



IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
10-10-1	Article 10.- Collection and Reporting (not in active use)	Accuracy and completeness	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22-4704	1980 Supp. 22-4707	Yes	Yes	Revocation may undermine the ability of prosecutors and other entities that rely on the accuracy of criminal history record information.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-10-2	Article 10.- Collection and Reporting (not in active use)	Reporting time frame	Amended	Aug. 23, 2013	2012 Supp. 22-4704, as amended by 2013 HB 2041, sec. 3	2012 Supp. 22-4705	Yes	Yes	Revocation may disrupt timely and consistent reporting of criminal history record information which may result in incomplete criminal history record information within the central repository.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-10-3	Article 10.- Collection and Reporting (not in active use)	Forms for reporting arrests	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22-4704	1980 Supp. 22-4705	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause inconsistencies in reporting arrests to the central repository.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-10-4	Article 10.- Collection and Reporting (not in active use)	Reporting of dispositions	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22-4704	1980 Supp. 22-4705	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause the central repository to be without the disposition reports on cases in which an arrest was made, potentially resulting in offenses with predicate crimes being charged at the incorrect severity level because the predicates have not been reported.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-10-5	Article 10.- Collection and Reporting (not in active use)	Electronic reporting by district courts	Amended	Sept. 19, 2014	2013 Supp. 22-4704, as amended by 2014 HB 2501, sec. 6	2013 Supp. 22-4704, as amended by 2014 HB 2501, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause the central repository to be without the disposition reports on cases in which an arrest was made, potentially resulting in offenses with predicate crimes being charged at the incorrect severity level because the predicates have not been reported.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-11-1	Article 11.- Security (not in active use)	Personnel security; direct access	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22-4704	1980 Supp. 22-4706	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause criminal history record information to be physically insecure, leading to potential theft, corruption, or illegal dissemination.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-11-2	Article 11.- Security (not in active use)	Transmission of non-conviction criminal history record information	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22-4704	1980 Supp. 22-4707	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause non-conviction criminal history to be improperly transmitted, which could lead to personally identifiable information being made public.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
10-12-1	Article 12.- Dissemination (not in active use)	Dissemination of conviction records	Amended	April 19, 2002	22-4704	22-4707	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause criminal history information to be improperly disseminated, which could lead to personally identifiable information being made public.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-12-2	Article 12.- Dissemination (not in active use)	Dissemination of non-conviction criminal history record information	Amended	May 1, 1984	1982 Supp. 22-4704	1982 Supp. 22-4707	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause non-conviction criminal history information to be improperly transmitted, which could lead to personally identifiable information being made public.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-12-3	Article 12.- Dissemination (not in active use)	Dissemination by criminal-justice information system employees	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22-4704	1980 Supp. 22-4707	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause criminal history information to be improperly disseminated leading to personally identifiable information being made public.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-13-1	Article 13.- Inspection and Challenge (not in active use)	Right to review and challenge decisions	Amended	April 19, 2002	22-4704	22-4709, 38-1608, 38-1618	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the right of an individual to see what criminal history information the KBI stores and challenge any inaccuracies.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-13-2	Article 13.- Inspection and Challenge (not in active use)	Inspection and challenge	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22-4704	1980 Supp. 22-4709	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove a means of access for individuals to their own criminal history information, and would remove their ability to challenge any inaccuracies.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-14-1	Article 14.- Auditing Criminal Justice Agencies	Logging of disseminations	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22-4704	1980 Supp. 22-4706	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove the means of tracking to whom criminal history information was disseminated, and remove a necessary method of knowing that the dissemination had been done lawfully.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-14-2	Article 14.- Auditing Criminal Justice Agencies	Disclosure of dissemination log	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22-4704	1980 Supp. 22-4707	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove the ability to track to whom criminal history information was disseminated, without making it a public record. This ability allows accountability without unnecessary publicity.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-15-1	Article 15.- Reportable Events; Duplication	Reportable events, duplication	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 22-4704, 22-4705	1980 Supp. 22-4706	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove a necessary safeguard to the accuracy of reports forwarded to the central repository by eliminating the requirement to avoid duplicative reports.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
10-16-2	Article 16	Revoked	Revoked															
10-16-3	Article 16	Revoked	Revoked															
