		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	TION		NECES	SSITY	POTENTIA	AL FOR REV	OCATION	If the wile and		TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	GRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	participation in a federal	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/ yes/ no	1	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-1-1	Article 1Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Revoked			2-1904, 2-1915	2-1915												
11-1-2	Article 1	Revoked			2-1904, 2-1915													
11-1-3		Revoked			2-1904, 2-1915													
11-1-4 11-1-5		Revoked Revoked			2-1904, 2-1915 2-1904, 2-1915													
11-1-6	Article 1Water Resources Cost-Share Program		Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2- 1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1		Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources		In active use		No No	No		Yes	jeopardy	Water Resources Cost- Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-1-7	Cost-Share	Allocation of water resources cost-share program funding	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915		Yes I I I I I	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources	No	In active use		No No I	No		Yes	jeopardy	Water Resources Cost- Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-1-8	Article 1Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Conservation district program	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2- 1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1		Yes		Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources		In active use		No	No		Yes	jeopardy without the state match	Water Resources Cost- Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-1-9		Financial assistance contract	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2- 1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1		Yes		Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources		In active use		No N	No		Yes	jeopardy without the state match	Water Resources Cost- Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-1-10	Article 1Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Cancellation of funds	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915		Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources		In active use		No N	No		Yes	jeopardy without the	Water Resources Cost- Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	L FOR RE\	OCATION	If the rule and		TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	GRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
							ls the rule and regulation necessary for	Does the rule and regulation serve an			If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation	regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to	Is the rule and regulation federally required for	Is the rule and regulation necessary for	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and	jeopardy	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate	
			_				the implementation	identifiable public		Is the rule and	change to the		participation in		<u>federal</u> <u>program</u>	under current law if the rule	amount received for	Additional information necessary to
		Rule and Regulation	Type (new,	Effective Date	Authorizing	Implementing	and administration	purpose in support of	Briefly describe how revocation would affect	regulation being	authorizing or implementing	implementing statute, which	<u>a federal</u> <u>program or</u>	enforcement authority to	names and the federal	and regulation were	the most recent fiscal	understanding the necessity of this rule
Number	Article Title	<u>Title</u>	amended)	(history)	KSA(s)	KSA(s)	of state law?	state law?	<u>Kansans.</u>	revoked?	statute? in active use/	change(s)?	authority?	the State?	agency name	repealed?	<u>year</u>	and regulation
	Tarana aran	T- 1		T	1	1	yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	yes/ no	characters	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-1-11	Article 1Water Resources	Contract between the	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2- 1915, as		l Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial	No	In active use		l No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in jeopardy	Water Resources Cost- Share is our flagship
	Cost-Share	landowner and			amended by L.		 		assistance to address natural				 				without the	program to address water
	Program	the state conservation			2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1		 		resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade				 				state match	resource concerns on private lands across
		commission					 		lwater resources				 					Kansas.
11-1-12		Special projects	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2-		Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in	Water Resources Cost-
	Resources Cost-Share				1915, as amended by L.		i I		would not have access to financial assistance to address natural				i I				jeopardy without the	Share is our flagship program to address water
	Program				2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1		 		resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade				i I				state match	resource concerns on private lands across
					3ec. 1		 		water resources				i I					Kansas.
11-1-13	Article 1Water	Irrigation funding	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2-		Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in	Water Resources Cost-
	Resources Cost-Share	procedures			1915, as amended by L.		! 		would not have access to financial assistance to address natural								, , ,	Share is our flagship program to address water
	Program				2001, Ch. 64,		! 		resource concerns that reduce				! 				without the state match	resource concerns on
					Sec. 1		 		agricultural production and degrade water resources				! !					private lands across Kansas.
11-1-14	Article 1Water	Datition for	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2 1004	2-1915	I I	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers	No	In active use		 	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in	
11-1-14	Resources	reconsideration	Ellective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904	2-1915	165	165	would not have access to financial	INU	iii active use		110	INO		162	jeopardy	Share is our flagship
	Cost-Share Program						 		assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce				 				without the state match	program to address water resource concerns on
	rogram						 		agricultural production and degrade				 				State materi	private lands across
							[[water resources				 					Kansas.
11-3-1	Article 3 Watershed	Definitions	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-		l Yes		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected		In active use		l No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not
	Dam				1915, and L.	2005, ch. 206,	i I		from flood damage if the program				i I					be as well protected from
	Construction Program				2005, ch. 206, sec. 75	sec. 75 [proviso]	 		was revoked.				i I					flood damage if the program was revoked.
