	IDENTIFYING	THE RULE A	ND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTI	AL FOR RE	OCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PROGF	RAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	authorizing or implementing statute?	use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing	federally required for state	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal	regulation is		jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation limited # of
						yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no		characters	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		characters
10-1-1 Article 1 Definitions (not in active use)	Revoked	Revoked															
10-2-1 Article 2 Collection and Reporting	Revoked	Revoked															 
10-2-2 Article 2	Revoked	Revoked															
10-2-3 Article 2	Revoked	Revoked															
10-2-4 Article 2	Revoked	Revoked															
10-3-1 Article 3 Security	Revoked	Revoked															
10-3-2 Article 3	Revoked	Revoked										 					
10-3-3 Article 3 10-3-4 Article 3	Revoked Revoked	Revoked Revoked						<u> </u>									<u> </u>
10-3-5 Article 3	Revoked	Revoked															
	Revoked	Revoked															
Dissemination																	
10-4-2 Article 4	Revoked	Revoked															
10-4-3 Article 4	Revoked	Revoked															
10-4-4 Article 4	Revoked	Revoked															
10-4-5 Article 4 10-4-6 Article 4	Revoked Revoked	Revoked Revoked						<u> </u>				<u> </u>					<u> </u>
10-4-7 Article 4	Revoked	Revoked															
10-5-1 Article 5 Inspection and Challenge	Revoked	Revoked															
10-5-2 Article 5	Revoked	Revoked															
10-5-3 Article 5	Revoked	Revoked															 
10-5-4 Article 5	Revoked	Revoked						<u> </u>									<u> </u>
10-5-5 Article 5 10-6-1 Article 6	Revoked Revoked	Revoked Revoked															
Auditing Crimina Justice Agencies (not in active use)	I	11010100															 
10-6-2 Article 6	Revoked	Revoked															
10-7-1 Article 7 Reportable Events; Duplication (not in active use)	Revoked	Revoked															
10-8-1 Article 8 Maintenance of Records (not in active use)	Revoked	Revoked															 

		IDENTIFYING '	THE RULE A	ND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTI	AL FOR RE	VOCATION	lf the mile and		TIES TO	FEDERAL PROG	RAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
							Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public		Is the rule			federally required for state	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of	regulation is federally required, the	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and	could be in jeopardy, the approximate	Additional information necessary to
		Rule and Regulation	Type (new,	Effective Date	Authorizina	Implementing	and administration	purpose in support of	Briefly describe how revocation would affect	regulation being	authorizing or implementing		<u>a federal</u> program or	enforcement authority to	program names and the federal	regulation were	the most recent fiscal	understanding the necessity of this rule
Numbe	Article Title	<u>Title</u>	amended)	(history)	KSA(s)	KSA(s)	of state law?	state law?	Kansans.	revoked?	statute?	change(s)?	authority?	the State?	agency name	repealed?	<u>year</u>	and regulation
10-9-1	Article 9 Definitions	Definitions	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22- 4704	1980 Supp. 22- 4705	Yes I I I I		Revocation may cause ambiguity land confusion of the definitions of terms used throughout the balance of KBI's administrative regulations.		In active use	N/A. In regular	No	No	NA	No	NA	 
10-10-1	Collection and Reporting (not in active use)		Effective		4704	1980 Supp. 22- 4707	Yes		Revocation may undermine the ability of prosecutors and other entities that rely on the accuracy of criminal history record information.		In active use	N/A. In regular use		No	NA	No	NA	
10-10-2	Article 10 Collection and Reporting (not in active use)	Reporting time frame	Amended	Aug. 23, 2013	2012 Supp. 22- 4704, as amended by 2013 HB 2041, sec. 3	2012 Supp. 22- 4705	Yes I I I I I		Revocation may disrupt timely and consistent reporting of criminal history record information which may result in incomplete criminal history record information within the central repository.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	 
10-10-3	Article 10 Collection and Reporting (not in active use)	Forms for reporting arrests	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22- 4704	1980 Supp. 22- 4705	Yes I		Revocation may cause inconsistencies in reporting arrests to the central repository.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	 