10-16-4	Article 16	Revoked	Revoked															
10-17-1	Article 17.- Reportable Events; Duplication (not in active use)	Revoked	Revoked															
10-17-2	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
10-17-3	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
10-18-1	Article 18.- Implementation; Administration (not in active use)	Revoked	Revoked															
10-18-2	Article 18	Revoked	Revoked															
10-19-1	Article 19.- Juvenile Justice Information System	Definitions	Effective	May 1, 1986	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 1 [38-1519, repealed], Sec. 2 [38-1520, repealed]	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 1 [38-1519, repealed], Sec. 2 [38-1520, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause ambiguity and confusion of the definitions of terms used throughout the balance of these particular administrative regulations.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-19-2	Article 19.- Juvenile Justice Information System	Additional reportable events	Effective	May 1, 1986	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 1 [38-1519, repealed]	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 1 [38-1519, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove information regarding reportable events involving juveniles, and create a lack of uniformity in the records submitted to the central repository.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-19-3	Article 19.- Juvenile Justice Information System	Obligation to report	Effective	May 1, 1986	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38-1520, repealed]	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38-1520, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove the obligation of juvenile justice agencies to report events in a timely manner, thus making the reports in the juvenile justice information system questionable as to content and completeness.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-19-4	Article 19.- Juvenile Justice Information System	Accuracy and completeness	Effective	May 1, 1986	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38-1520, repealed]	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38-1520, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove the obligation of juvenile justice agencies to ensure accuracy and completeness of data, thus making the reports in the juvenile justice information system questionable as to content and completeness.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION						NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
10-19-6	Article 19.- Juvenile Justice Information System	Duplication in reporting	Effective	May 1, 1986	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38-1520, repealed]	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38-1520, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may allow for duplicative reports provided to the juvenile justice information system, making the reports in the juvenile justice information system questionable as to content and accuracy.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-19-7	Article 19.- Juvenile Justice Information System	Responsibility for reporting	Effective	May 1, 1986	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38-1520, repealed]	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2 [38-1520, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove required information to be reported for each event, making the reports in the juvenile justice information system questionable as to content and completeness.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-19-8	Article 19.- Juvenile Justice Information System	Implementation, administration, enforcement	Effective	May 1, 1986	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2(f) [38-1520, repealed]	1983 Supp. 38-1617, 38-1618, and L. 1984, Ch. 115, Sec. 2(f) [38-1520, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may allow receipt of questionable data due to lack of fingerprint identification to be released without an advisory.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-19-9	Article 19.- Juvenile Justice Information System	Fingerprints and photographs	Effective	May 1, 1986	L. 1984, Ch. 157, Sec. 3 [38-1611, repealed]	L. 1984, Ch. 157, Sec. 3 [38-1611, repealed]	Yes	Yes	Revocation may lessen the likelihood that fingerprints would be taken to allow for accurate identification.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-20-1	Article 20.- Missing Persons; Unidentified Deceased Persons	Definitions	Amended	May 4, 2007	2006 Supp. 75-712b	2006 Supp. 75-712b	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause ambiguity and confusion of the definitions of terms used throughout the balance of these particular administrative regulations.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	While not required by federal legislation, these KAR 10-21-1 through 10-20-2 are written to be in compliance with the following federal laws: The Missing Person's and Unidentified Remains Act of 2019, the DNA Sexual Assault Justice Act of 2004, the Justice for All Act of 2004.	No	NA	



IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
10-20-4	Article 20.- Missing Persons; Unidentified Deceased Persons	Dissemination	Amended	May 4, 2007	75-712b, as amended by L. 2006, ch. 37, sec. 1	75-712b, as amended by L. 2006, ch. 37, sec. 1	Yes	Yes	Revocation would make it harder for local law enforcement agencies and the Kansas Bureau of Investigation to share information to more rapidly identify missing and unidentified persons.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	While not required by federal legislation, these KAR 10-21-1 through 10-20-2 are written to be in compliance with the following federal laws: The Missing Person's and Unidentified Remains Act of 2019, the DNA Sexual Assault Justice Act of 2004, the Justice for All Act of 2004.	No	NA	