					[proviso]		! 						! 					
11-3-2	Article 3	Application for	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A.		Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural		In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and
	Watershed Dam	construction			2005 Supp. 2- 1915, and L.	1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206,	! 		land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program				! !					agricultural land would not be as well protected from
	Construction				2005, ch. 206,	sec. 75 [proviso]	! 		was revoked.				! !					flood damage if the
	Program				sec. 75 [proviso]		 		! !				 					program was revoked.
11-3-3	Article 3	Permit to	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A.	2005 Supp. 2-	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural	No	In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and
	Watershed	construct or		3 2 1, 2000	2005 Supp. 2-	1915 and L.			land would not be as well protected		350.75		!					agricultural land would not
	Dam Construction	rehabilitate				2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	 		Ifrom flood damage if the program was revoked.				 					be as well protected from flood damage if the
	Program				sec. 75 [proviso]		 		I I				 					program was revoked.
					[ρισνίδυ]		 						 					

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	TION		NECES	SSITY	POTENTIA	AL FOR RE\	OCATION	If the rule and		TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	OGRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
							Is the rule and regulation necessary for	Does the rule and regulation serve an			If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation	regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to	Is the rule and regulation federally required for	Is the rule and regulation necessary for	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and	Could federal	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate	
		Rule and	Type	Effective			the implementation and	identifiable public purpose in	Briefly describe how	Is the rule and regulation	require a change to the authorizing or	the authorizing or implementing	state participation in a federal	federal delegation of enforcement	federal program names and	under current law if the rule and regulation	amount received for the most	Additional information necessary to understanding the
Numbe	r Article Title	Regulation Title	(new, amended)	<u>Date</u> (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	administration of state law?	support of state law?	revocation would affect Kansans.	being revoked?	implementing statute?	change(s)?	program or authority?	authority to the State?	the federal agency name	<u>were</u> <u>repealed?</u>	recent fiscal year	necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/ yes/ no	limited # of characters	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-3-4	Article 3 Watershed Dam Construction Program	Allocation of funds	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2- 1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2- 1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-5	Article 3 Watershed Dam Construction Program	Contract	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2004 Supp. 2- 1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2- 1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.		In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-6	Article 3 Watershed Dam Construction Program	Partial payments	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2- 1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2- 1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes Yes		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.		In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-7	Article 3 Watershed Dam Construction Program	Notification of completion	Amended	March 24, 2006	2005 Supp. 2- 1915, and L.	2005 Supp. 2- 1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.		In active use		No I	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-8	Article 3 Watershed Dam Construction Program	Alterations to project plan	Amended	March 24, 2006	2005 Supp. 2- 1915, and L.		Yes		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.		In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-9	Article 3 Watershed Dam Construction Program	Supplemental application procedures	Amended	March 24, 2006			Yes	1	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.		In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-10	Article 3 Watershed Dam Construction Program	Inspection	Amended	March 24, 2006	2005 Supp. 2- 1915, and L.		Yes		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.		In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	AL FOR RE	OCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	OGRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective <u>Date</u> (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-3-11	Article 3 Watershed Dam Construction Program	Application for rehabilitation	Effective		2005 Supp. 2- 1915, and L.	2005 Supp. 2- 1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	yes/no Yes	yes/no Yes	limited # of characters Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	1	in active use/ yes/ no In active use	limited # of characters	yes/no No	yes/no No		yes/no No		limited # of characters Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-12	Article 3 Watershed Dam Construction Program	Application for financial assistance for inundation area mapping	Effective		2005 Supp. 2- 1915, and L.	2005 Supp. 2- 1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program lwas revoked.	1	In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-4-1	Article 4 Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Definitions	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a- 1602		Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease		No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-2	Article 4 Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Phase I letter of interest	Amended		2005 Supp. 82a- 1602 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation popportunities for citizens would Idecrease		No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.

Agency 11 - Department of Agriculture - Division of Conservation

July 15, 2023, p. 5 of 19

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	L FOR REV	OCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	GRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	being revoked?	change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	federally required for	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal	required, the	Could federal moneys be in	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/ yes/ no		yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-4-3	Article 4 Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Phase II letter of intent	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a 1602 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	a-2005 Supp. 82a- 1604, 82a-1605, and 82a-1606 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	Yes		Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-4	Article 4 Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Review process	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a 1602 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	-82a-1607	Yes		Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No		This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-5	Article 4 Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Funding	Effective	May 1, 1986	L. 1985, Ch. 342, Sec. 2 [82a-1602]	L. 1985, Ch. 342, Sec. 2 [82a-1602]	Yes		Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.

			IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	ATION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	L FOR RE	OCATION	15.0		TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	GRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Num	nber Arti	icle Title	Rule and Regulation <u>Title</u>	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
								yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/ yes/ no		yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-4	Multip	purpose I Lakes	Phase III application	Amended		2005 Supp. 82a- 1602 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607		Yes Yes IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII		Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease		No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4	Multip	purpose I Lakes	State contract	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a- 1602 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	-82a-1607	Yes Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease		No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-2		purpose I Lakes	Procedures	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	1602 and	-2005 Supp. 82a- 1604, 82a-1605, and 82a-1606 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease		No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	ATION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	AL FOR RE	OCATION	1511		TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	GRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Numbe	<u>Article Title</u>	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/ yes/ no	limited # of characters	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-4-9	Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Partial payments	Amended		1602 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	-2005 Supp. 82a- 1604, 82a-1605, and 82a-1606 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease		No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-10	Article 4 Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Notification of completion	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a 1602 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	-82a-1607	Yes Yes IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease		No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-11	Article 4 Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Modifications to construction or renovation plan	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a 1602 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	-2005 Supp. 82a- 1604, 82a-1605, and 82a-1606 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease		No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	L FOR REV	OCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	GRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	being revoked?	change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal	required, the	Could federal moneys be in	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/ yes/ no		yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-4-12	Article 4 Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Amendment to costs of project	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	1602 and K.S.A. 82a-	-2005 Supp. 82a- 1604, 82a-1605, and 82a-1606 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	Yes		Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-13	Article 4 Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Maintenance	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a 1602 and K.S.A. 82a- 1607	-82a-1607	Yes		Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No		This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-14	Article 4 Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Annual inspection	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a 1602	-2005 Supp. 82a- 1602	Yes		Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No		This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.

		IDENTIFYING	THE DILLE	AND DECIII A	TION		NECES	RITY	POTENTIA	L FOR RE\	/OCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	ACDAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
		IDENTIFTING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	TION		NECES	3111	POTENTIA	L FOR KEY	If the rule	If the rule and regulation is		IIES IO	FEDERAL PRO	GRAIVIS		INI ORWIATION
								Does the			and regulation is	not in active	Is the rule and		If the rule and regulation is		If federal moneys	
							ls the rule and regulation	rule and regulation			not in active use, would		regulation federally	ls the rule and regulation		Could federal moneys be in	could be in	
							necessary for	serve an identifiable		lo the rule	revocation	a change to	required for	necessary for	state and	jeopardy under current	approximate	
							the implementation	public		Is the rule and	change to the		participation in		<u>federal</u> <u>program</u>	law if the rule	amount received for	necessary to
		Rule and Regulation	Type (new,	Effective Date	Authorizing	Implementing	and administration	purpose in support of	Briefly describe how revocation would affect	regulation being	authorizing or implementing		<u>a federal</u> <u>program or</u>	enforcement authority to	names and the federal	and regulation were	the most recent fiscal	understanding the necessity of this rule
Number	Article Title		amended)	(history)	KSA(s)	KSA(s)	of state law?	state law?	Kansans.	revoked?	statute? in active use/	change(s)?	authority?	the State?	agency name	repealed?	year	and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no		yes/no	yes/ no	characters	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-4-15	Article 4 Multipurpose	Eligible components of	Effective	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a 1602	1-2005 Supp. 82a- 1603(m), 82a-	l Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would	No	No	This program has not been funded		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water
	Small Lakes	renovation			1002	1604, 82a-1605,	 		decrease			recently but we						supply across Kansas.
	Programs	projects				and 82a-1606	i I		I I			believe it is important in						I I
							i I		İ			protecting natural						i
							İ		i			resources and public water						i
							į		! 			supplies in Kansas.						
									i I			italisas.						İ
11-4-16	Article 4 Multipurpose	Testing and disposal of	Effective	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a 1602 and	1-182a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable	No	No	This program has not been funded	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water
	Small Lakes Programs	sediment from reservoir			K.S.A. 82a- 1607		! !					recently but we believe it is						supply across Kansas.