10-10-4	Article 10 Collection and Reporting (not in active use)	Reporting of dispositions	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22- 4704	1980 Supp. 22- 4705	Yes		Revocation may cause the central repository to be without the disposition reports on cases in which an arrest was made, potentially resulting in offenses with predicate crimes being charged at the incorrect severity level because the predicates have not been reported.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-10-5	Article 10 Collection and Reporting (not in active use)	Electronic reporting by district courts	Amended	Sept. 19, 2014	4704, as amended by 2014 HB 2501,	2013 Supp. 22- 4704, as amended by 2014 HB 2501, sec. 6	Yes		Revocation may cause the central repository to be without the disposition reports on cases in which an arrest was made, potentially resulting in offenses with predicate crimes being charged at the incorrect severity level because the predicates have not been reported.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-11-1	Article 11 Security (not in active use)	Personnel security; direct access	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22- 4704	1980 Supp. 22- 4706	Yes		Revocation may cause criminal history record information to be physically insecure, leading to potential theft, corruption, or illegal dissemination.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-11-2	Article 11 Security (not in active use)	Transmission of non-conviction criminal history record information	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22- 4704	1980 Supp. 22- 4707	Yes		Revocation may cause non- conviction criminal history to be improperly transmitted, which could lead to personally identifiable information being made public.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	

тсу	10 -Kans	as Bureau of	nvestigation																July 15, 2023;
			IDENTIFYING '	THE RULE A	ND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	AL FOR REV	OCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PROGF	RAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	<u>Number</u> 10-12-1	Article Title Article 12 Dissemination (not in active use)	Rule and Regulation Title Dissemination of conviction records	Type (new, amended) Amended	Effective Date (history) April 19, 2002	KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s) 22-4707	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?		Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans. Revocation may cause criminal history information to be improperly disseminated, which could lead to personally identifiable information being made public.	being revoked? No	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?		federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	if the rule and regulation	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
-	10-12-2	Article 12 Dissemination (not in active use)	Dissemination of non-conviction criminal history record information	Amended	May 1, 1984	1982 Supp. 22- 4704	1982 Supp. 22- 4707	Yes		Revocation may cause non-conviction criminal history information to be improperly transmitted, which could lead to personally identifiable information being made public.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
	10-12-3	Article 12 Dissemination (not in active use)	Dissemination by criminal-justice information system employees	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22- 4704	1980 Supp. 22- 4707	Yes		Revocation may cause criminal history information to be improperly disseminated leading to personally identifiable information being made public.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
-	10-13-1	Article 13 Inspection and Challenge (not in active use)	Right to review and challenge decisions	Amended	April 19, 2002	22-4704	22-4709, 38- 1608, 38-1618	Yes		Revocation would remove the right of an individual to see what criminal history information the KBI stores and challenge any inaccuracies.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
-	10-13-2	Article 13 Inspection and Challenge (not in active use)	Inspection and challenge	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22- 4704	1980 Supp. 22- 4709	Yes		Revocation would remove a means of access for individuals to their own criminal history information, and would remove their ability to challenge any inaccuracies.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
	10-14-1	Article 14 Auditing Criminal Justice Agencies		Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22- 4704	1980 Supp. 22- 4706	Yes		Revocation may remove the means of tracking to whom criminal history information was disseminated, and remove a necessary method of knowing that the dissemination had been done lawfully.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
	10-14-2	Article 14 Auditing Criminal Justice Agencies		Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22- 4704	1980 Supp. 22- 4707	Yes		Revocation may remove the ability to track to whom criminal history information was disseminated, without making it a public record. This ability allows accountability without unnecessary publicity.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
		Article 15 Reportable Events; Duplication	Reportable events, duplication	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22- 4704, 22-4705		Yes		Revocation may remove a necessary safeguard to the accuracy of reports forwarded to the central repository by eliminating the requirement to avoid duplicative reports.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA I	