10-21-1	Article 21.- Kansas Bureau of Investigation DNA Databank	Definitions	Amended	April 19, 2002	2001 Supp. 21-2511	2001 Supp. 21-2511	Yes	Yes	Revocation may cause ambiguity and confusion of the definitions of terms used throughout the balance of these particular administrative regulations.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-21-2	Article 21.- Kansas Bureau of Investigation DNA Databank	Purpose of DNA identification	Amended	April 19, 2002	2001 Supp. 21-2511	2001 Supp. 21-2511	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove limitations and allow the use of DNA identification for potentially illegal or unintended purposes.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-21-3	Article 21.- Kansas Bureau of Investigation DNA Databank	Procedural compatibility with the FBI	Amended	April 19, 2002	2000 Supp. 21-2511, as amended by L. 2001, ch. 208, sec. 2	2000 Supp. 21-2511, as amended by L. 2001, ch. 208, sec. 2	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove KBI compatibility requirements with national databases and records.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-21-4	Article 21.- Kansas Bureau of Investigation DNA Databank	Expungement	Amended	April 19, 2002	2001 Supp. 21-2511	2001 Supp. 21-2511	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove the ability of individuals to request that their DNA record / profile be removed under statutorily appropriate circumstances.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-21-5	Article 21.- Kansas Bureau of Investigation DNA Databank	Maintenance	Amended	April 19, 2002	2000 Supp. 21-2511, as amended by L. 2001, ch. 208, sec. 2	2000 Supp. 21-2511, as amended by L. 2001, ch. 208, sec. 2	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove confidentiality and access rules to DNA records maintained by the KBI.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-21-6	Article 21.- Kansas Bureau of Investigation DNA Databank	Collection of samples for DNA databank procedures	Amended	April 19, 2002	2001 Supp. 21-2511	2001 Supp. 21-2511	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove protections on the collection requirements and procedures for DNA samples for the databank.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-22-1	Article 22.-Field Testing for Controlled Substances	Approved field tests	Amended	Feb. 11, 2022	22-2902c	22-2902c	Yes	Yes	Revocation may allow non-validated field tests to be used by law enforcement, which could undermine probable cause at a preliminary hearing.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
10-23-2	Article 23.- Kansas Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Repository	Accuracy and completeness; duplicate reporting prohibited	Effective	June 21, 2019	2018 Supp. 60-4127	2018 Supp. 60-4127	Yes	Yes	Revocation would allow inaccurate or incomplete reporting by law enforcement agencies, thereby potentially undermining the validity of the data submitted.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-23-3	Article 23.- Kansas Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Repository	Means of reporting	Effective	June 21, 2019	2018 Supp. 60-4127	2018 Supp. 60-4127	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove the uniformity of the data fields submitted to the KBI thus increasing entry and maintenance time and effort.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-23-4	Article 23.- Kansas Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Repository	Seizure for forfeiture report	Effective	June 21, 2019	2018 Supp. 60-4127	2018 Supp. 60-4127	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove appropriate procedures for submission and review of reports from law enforcement agencies by KBI personnel and alter the timeline for any changes necessary.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-23-5	Article 23.- Kansas Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Repository	Forfeiture fund report	Effective	June 21, 2019	2018 Supp. 60-4127	2018 Supp. 60-4127	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove appropriate procedures for submission and review of reports from law enforcement agencies by KBI personnel and the timeline for any changes necessary. It would also removes the provisions for agencies who have zero seizures for the calendar year.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-23-6	Article 23.- Kansas Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Repository	Point of contact	Effective	June 21, 2019	2018 Supp. 60-4127	2018 Supp. 60-4127	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove the point of contact requirement for law enforcement agencies creating confusion about who is responsible for completing the annual report.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-24-1	Article 24.- Kansas Scrap Metal Data Repository	Definitions	Effective	Sept. 11, 2020	2019 Supp. 50-6,110, as amended by L. 2019, ch. 66, sec. 6	2019 Supp. 50-6,110, as amended by L. 2019, ch. 66, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove definitions necessary for the rest of the regulations relating to scrap metal.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-24-2	Article 24.- Kansas Scrap Metal Data Repository	Information to be submitted	Effective	Sept. 11, 2020	2019 Supp. 50-6,110, as amended by L. 2019, ch. 66, sec. 6	2019 Supp. 50-6,110, as amended by L. 2019, ch. 66, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove tracking regulations to ensure the identity of individuals selling scrap metal, so that if they are found to be selling stolen scrap metal, they can be apprehended and prosecuted.	No	In active use	N/A. In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	



IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
10-25-1	Article 25. - Testing Oral Fluid for Law Enforcement Purposes	Definitions	New	February 17, 2023	K.S.A. 75-712h, as amended by L. 2022, ch. 80, sec. 23; effective Feb. 17, 2023	K.S.A. 75-712h, as amended by L. 2022, ch. 80, sec. 23; effective Feb. 17, 2024	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove a method which allows for law enforcement to collect more accurate information roadside when they believe a substance other than alcohol may be impairing drivers. This provides officers additional information, in relation to the totality of the circumstances, when making decisions regarding arrest and removal of impaired drivers from Kansas roadways.	No	In Active Use	N/A In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	
10-25-2	Article 25. - Testing Oral Fluid for Law Enforcement Purposes	Preliminary Oral Fluid Screening Devices	New	February 17, 2024	K.S.A. 75-712h, as amended by L. 2022, ch. 80, sec. 23; effective Feb. 17, 2023	K.S.A. 75-712h, as amended by L. 2022, ch. 80, sec. 23; effective Feb. 17, 2024	Yes	Yes	Revocation may remove a method which allows for law enforcement to collect more accurate information roadside when they believe a substance other than alcohol may be impairing drivers. This provides officers additional information, in relation to the totality of the circumstances, when making decisions regarding arrest and removal of impaired drivers from Kansas roadways.	No	In Active Use	N/A In regular use	No	No	NA	No	NA	

**KLRD Note:** For printing purposes, article titles were removed for revoked rules and regulations.