	Frograms	reservoir			1007		! !		I I			important in						i i
							 		 			protecting natural resources and						I I
							 		l I			public water						I I
							 		I I			supplies in Kansas.						I I
							i i		i i									i
11-6-1	Article 6Water	r Definitions	Effective	Nov 14 2009	2007 Supp. 92a	n-2007 Supp. 82a-	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would	No	No	This program has	No	No		No		This program protects and
11-0-1	Supply	Deminions	Ellective	1907. 14, 2008	2007 Supp. 82a 2101	2101 Supp. 82a-	i res	res	not be as reliable	INO	INO	not been funded		INO		INU		enhances public water
	Restoration Program						İ		i			recently but we believe it is						supply across Kansas.
	rogium						į		! 			important in						i I
							! !					protecting natural resources and						į
							i !		! !			public water						l I
							I I		I I			supplies in Kansas.						I I
							l I		I I									I I
							<u> </u>		<u> </u>									

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE A	AND REGULA	ATION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	AL FOR RE\	OCATION	If the rule and		TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	GRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Numbel	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	<u>Type</u> (<u>new.</u> amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	federally	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	
							yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no		characters	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-6-2	Program	components of projects	Effective			2101	Yes I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable			This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-6-3	Article 6Water Supply Restoration Program	Letter of interest	Effective			2101	Yes		Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable			This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-6-4	Article 6Water Supply Restoration Program	Letter of intent	Effective	Nov. 14, 2008	2007 Supp. 82a- 2101	2007 Supp. 82a- 2101	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable	No		This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	ATION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	AL FOR RE	OCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	GRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	
				<u> </u>			ves/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/ yes/ no		yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-6-5	Supply Restoration Program	Restoration plan	Effective		2101	2007 Supp. 82a- 2101	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-6-6	Supply Restoration Program	Review process	Effective		2101	-2007 Supp. 82a- 2101	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable			This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-7-1	Article 7Non- Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Definitions	Amended	Dec. 27, 1999).	. 1998 Supp. 2- 1915	1998 Supp. 2- 1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No		This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.		No		Yes	without state	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.

Signature Control Fund Point Source Point S	federal moneys and be in pardy, the proximate amount ceived for the most cent fiscal year Imited # of characters Imited # of characters Imited # of
Rule and Number Article Title Regulation Authorizing Implemention administration support of state law? IT 17-2 Article 7-Non- Point Source Point Source Point Control Fund IT 7-8 Article 7-Non- Point Source Point Control Fund Point Source Point Control Fund IT 7-8 Article 7-Non- Point Source Point Control Fund IT 7-8 Article 7-Non- Point Source Poi	necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation limited # of characters 750,000 in leopardy thout state match match necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation limited # of characters rounding the necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
Number Article Title Title	necessity of this rule and regulation limited # of characters 750,000 in leopardy thout state match match necessity of this rule and regulation limited # of characters Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all
yes/no yes/no yes/no limited # of characters yes/no	limited # of characters 750,000 in Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source match water to ensure adequate water supplies for all
Section Project work Project work Point Source Point Sou	750,000 in Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source match water to ensure adequate water supplies for all
Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution management Control Fund Point Source Pollution and sustain agricultural production. Point Source Pollution management plan Point Source Pollution and sustain agricultural production. Project work Point Source Pollution Control Fund Project work Plan Amended Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Project work Plan Project work Plan Project work Plan Project work Plan Amended Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Project work Plan	is used to improve water quality and protect source match water to ensure adequate water supplies for all
Pollution Control Fund Plan 11-7-3 Article 7Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Amended Plan Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Pollution Control Fund Amended Plan Control Fund Project work Plan Control Fund Project work Plan Amended Plan Control Fund Project work Plan Amended Plan Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Project work Plan Amended Plan Amended Plan Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Project work Plan Amended Plan Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Project work Plan Amended Plan Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Project work Plan Amended Plan Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Project work Plan Amended Plan Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Project work Plan No No This program has No No No Yes would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production. In protecting natural recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and recently but we believe it is recently but we believe it is recently but we believe it is recently but we believe it is recently but we believe it is recently but we be	thout state quality and protect source match water to ensure adequate water supplies for all
Control Fund plan believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas. 11-7-3 Article 7-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Pollution Pollution Control Fund Pollution	match water to ensure adequate water supplies for all
11-7-3 Article 7Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7Index Point Source Pollution Control Fund The po	
11-7-3 Article 7:-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article Fund Article 7:-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7:-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7:-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7:-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7:-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7:-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7:-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7:-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7:-Non-Project work Amended Poec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1998 Supp. 2- 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Article 7:-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7:-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7:-Non-Project work Amended Poec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1998 Supp. 2- 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Article 7:-Non-Project work Amended Poec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1998 Supp. 2- 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Article 7:-Non-Project work Amended Poec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2-	ransans.
