized in unit 4.
- (5) Unit 5. Unit 5 shall consist of that portion of the state bounded by a line from the Oklahoma-Kansas state line north on interstate highway I-35 to its junction with interstate highway I-135, then northwest on interstate I-135 to its junction with federal highway US-56, then west on federal highway

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

AUG 28 2019

SEP 3 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE

DIVISION OF THE BUDGET

DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION

US-56 to its junction with state highway K-96, then west on state highway K-96 to its junction with federal highway US-183, then south on federal highway US-183 to its junction with federal highway US-54, then southwest on federal highway US-54 to the Oklahoma-Kansas state line, and then east along the Oklahoma-Kansas state line to its junction with interstate highway I-35, except federal and state sanctuaries. No permits shall be authorized for unit 5.

- (6) Unit 6. Unit 6 shall consist of that portion of the state bounded by a line from the Oklahoma-Kansas state line north on interstate highway I-35 to its junction with interstate highway I-135, then northwest on interstate I-135 to its junction with federal highway US-56, then east on federal highway US-56 to its junction with state highway K-150, then east on state highway K-150 to its junction with federal highway US-50, then east on federal highway US-50 to its junction with interstate highway I-35, then northeast on interstate highway I-35 to its junction with the Missouri-Kansas state line, then south along the Missouri-Kansas state line to its junction with the Oklahoma-Kansas state line, and then west along the Oklahoma-Kansas state line to its junction with interstate highway I-35, except federal and state sanctuaries. No permits shall be authorized for unit 6.
- (c) The bag limit for the open fall season shall be one turkey of either sex for each permit or game tag.
- (d) An individual shall not apply for or obtain more than one turkey permit for the open fall season.
 - (e) Turkey permits and turkey game tags shall be valid only for the unit or units designated on

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

AUG 28 2019

SEP 3 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

K.A.R. 115-25-5

Page 4

the turkey permit or turkey game tag.

This regulation shall be effective on and after February 1, 2020. (Authorized by and implementing K.S.A. 2019 Supp. 32-807 and K.S.A. 2019 Supp. 32-969.)

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

3 2019 SEP

AUG 28 2019

AUG 28 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

115-25-6. Turkey; spring season, bag limit, permits, and game tags. (a) The open season for the taking of turkey by archery equipment only shall begin on the first Monday after the first Saturday in April and shall continue through the day before the opening day of the open season specified in subsection (b) in all turkey management units. All turkey permits and second turkey game tags issued for the open season shall be valid during this season for use with archery equipment only.

- (b) The open season for the taking of turkey by use of firearms or archery equipment shall begin on the second Wednesday after the first Saturday in April and shall continue through the last day in May.
- (c)(1) The season for designated persons for the taking of turkey shall begin on the first day of April and shall continue through the day before the opening day of the open season specified in subsection (b) in all turkey management units. All turkey permits and second turkey game tags issued for the open season shall be valid during this season.
 - (2) The following persons may hunt during the season for designated persons:
- (A) Any person having a valid turkey permit or second turkey game tag who is 16 years of age or younger, while under the immediate supervision of an adult who is 18 years of age or older;
 - (B) any person with a permit to hunt from a vehicle issued according to K.A.R. 115-18-4; and
 - (C) any person with a disability assistance permit issued according to K.A.R. 115-18-15.
- (d) The legal limit shall be one bearded turkey per turkey permit and one bearded turkey per second turkey game tag where game tags are authorized.
- (e) The units and the number of permits authorized for the taking of turkey during the established season shall be as follows:

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 2 8 2019

AUG 2 8 2019

SEP 3 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019



- (1) Unit 1. Unit 1 shall consist of that area bounded by a line from the Nebraska-Kansas state line south on federal highway US-183 to its junction with interstate highway I-70, then west on interstate highway I-70 to the Colorado-Kansas state line, then north along the Colorado-Kansas state line to its junction with Nebraska-Kansas state line, and then east along the Nebraska-Kansas state line to its junction with federal highway US-183, except federal and state sanctuaries. An unlimited number of permits and an unlimited number of second turkey game tags shall be authorized for unit 1.
- (2) Unit 2. Unit 2 shall consist of that area bounded by a line from the Nebraska-Kansas state line south on federal highway US-81 to its junction with interstate highway I-135, then south on interstate highway I-135 to its junction with federal highway US-56, then west on federal highway US-56 to its junction with state highway K-96, then west on state highway K-96 to its junction with federal highway US-183, then north on federal highway US-183 to its junction with the Nebraska-Kansas state line, and then east along the Nebraska-Kansas state line to its junction with federal highway US-183, except federal and state sanctuaries. An unlimited number of permits and an unlimited number of second turkey game tags shall be authorized for unit 2.
- (3) Unit 3. Unit 3 shall consist of that area bounded by a line from the Nebraska-Kansas state line south on federal highway US-81 to its junction with interstate highway I-135, then south on interstate highway I-135 to its junction with federal highway US-56, then east on federal highway US-56 to its junction with state highway K-150, then east on state highway K-150 to its junction with federal highway US-50, then east on federal highway US-50 to its junction with interstate highway I-35, then northeast on interstate highway I-35 to its junction with the Missouri-Kansas state line, then north along