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE A	ND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTI	AL FOR RE	VOCATION	If the rule and		TIES TO	FEDERAL PROG	RAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
		Rule and	<u> Type</u>	<u>Effective</u>			Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in	Briefly describe how	Is the rule and regulation	change to the authorizing or	regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing	a federal	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement	regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names	if the rule and regulation	could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most	Additional information necessary to understanding the
Number	Article Title	Regulation Title	(new, amended)	<u>Date</u> (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	administration of state law?	support of state law?	revocation would affect Kansans.	<u>being</u> revoked?	implementing statute?	statute, which change(s)?	program or authority?	authority to the State?	and the federal	were repealed?	recent fiscal year	necessity of this rule and regulation
Number 10-16-1		Revoked	Revoked	(MStOLY)	NOA(S)	KOA(S)	OI State law?	<u>state law?</u>		revoked?	statute?	<u>change(s)?</u>	<u>authority?</u>	ine State?	agency name	repealed?	year	and regulation
10-16-2	Article 16	Revoked	Revoked															
10-16-3		Revoked	Revoked															
10-16-4		Revoked	Revoked										 					
10-17-1	Reportable Events; Duplication (not in active use)	Revoked	Revoked				 		 									
10-17-2		Revoked	Revoked															
10-17-3	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked				<u> </u>		<u>i</u>				<u> </u>					
10-18-1	Article 18 Implementation; Administration (not in active use)	Revoked	Revoked															
10-18-2	Article 18	Revoked	Revoked				i		İ									İ
10-19-1	Article 19 Juvenile Justice Information System	Definitions	Effective		Ch. 115, Sec. 1 [38-1519, repealed], Sec.	1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch.	Yes		Revocation may cause ambiguity and confusion of the definitions of terms used throughout the balance of these particular administrative regulations.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No No No No No No No No No No No No No N	NA	No	NA	
10-19-2	Article 19 Juvenile Justice Information System	Additional reportable events	Effective	May 1, 1986	Ch. 115, Sec. 1		Yes		Revocation may remove information regarding reportable events involving juveniles, and create a lack of uniformity in the records submitted to the central repository.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
	Article 19 Juvenile Justice Information System	Obligation to report	Effective	·	Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38-1520, repealed]	1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38- 1520, repealed]	Yes		Revocation may remove the obligation of juvenile justice agencies to report events in a timely manner, thus making the reports in the juvenile justice information system questionable as to content and completeness.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	NA	No	NA	
10-19-4	Article 19 Juvenile Justice Information System	Accuracy and completeness	Effective	May 1, 1986			Yes		Revocation may remove the obligation of juvenile justice agencies to ensure accuracy and completeness of data, thus making the reports in the juvenile justice information system questionable as to content and completeness.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No No No No No No No No No No No No No N	NA	No	NA	
							1		 					 				

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	ATION		NECES	SITY	POTENTI	AL FOR RE	VOCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PROGE	RAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
		Rule and	<u>Туре</u>	Effective_			Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in	Briefly describe how	Is the rule and regulation	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or	use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or	federally required for state	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names			
		Regulation	(new,	<u>Date</u>	Authorizing		administration	support of	revocation would affect	being	implementing	statute, which	program or	authority to	and the federal	were	recent fiscal	necessity of this rule
Num			amended)	(history)	KSA(s)	KSA(s)	of state law?	state law?	Kansans.	revoked?	statute?	change(s)?	authority?	the State?	agency name	repealed?	<u>year</u>	and regulation
10-19	O-5 Article 19 Juvenile Justic Information System	Forms for reporting	Effective	May 1, 1986	1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984,		Yes	Yes	Revocation may allow for linconsistencies in what information adata was reported to the juvenile justice information system because uniform reporting forms would no longer be required, thus making the reports in the juvenile justice information system questionable as to content and completeness.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	 