11-7-3 Article 7Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Peroject work Point Source Pollution Control Fund Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 Would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production. Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 No No No This program has No No No This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and r	
11-7-3 Article 7Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund No No No No No No No N	
11-7-3 Article 7Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Article 7Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund Dec. 27, 1999 1998 Supp. 2- 1915 1915 1915 Yes Yes Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production. No No This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and	
Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Indeed Pollution Indeed Pollu	
Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source Pollution Control Fund Point Source plan Inot been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and	
Pollution Control Fund Control	750,000 in Non-Point Source Program
Control Fund	eopardy lis used to improve water thout state quality and protect source
protecting natural resources and	match water to ensure adequate
resources and	water supplies for all
	Kansans.
supplies in Kansas.	į
	į
	i
	750,000 in Non-Point Source Program
Point Source plan amendment 1915 1915 would not have access to financial Pollution assistance to improve water quality	eopardy lis used to improve water thout state quality and protect source
Control Fund	match water to ensure adequate
	water supplies for all
	Kansans.
	750,000 in Non-Point Source Program
	eopardy is used to improve water thout state quality and protect source
Control Fund funding and sustain agricultural production.	match water to ensure adequate
	water supplies for all
	Kansans.
	750,000 in Non-Point Source Program
Control Fund and sustain agricultural production.	eopardy lis used to improve water
	leopardy lis used to improve water thout state quality and protect source match water to ensure adequate
	eopardy is used to improve water thout state quality and protect source

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	ATION		NECES	SSITY	POTENTIA	L FOR REV	OCATION	If the rule and		TIES TO		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
		Rule and Regulation	Type (new,	Effective Date	Authorizing	Implementing	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of	Briefly describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being	change to the	regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing implementing	Is the rule and regulation federally required for	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule
Numbe	r Article Title		amended)	(history)	KSA(s)	KSA(s)	of state law?	state law?	Kansans.	revoked?	statute? in active use/	change(s)?	authority?	the State?	agency name	repealed?	<u>year</u>	and regulation
11-7-7	Article 7Non- Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Conservation district program	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	yes/no Yes I I I I	yes/no Yes	Iimited # of characters Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	yes/no No	yes/ no In active use	characters	yes/no I No I I I I I I	yes/no No		yes/no Yes	jeopardy without state match	Ilmited # of characters Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-8	Article 7Non- Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Financial assistance contract	Amended	Dec. 27, 1999	1998 Supp. 2- 1915 and K.S.A. 75-5657	1998 Supp. 2- 1915	Yes Yes I I I I	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No No No No No No No No No No No No No N	No		Yes	without state	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-9	Article 7Non- Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Final payment	Effective	May 21, 1990	82a-903	82a-951	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No I I I I	No		Yes		Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water equality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-10	Article 7Non- Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Cancellation of funds	Amended	Dec. 27, 1999	1998 Supp. 2- 1915	1998 Supp. 2- 1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No No I	No		Yes	jeopardy	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-1	Article 7Non- Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Maintenance contract	Effective	Dec. 27, 1999	1998 Supp. 2- 1915	1998 Supp. 2- 1915	Yes I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No No No No No No No No No No No No No N	No		Yes	jeopardy without state	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-12	Article 7Non- Point Source Pollution Control Fund	On-site wastewater system	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	Yes I I I I I I I	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No No No No No No No No No No No No No N	No		Yes	jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-13	Article 7Non- Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Abandoned water well plugging	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No No I I I	No		Yes	jeopardy without state	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	ATION		NECES	SSITY	POTENTIA	If the rule and		TIES TO		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION				
		Rule and Regulation	<u>Type</u> (new,	Effective Date	Authorizing	<u>Implementing</u>		Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of	Briefly describe how revocation would affect	being	change to the authorizing or implementing	regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule
Number	Article Title	<u>Title</u>	amended)	(history)	KSA(s)	KSA(s)	of state law?	state law?	<u>Kansans.</u>	revoked?	statute? in active use/	change(s)?	authority?	the State?	agency name	repealed?	<u>year</u>	and regulation