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 2 8 2019

AUG 28 2019

SEP 3 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

the Missouri-Kansas state line to its junction with Nebraska-Kansas state line, and then west along the Nebraska-Kansas state line to its junction with federal highway US-81, except federal and state sanctuaries. An unlimited number of permits shall be authorized for unit 3.

- (4) Unit 4. Unit 4 shall consist of that portion of the state bounded by a line from the Kansas-Colorado state line east on interstate highway I-70 to its junction with federal highway US-183, then south on federal highway US-183 to its junction with federal highway US-54, then southwest on federal highway US-54 to the Oklahoma-Kansas state line, then west along the Oklahoma-Kansas state line to its junction with the Colorado-Kansas state line, and then north along the Colorado-Kansas state line to its junction with interstate highway I-70, except federal and state sanctuaries. A total of 500 permits shall be authorized for unit 4, and all youth permits shall also be valid in unit 4.
- (5) Unit 5. Unit 5 shall consist of that portion of the state bounded by a line from the Oklahoma-Kansas state line north on interstate highway I-35 to its junction with interstate highway I-135, then northwest on interstate highway I-135 to its junction with federal highway US-56, then west on federal highway US-56 to its junction with state highway K-96, then west on state highway K-96 to its junction with federal highway US-183, then south on federal highway US-183 to its junction with federal highway US-54, then southwest on federal highway US-54 to the Oklahoma-Kansas state line, and then east along the Oklahoma-Kansas state line to its junction with interstate highway I-35, except federal and state sanctuaries. An unlimited number of permits shall be authorized for unit 5.
- (6) Unit 6. Unit 6 shall consist of that portion of the state bounded by a line from the Oklahoma-Kansas state line north on interstate highway I-35 to its junction with interstate highway I-

APPROVED

APPROVED

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

AUG 28 2019

DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION

SEP 3 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

K.A.R. 115-25-6

Page 4

135, then northwest on interstate highway I-135 to its junction with federal highway US-56, then east on federal highway US-56 to its junction with state highway K-150, then east on state highway K-150 to its junction with federal highway US-50, then east on federal highway US-50 to its junction with interstate highway I-35, then northeast on interstate highway I-35 to its junction with the Missouri-Kansas state line, then south along the Missouri-Kansas state line to its junction with the Oklahoma-Kansas state line, and then west along the Oklahoma-Kansas state line to its junction with interstate highway I-35, except federal and state sanctuaries. An unlimited number of permits shall be authorized for unit 6.

- (f) Turkey permits and second turkey game tags shall be valid only for the unit or units designated on the turkey permit or second turkey game tag, except that youth turkey permits shall be valid in all units and unit 4 turkey permits shall also be valid in adjacent units.
- (g) Only those individuals who have purchased a turkey permit shall be eligible to purchase a second turkey game tag.

This regulation shall be effective on and after February 1, 2020. (Authorized by and implementing K.S.A. 2019 Supp. 32-807 and K.S.A. 2019 Supp. 32-969.)

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

APPROVED

SEP 3 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE

Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement For the Kansas Division of the Budget

KDWPT Agency Christopher J Tymeson Agency Contact 785-296-1032 Contact Phone Number

K.A.R. 115-4-2 K.A.R. Number(s)

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt, along with the following to:

Division of the Budget
900 SW Jackson, Room 504-N

Topeka, KS 66612

I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

This permanent regulation deals with big game and wild turkey requirements. The proposed changes would allow the use of mobile devices to electronically tag big game and wild turkeys, as an alternative to traditional paper tagging.

II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)

This is not a federal mandate. Oklahoma, Nebraska, Missouri and Colorado all have varying regulations dealing with electronic licenses.

- III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:
 - A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The proposed version of the regulation will not restrict business activities and growth.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;

The proposed regulation will likely have no negative economic impact on businesses, individuals or local governments.

- C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;
 - None.
- D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

The proposed regulation will allow for electronic tagging of big game and wild turkeys, as opposed to traditional paper.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP
APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

There are no negative costs and impacts on businesses associated with this proposal.

F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

There are no implementation or compliance costs associated with the proposal.

An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

There are no implementation or compliance costs associated with the proposal.

Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?

YES □ NO ☒

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

There are no implementation or compliance costs associated with the proposed changes.

Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

YES □ NO ☒

The agency held public hearings on this regulation on April 25 in Colby, where 5 members of the public signed the attendance roster, on June 13 in Salina, where 5 members of the public signed the attendance roster, on August 15 in Overland Park, where 10 members of the public signed the attendance roster, and will hold public commission meetings on Sept 19 in Great Bend and November 14 in Scott City.

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

Not applicable.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB
SECRETARY OF STATE

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 2 8 2019

H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

News releases to every newspaper in the state, discussion at prior public hearings and meetings which are broadcast online, publication in the Kansas Register and publication on the Department's website.

I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).

Not applicable.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE

Proposed

Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement For the Kansas Division of the Budget

KDWPT Agency Christopher J Tymeson Agency Contact $\frac{785-296-1032}{\text{Contact Phone Number}}$

K.A.R. 115-7-3 K.A.R. Number(s)

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt, along with the following to:

Division of the Budget
900 SW Jackson, Room 504-N
Topeka, KS 66612

I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

The proposed changes to the regulation would align certain types of fish bait with the regulation on baitfish.

II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)

There is no federal mandate and Colorado, Nebraska, Missouri and Oklahoma all have varying regulations on movement of fish bait.

- III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:
 - A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The regulation would likely not enhance or restrict business activities. Crayfish, leeches, amphibians, and mussels would still be legal as fish bait.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;

There is no economic effect to the proposed changes in the regulation.

- C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;
 None.
- D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

The proposed amendments to the regulation are designed to reduce possible vectors for invasive species.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 2 8 2019

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

There is no cost or impact to businesses, local governments or individuals from this proposal.

F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

\$0.

An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

\$0.

Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?

YES 🗆

NO 🗵

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

The proposed changes are designed to reduce vectors for invasive species. Crayfish, leeches, amphibians, and mussels are still legal to use as fish bait.

Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

YES □ NO ☒

The agency held public hearings on this regulation on April 25 in Colby, where 5 members of the public signed the attendance roster, on June 13 in Salina, where 5 members of the public signed the attendance roster, on August 15 in Overland Park, where 10 members of the public signed the attendance roster, and will hold meetings on September 19 in Great Bend, and November 14 in Scott City.

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas

Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the

Kansas Association of School Boards.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE APPROVED

AUG 2 8 2019

DIVISION OF THE BUDGET

Not applicable.

H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

News releases to every newspaper in the state, discussion at prior public hearings and meetings which are broadcast online, publication in the Kansas Register and publication on the Department's website.

I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).

Not applicable.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE

proposed

Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement For the Kansas Division of the Budget

 $\frac{KDWPT}{Agency}$

Christopher J Tymeson Agency Contact 785-296-1032 Contact Phone Number

<u>K.A.R. 115-7-4</u> K.A.R. Number(s)

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt, along with the following to:

Division of the Budget

900 SW Jackson, Room 504-N Topeka, KS 66612

I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

This proposed amendments to the regulation would allow the use of electronic tags for paddlefish, as an alternative to traditional paper.

II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)

This is not a federal mandate. Nebraska, Missouri and Oklahoma all manage paddlefish by various means and methods and locations. Colorado does not have paddlefish populations. Missouri prohibits possession of paddlefish eggs. Oklahoma limits possession of paddlefish eggs. This proposal is modeled after Oklahoma.

- III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:
 - A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The proposed amendments will not enhance or restrict business activities or growth.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;

The proposed amendments will have no economic effect on any sector.

- C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;
 None.
- D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

There are no costs to the proposed rule and regulation. The benefit is to allow an alternative to traditional paper as society moves towards mobile communication platforms.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

There are no costs associated with this proposal.

F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

There are no implementation or compliance costs with this proposal.

An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

There are no implementation or compliance costs with this proposal.

Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?

YES □ NO ☒

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

There are no implementation or compliance costs with this proposal.

Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

YES □ NO ☒

The agency held public hearings on this regulation on April 25 in Colby, where 5 members of the public signed the attendance roster, on June 13 in Salina, where 5 members of the public signed the attendance roster, on August 15 in Overland Park, where 10 members of the public signed the attendance roster, and will hold meetings on September 19 in Great Bend, and November 14 in Scott City.

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas

Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the

Kansas Association of School Boards. RECEIVED

Not applicable.

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB
SECRETARY OF STATE

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

ついISION OF THE BUDG味T

H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

News releases to every newspaper in the state, discussion at prior public hearings and meetings which are broadcast online, publication in the Kansas Register and publication on the Department's website.

I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).