10-19	Article 19 Juvenile Justic Information System	Duplication in e reporting	Effective	May 1, 1986	1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984,	1983 Supp. 38- 1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38- 1520, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may allow for duplicative reports provided to the juvenile justice information system, making the reports in the juvenile justice information system questionable as to content and accuracy.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-19	Article 19 Juvenile Justic Information System	Responsibility for e reporting	r Effective	May 1, 1986	1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984,	1983 Supp. 38- 1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38- 1520, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove required information to be reported for each event, making the reports in the juvenile justice information system questionable as to content and completeness.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-19	3-8 Article 19 Juvenile Justic Information System	Implementation, e administration, enforcement	Effective	May 1, 1986	1617, 38-1618,	1983 Supp. 38- 1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2(f) [38 1520, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may allow receipt of questionable data due to lack of fingerprint identification to be released without an advisory.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-1	O-9 Article 19 Juvenile Justic Information System	Fingerprints and photographs	Effective	May 1, 1986	L. 1984, Ch. 157, Sec. 3 [38- 1611, repealed]	L. 1984, Ch. 157, - Sec. 3 [38-1611, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may lessen the likelihood that fingerprints would be taken to allow for accurate identification.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-20	Article 20 Missing Persons; Unidentified Deceased Persons	Definitions	Amended	May 4, 2007	2006 Supp. 75- 712b	2006 Supp. 75- 712b	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause ambiguity and confusion of the definitions of terms used throughout the balance of these particular administrative regulations.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No		While not required by federal legislation, these KAR 10-21-1 through 10-20-2 are written to be in compliance with the following federal laws: The Missing Person's and Unidentified Remains Act of 2019, the DNA Sexual Assault Justice Act of 2004, the Justice for All Act of 2004.		NA	

		IDENTIFYING	THE RIII E A	ND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTI	AL FOR RE	VOCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PROGE	RAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
		is citin time	, III KOLL	IIID NEGGE			HESES		1012	ALT ON NE	If the rule and	If the rule and regulation is not in active		1120 10	I EBENAL I NOO!	Could federal	If federal	
Num	har Article T	Rule and Regulation	Type_ (new,	Effective Date		<u>Implementing</u>		Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of	Briefly describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being	regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing	use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which	federally required for state participation in a federal program or	regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to	required, the state and federal program names and the federal	moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were	moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule
Nun 10-2		Title Procedures and	Amended Amended	(history) May 4, 2007	KSA(s) 2006 Supp. 75-		of state law? Yes	state law? Yes	Kansans. Revocation may slow down the	revoked?	statute? In active use	change(s)?  N/A. In regular	authority? No	the State?	agency name While not required by	repealed?	<u>year</u> NA	and regulation
	Missing Persons; Unidentified Deceased Persons	forms for reporting any missing person			712b	712b			required reporting of missing persons, thus lessening the ability of law enforcement to quickly investigate.			use			federal legislation, these KAR 10-21-1 through 10-20-2 are written to be in compliance with the following federal laws: The Missing Person's and Unidentified Remains Act of 2019, the DNA Sexual Assault Justice Act of 2004, the Justice for All Act of 2004.			
	0-2a Article 20 Missing Persons; Unidentified Deceased Persons	Procedures and forms for reporting any unidentified person	Amended	May 4, 2007	2006 Supp. 75- 712c, and	712b, K.S.A. 2006 Supp. 75- 712c, and K.S.A. 2006 Supp. 75-	Yes	Yes	Revocation may lessen the likelihood of unidentified deceased persons being identified and family members notified of their death.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	While not required by federal legislation, these KAR 10-21-1 through 10-20-2 are written to be in compliance with the following federal laws: The Missing Person's and Unidentified Remains Act of 2019, the DNA Sexual Assault Justice Act of 2004, the Justice for All Act of 2004.		NA	
10-2	0-3 Article 20	Revoked	Revoked															