11-7-14	Article 7Non- Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Livestock waste control systems	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	yes/no Yes	yes/no Yes	Ilmited # of characters Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	<i>yes/no</i> No	yes/ no In active use	characters	yes/no No	yes/no No		yes/no Yes	jeopardy without state match	Ilimited # of characters Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-15	Article 7Non- Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Petition for reconsideration	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904	2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No I	No		Yes	jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-16	Article 7Non- Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Special projects	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes		Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-8-1	Article 8Land Reclamation Program	Definitions	Effective	June 19, 1995	49-623	49-603	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be lestored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment	No	In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-2	Article 8Land Reclamation Program	Mining license renewal	Effective	June 19, 1995	49-623	49-605	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment		In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-3	Article 8Land Reclamation Program	Initial site registration	Amended	Oct. 12, 2007	49-623	49-607 and 49- 623	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be lestored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment		In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-4	Article 8Land Reclamation Program	Site registration renewal	Amended	Oct. 12, 2007	49-623	49-607 and 49- 623	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment		In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-5	Article 8Land Reclamation Program	Annual report	Effective	June 19, 1995	49-623	49-612	Yes I I	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be lestored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment		In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-6	Article 8Land Reclamation Program	Reclamation plan	Effective	June 19, 1995	49-623	49-607	Yes 	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment		In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	ATION		NECES	SSITY	POTENTIA	L FOR RE\	OCATION	If the rule and			ADDITIONAL INFORMATION			
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	approximate amount received for	Additional information
							yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/ yes/ no	limited # of characters	yes/no	ves/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-8-7	Article 8Land Reclamation Program	Reclamation requirements	Effective	June 19, 1995	49-623	49-611	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment	•	In active use	onar actors	No No I	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-8	Article 8Land Reclamation Program	Bond or other security	Amended	Sept. 27, 1996	49-623	49-615	Yes	1	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment	No	In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-9-1	Article 9 Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Definitions	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No 	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-2	Article 9 Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Eligible areas	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2- 1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1	2000 Supp. 2- 1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No I I I I	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-3	Article 9 Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Eligible applicants	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No I I I	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-4	Article 9 Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Eligible practices	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No I I I	No		No		Program rules and regs lcan be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-5	Article 9 Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Annual payments; exception	Amended	April 16, 2021	2020 Supp. 2- 1915	2020 Supp. 2- 1915	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No I I I	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-6	Article 9 Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Contracts	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No I I I	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-7	Article 9 Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Selection	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-8	Article 9 Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Haying and grazing	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999		2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes		Water Quality in Kansas rivers and Istreams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs lcan be revoked when program ends in 2032.

Agency 11 - Department of Agriculture - Division of Conservation

July 15, 2023, p. 16 of 19

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE A	AND REGULA	ATION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	L FOR RE	OCATION	If the rule and		TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	OGRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	r <u>Article Title</u>	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	change to the	regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-9-9	Article 9	Termination	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as	2-1915, as	yes/no Yes	yes/no Yes	Iimited # of characters Water Quality in Kansas rivers and	yes/no No	yes/ no In active use	characters	yes/no No	yes/no No		yes/no No		limited # of characters Program rules and regs
	Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative			·	amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	 		streams would be degraded.				 					can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-10	Article 9 Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Petition for reconsideration	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915	2-1915	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No 	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-10-1	Article 10 Water Rights Purchase Program	Definitions	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915, K.S.A. 2-1919	2-1915, K.S.A. 2- 1919	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municiple, industrial, and agricultural uses		No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	 	No		No		Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-10-2	Article 10 Water Rights Purchase Program	Application	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915, K.S.A. 2-1919	2-1915, K.S.A. 2- 1919	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municiple, industrial, and lagricultural uses		No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	 	No		No		Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-10-3	Article 10 Water Rights Purchase Program	Priority areas	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915, K.S.A. 2-1919	2-1915, K.S.A. 2- 1919	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municiple, industrial, and lagricultural uses		No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	 	No		No		Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGUL	ATION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	AL FOR RE	VOCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	OGRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
											If the rule	If the rule and regulation is						
								Does the			and regulation is	not in active	Is the rule and		If the rule and regulation is	-	If federal	
							Is the rule and	rule and			not in active	use and revocation	regulation	L Is the rule and		Could federal	moneys could be in	
							regulation	regulation			use, would	would require	federally	regulation	required, the	moneys be in		
							necessary for	serve an			revocation	a change to	required for	necessary for	state and	<u>jeopardy</u>	approximate	
							the_	identifiable		Is the rule		the authorizing		<u>federal</u>	<u>federal</u>	under current	<u>amount</u>	Additional information
		Rule and	Type	Effective			implementation and	<u>public</u> purpose in	Briefly describe how	and regulation	change to the	or implementing	participation in a federal	 delegation of enforcement 	<u>program</u> names and	law if the rule and regulation	received for the most	necessary to understanding the
		Regulation	(new,	Date	Authorizing	Implementing		support of	revocation would affect	being		statute, which		authority to	the federal	were	recent fiscal	necessity of this rule
Number	Article Title	<u>Title</u>	amended)	(history)	KSA(s)	KSA(s)	of state law?	state law?	Kansans.	revoked?	statute?	change(s)?	authority?	the State?	agency name	repealed?	year	and regulation
							,	,		,	in active use/		,	,		,		
11 10 1	Article 10	Procedures	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2 1015 00	2-1915, as	yes/no Yes	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no No	yes/ no No	characters	yes/no	yes/no No	1	yes/no	l	limited # of characters
11-10-4	Water Rights	Procedures	Ellective	Aug. 23, 2002		amended by L.	l fes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce	I .	INO	This program has not been funded		INU		No		Program protects water supplies in over
	Purchase				2002, ch. 37,	2002, ch. 37, sec	 		water supplies available for			recently but we						appropriated areas of the
	Program				sec. 3, and	3, and K.S.A. 2-	I I		domestic, municiple, industrial, and			believe it is						High Plains Aquifer.
					K.S.A. 2-1919	1919	İ		agricultural uses			important in						į
							I I					protecting natural						
							i		i			resources and public water						i
												supplies in						
							i		i			Kansas.	i					
							I		!				ļ.					
							I I						l I					i
11-10-5	Article 10	Payment	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915, as	2-1915, as	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue		No	This program has		No		No		Program protects water
	Water Rights				amended by L.		I I		water level declines and reduce	1		not been funded						supplies in over
	Purchase				2002, ch. 37, sec. 3, and	2002, ch. 37, sec 3, and K.S.A. 2-	·į		water supplies available for domestic, municiple, industrial, and	I .		recently but we	I					appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
	Program				K.S.A. 2-1919		I I		lagricultural uses			believe it is important in						High Plains Aquiler.
					N.O.A. 2-1313	1313	i					protecting natural	-					i
							I					resources and						
							i		1			public water	İ					i
							I		!			supplies in	ļ.					Į.
												Kansas.	l I					
							į		į				į					į
11 10 6	Article 10	Petition for	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2 1015 20	2-1915, as	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue	No	No	This program has	l No	No		No		Program protects water
11-10-0	Water Rights	reconsideration	Ellective	Aug. 23, 2002		amended by L.	i res	162	water level declines and reduce		No	not been funded		INU		INO		supplies in over
	Purchase	reconsideration				2002, ch. 37, sec	 -		water supplies available for			recently but we						appropriated areas of the
	Program				sec. 3, and	3, and K.S.A. 2-	i		domestic, municiple, industrial, and			believe it is						High Plains Aquifer.
					K.S.A. 2-1919	1919	I		lagricultural uses			important in	ļ.					Į.
												protecting natural						
							į		į			resources and public water						į
							I I					public water supplies in	l I					
							i		i			Kansas.	i					İ
							I						I					ļ.
							i						! 					i
11-12-1	Article 12	Definitions	Amended	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 2-		Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue	No	In active use		No	No		Yes		Program protects water
	Water Right				1930 and 2-	1930 and 2-			water level declines and reduce				ı İ				jeopardy if state	supplies in over
	Transition				1930a	1930a	İ		water supplies available for				İ				money not	appropriated areas of the
	Assistance Pilot	t					I I		Idomestic, municiple, industrial, and				I I					High Plains Aquifer.
	Project Program						i		agricultural uses				i				match	i
	iriograffi						I						I					Į.
1	<u>.</u>	ı		<u>.</u>		-1			•		1	1.		1		t.		