Not applicable.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

OMISION OF THE BUDGET



Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement For the Kansas Division of the Budget

KDWPT Agency Christopher J Tymeson Agency Contact 785-296-1032 Contact Phone Number

K.A.R. 115-25-5 K.A.R. Number(s)

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt, along with the following to:

Division of the Budget
900 SW Jackson, Room 504-N
Topeka, KS 66612

I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

This proposed exempt regulation establishes hunting unit boundaries, bag limit and season dates for the 2020 fall wild turkey seasons. The proposed changes would close the fall season for units 3, 5 and 6 to take into account several years of poor reproduction.

II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)

The federal government does not manage wild turkeys. Missouri, Oklahoma, Colorado and Nebraska all have fall turkey seasons and manage by units.

- III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:
 - A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The regulation proposal may restrict business activity and growth because the fall hunting season would be closed in the majority of the state.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;

The economic effect could be that some businesses would not be able to cater to fall turkey hunters.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;

Guide businesses.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB
SECRETARY OF STATE

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

The alternative would be to continue to have a season that potentially reduces the population by allowing the continued take of hens.

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

The allowed harvest of fall turkeys in certain units has been reduced previously from 4 birds to 1 bird. The only other reduction possible is to close the season in all units.

F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

There are no implementation or compliance costs expected to be incurred by business or local governments.

An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

There are no implementation or compliance costs expected to be incurred by business or local governments

Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?

YES 🗵 NO \square

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

The total number of fall turkey permits and game tags sold was 6,262 in 2017 and 5,474 in 2018. In 2018, this generated approximately \$138,140 for the agency, all of which accrued to the wildlife fee fund, and is paid by user fees. Additionally, each individually identifiable turkey hunter (5,474) goes 11 days afield per year and spends approximately \$1616 per year, generating approximately \$8,845,984 for the Kansas economy, based on economic studies provided by the USFWS. It is estimated that fall turkey sales will be reduced by 3,285 permits, resulting in a total reduction to the agency of approximately \$82,884 and \$5,308,560 to the economy of the state over the previous season.

Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

YES 🗵

NO \square

RECEIVED

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

The agency held public hearings on this regulation on June 13 in Salina, where 5 members of the public signed the attendance roster, on August 15 in Overland Park, where 10 members of the public signed the attendance roster, and will hold meetings on September 19 in Great Bend, and November 14 in Scott City.

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

Not applicable.

H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

News releases to every newspaper in the state, discussion at prior public hearings and meetings which are broadcast online, publication in the Kansas Register and publication on the Department's website.

I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).

Not applicable.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE

Proposed

Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement For the Kansas Division of the Budget

KDWPT Agency Christopher J Tymeson Agency Contact 785-296-1032 Contact Phone Number

K.A.R. 115-25-6 K.A.R. Number(s)

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt, along with the following to:

Division of the Budget
900 SW Jackson, Room 504-N

Topeka, KS 66612

I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

This proposed exempt regulation establishes hunting unit boundaries, bag limit and season dates for the 2020 spring wild turkey seasons. The proposed changes would reduce the bag limit in units 3, 5 and 6 from two birds to one bird.

II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)

The federal government does not manage wild turkeys. Missouri, Oklahoma, Colorado and Nebraska all have spring turkey seasons and manage by units.

- III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:
 - A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The regulation proposal would not likely substantially restrict business activities and growth.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;

The economic effect could be that some businesses would not be able to cater to hunters desiring to shoot two birds.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;

Guide businesses.

D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

The alternative would be keep the same season bag limits, which is contradictory to current population trends.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 2 8 2019

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

The proposal would likely have some economic impact on businesses but hunting seasons for spring turkey still exist.

F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

There are no implementation or compliance costs expected to be incurred by business or local governments.

An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

There are no implementation or compliance costs expected to be incurred by business or local governments.

Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?

YES ⊠ NO □

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

The total number of spring turkey permits and game tags sold was 60,918 in 2018 and was 55,520 in 2019. In 2019, this generated approximately \$1,634,707 for the agency, all of which accrues to the wildlife fee fund, and is paid by user fees. The reduction in the bag limit will potentially reduce game tag sales by 11,727 game tags and reduce the amount of user fees collected by \$243,810. Additionally, each individually identifiable turkey hunter (35,975) goes 11 days afield per year and spends approximately \$1616 per year, generating \$58,135,600 for the Kansas economy, based on economic studies provided by the USFWS.

Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

YES ⊠ NO □

The agency held public hearings on this regulation on June 13 in Salina, where 5 members of the public signed the attendance roster, on August 15 in

Overland Park, where 10 members of the public signed the attendance roster, and will hold meetings on September 19 in

Great Bend, and November 14 in Scott City.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019

9r000596

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

Not applicable.

H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

News releases to every newspaper in the state, discussion at prior public hearings and meetings which are broadcast online, publication in the Kansas Register and publication on the Department's website.

I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).

Not applicable.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2019

SCOTT SCHWAB SECRETARY OF STATE

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

AUG 28 2019