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE A	ND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	AL FOR RE	OCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PROGR	AMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
		Rule and Regulation	<u>Type</u> (new,	Effective Date	Authorizing			Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of	Briefly describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being	authorizing or implementing	use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which	federally required for state participation in a federal program or	enforcement authority to	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal	if the rule and regulation were	jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule
Number 10-20-4	Article Title Article 20 Missing Persons; Unidentified Deceased Persons	Title Dissemination	amended) Amended	(history) May 4, 2007	KSA(s) 75-712b, as amended by L. 2006, ch. 37, sec. 1	KSA(s)  75-712b, as amended by L. 2006, ch. 37, sec.	of state law? Yes		Kansans.  Revocation would make it harder for llocal law enforcement agencies and the Kansas Bureau of Investigation to share information to more rapidly identify missing and unidentified persons.		statute? In active use	change(s)?  N/A. In regular use	authority? No		While not required by federal legislation, these KAR 10-21-1 through 10-20-2 are written to be in compliance with the following federal laws: The Missing Person's and Unidentified Remains Act of 2019, the DNA Sexual Assault Justice Act of 2004, the Justice for All Act of 2004.	No No	year NA	and regulation
10-21-1	Article 21 Kansas Bureau of Investigation DNA Databank	Definitions	Amended	April 19, 2002	2001 Supp. 21- 2511	2001 Supp. 21- 2511	Yes		Revocation may cause ambiguity and confusion of the definitions of terms used throughout the balance of these particular administrative regulations.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA I	No	NA	
10-21-2	Article 21 Kansas Bureau of Investigation DNA Databank	Purpose of DNA identification	Amended	April 19, 2002	2001 Supp. 21- 2511	2001 Supp. 21- 2511	Yes		Revocation may remove limitations and allow the use of DNA identification for potentially illegal or unintended purposes.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA I	No	NA	 
10-21-3	Article 21 Kansas Bureau of Investigation DNA Databank	Procedural compatibility with the FBI	Amended	April 19, 2002	2511, as	2000 Supp. 21- 2511, as amended by L. 2001, ch. 208, sec. 2	Yes		Revocation may remove KBI compatibility requirements with national databases and records.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA I	No	NA	
10-21-4	Article 21 Kansas Bureau of Investigation DNA Databank	Expungement	Amended	April 19, 2002	2001 Supp. 21- 2511	2001 Supp. 21- 2511	Yes		Revocation may remove the ability of individuals to request that their DNA record / profile be removed under statutorily appropriate circumstances.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA I	No	NA	
10-21-5	Article 21 Kansas Bureau of Investigation DNA Databank	Maintenance	Amended	April 19, 2002	2511, as	2000 Supp. 21- 2511, as amended by L. 2001, ch. 208, sec. 2	Yes		Revocation may remove confidentiality and access rules to DNA records maintained by the KBI.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA I	No	NA	
10-21-6	of Investigation	Collection of samples for DNA databank procedures	Amended	April 19, 2002	2001 Supp. 21- 2511	2001 Supp. 21- 2511	Yes		Revocation may remove protections on the collection requirements and procedures for DNA samples for the databank.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA I	No	NA	
10-22-1		Approved field tests	Amended	Feb. 11, 2022	22-2902c	22-2902c	Yes		Revocation may allow non-validated field tests to be used by law enforcement, which could undermine probable cause at a preliminary hearing.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA I	No	NA	

		IDENTIFYING '	THE RULE A	ND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTI	AL FOR RE	OCATION	If the rule and		TIES TO	FEDERAL PROGR	RAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
							Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public		Is the rule	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the	regulation is not in active	federally required for state participation in	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal	if the rule and	jeopardy, the approximate	Additional information necessary to
		Rule and Regulation	<u>Type</u> (new,	Effective Date	Authorizina	Implementing	and administration	purpose in support of	Briefly describe how revocation would affect	regulation being	authorizing or implementing		<u>a federal</u> program or	enforcement authority to	program names and the federal	regulation were	the most recent fiscal	understanding the necessity of this rule
Number		<u>Title</u>	amended)	(history)	KSA(s)	KSA(s)	of state law?	state law?	Kansans.	revoked?	statute?	change(s)?	authority?	the State?	agency name	repealed?	<u>year</u>	and regulation
10-23-1	Article 23 Kansas Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Repository	Definitions	Effective	June 21, 2019	2018 Supp. 60- 4127	2018 Supp. 60- 4127	Yes		Revocation may cause ambiguity and confusion of the definitions of terms used throughout the balance of these particular administrative regulations.		In active use	N/A. In regular	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-23-2	Article 23 Kansas Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Repository	Accuracy and completeness; duplicate reporting prohibited	Effective		2018 Supp. 60- 4127	4127	Yes		Revocation would allow inaccurate or incomplete reporting by law lenforcement agencies, thereby potentially undermining the validity of the data submitted.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No 	No	NA	No	NA	
10-23-3	Article 23 Kansas Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Repository	Means of reporting	Effective		2018 Supp. 60- 4127	4127	Yes		Revocation may remove the uniformity of the data fields submitted to the KBI thus increasing entry and maintenance time and effort.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-23-4	Article 23 Kansas Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Repository	Seizure for forfeiture report	Effective	June 21, 2019	2018 Supp. 60- 4127	2018 Supp. 60- 4127	Yes		Revocation may remove appropriate procedures for submission and review of reports from law lenforcement agencies by KBI personnel and alter the timeline for any changes necessary.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-23-5	Article 23 Kansas Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Repository	Forfeiture fund report	Effective	June 21, 2019	2018 Supp. 60- 4127	2018 Supp. 60- 4127	Yes		Revocation may remove appropriate procedures for submission and review of reports from law enforcement agencies by KBI personnel and the timeline for any changes necessary. It would also removes the provisions for agencies who have zero seizures for the calendar year.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-23-6	Article 23 Kansas Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Repository	Point of contact	Effective	June 21, 2019	2018 Supp. 60- 4127	2018 Supp. 60- 4127	Yes		Revocation may remove the point of contact requirement for law enforcement agencies creating confusion about who is responsible for completing the annual report.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-24-1	Article 24 Kansas Scrap Metal Data Repository	Definitions	Effective	Sept. 11, 2020	amended by L.	2019 Supp. 50- 6,110, as amended by L. 2019, ch. 66, sec. 6	Yes		Revocation may remove definitions necessary for the rest of the regulations relating to scrap metal.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-24-2	Article 24 Kansas Scrap Metal Data Repository	Information to be submitted	Effective		amended by L.	2019 Supp. 50- 6,110, as amended by L. 2019, ch. 66, sec. 6	Yes		Revocation may remove tracking regulations to ensure the identity of individuals selling scrap metal, so that if they are found to be selling stolen scrap metal, they can be apprehended and prosecuted.		In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	

		IDENTIFYING T	HE RULE A	ND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTI	AL FOR RE\	OCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PROGE	RAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number 10-24-3		Rule and Regulation Title  Manner of submission	Type (new, amended) Effective	Effective Date (history) Sept. 11, 2020	Authorizing KSA(s) 2019 Supp. 50-6,110, as amended by L. 2019, ch. 66, sec. 6	KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans. Revocation may remove the luniformity of the data fields submitted to the KBI thus increasing entry and maintenance time and effort.		If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
10-25-1	Article 25 Testing Oral Fluid for Law Enforcement Purposes	Definitions	New	February 17, 2023	K.S.A. 75- 712h, as amended by L. 2022, ch. 80, sec. 23; effective Feb. 17, 2023	K.S.A. 75-712h, as amended by L. 2022, ch. 80, sec. 23; effective Feb. 17, 2024	Yes		Revocation may remove a method which allows for law enforcement to collect more accurate information roadside when they believe a substance other than alcohol may be impairing drivers. This provides officers additional information, in relation to the totality of the circumstances, when making decisions regarding arrest and removal of impaired drivers from Kansas roadways.	No	In Active Use	N/A In regular use	No No I	No	NA	No	NA	
10-25-2	Article 25 Testing Oral Fluid for Law Enforcement Purposes	Preliminary Oral Fluid Screening Devices	New	February 17, 2024	K.S.A. 75- 712h, as amended by L. 2022, ch. 80, sec. 23; effective Feb. 17, 2023	K.S.A. 75-712h, as amended by L. 2022, ch. 80, sec. 23; effective Feb. 17, 2024		Yes	Revocation may remove a method which allows for law enforcement to collect more accurate information roadside when they believe a substance other than alcohol may be impairing drivers. This provides officers additional information, in relation to the totality of the circumstances, when making decisions regarding arrest and removal of impaired drivers from Kansas roadways.	No	In Active Use	N/A In regular use	No No	No	NA	No	NA	