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE	AND REGULA	ATION		NECES	SSITY	POTENTIA	AL FOR RE	OCATION	If the rule and			ADDITIONAL INFORMATION			
							Is the rule and regulation necessary for the	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable		Is the rule	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a	regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal	Could federal	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount	Additional information
		Pula and	Type	Effortivo			implementation		Priofly describe how	and	change to the	<u>or</u>	participation in	delegation of	program	law if the rule	received for	necessary to
		Rule and Regulation	<u>Type</u> (new,	Effective Date	Authorizing	Implementing	and administration	purpose in support of	Briefly describe how revocation would affect	regulation being		implementing statute, which	<u>a federal</u> program or	enforcement authority to	names and the federal	and regulation were	the most recent fiscal	understanding the necessity of this rule
Num	ber Article Tit	_	amended)	(history)	KSA(s)	KSA(s)	of state law?	state law?	Kansans.	revoked?	statute?	change(s)?	authority?	the State?	agency name	repealed?	<u>year</u>	and regulation
							ves/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	ves/no	in active use/ ves/ no	characters	yes/no	yes/no		ves/no		limited # of characters
11-1	2-2 Article 12	Eligible areas	Amended	May 31, 2013		2012 Supp. 2-	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue	No	In active use		l No	No		Yes		Program protects water
	Water Right Transition				1930 and 2- 1930a	1930 and 2- 1930a	I I		water level declines and reduce water supplies available for				I I				jeopardy if state money not	supplies in over appropriated areas of the
	Assistance P	rilot			1930a	1930a			domestic, municiple, industrial, and				l I					High Plains Aquifer.
	Project								agricultural uses								match	
	Program						I I						 					
11-1	2-3 Article 12	Application and	Amended	May 31, 2013		2012 Supp. 2-	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue		In active use		No	No		Yes		Program protects water
	Water Right Transition	review			1930 and 2- 1930a	1930 and 2- 1930a	į		water level declines and reduce water supplies available for				İ				jeopardy if state	supplies in over appropriated areas of the
	Assistance P	rilot			10000	10000	i i		domestic, municiple, industrial, and				I I					High Plains Aquifer.
	Project						I		agricultural uses				I I				match	1
	Program						į		į				İ					į
11-1		Payment	Amended	May 31, 2013		2012 Supp. 2-	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue	1	In active use		No	No		Yes		Program protects water
	Water Right Transition				1930 and 2- 1930a	1930 and 2- 1930a	I		water level declines and reduce water supplies available for				I I				jeopardy if state	supplies in over appropriated areas of the
	Assistance P	ilot			10000	10000	į		domestic, municiple, industrial, and				İ					High Plains Aquifer.
	Project						i i		agricultural uses				 				match	i i
	Program						1		1				 					1
11-1	2-5 Article 12 Water Right	Transition to dry	Amended	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 2- 1930 and 2-	2012 Supp. 2- 1930 and 2-	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue	l .	In active use		No	No		Yes		Program protects water
	Transition	land			1930 and 2-	1930 and 2-	i		water level declines and reduce water supplies available for				i I				jeopardy if state money not	supplies in over appropriated areas of the
	Assistance P	rilot					I		domestic, municiple, industrial, and				 				available for	High Plains Aquifer.
	Project Program						į		agricultural uses				İ				match	į
							<u> </u>		I [l L					
11-1	2-6 Article 12 Water Right	Dismissal or permanent	Amended	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 2- 1930 and 2-	2012 Supp. 2- 1930 and 2-	l Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce		In active use		l No	No		Yes		Program protects water supplies in over
	Transition	reduction of			1930 and 2-	1930 and 2-	į		water supplies available for									supplies in over appropriated areas of the
		rilot water right					i i		domestic, municiple, industrial, and				 				available for	High Plains Aquifer.
	Project Program						I		agricultural uses				 				match	l I
		D 100 5		 	2010.0	00400	<u>i</u>										A4 400 000	i
11-1	2-7 Article 12 Water Right	Petition for reconsideration	Amended	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 2- 1930 and 2-	2012 Supp. 2- 1930 and 2-	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce		In active use		l No	No		Yes		Program protects water supplies in over
	Transition				1930and 2-	1930a and 2-	I I		water supplies available for				 				money not	appropriated areas of the
	Assistance P	ilot					İ		domestic, municiple, industrial, and				İ					High Plains Aquifer.
	Project Program								lagricultural uses				! 				match	
44.1		D. C. W	F# "	1 . 4 0045	0047.0	0047.0	 	.,	Maria O afficial K		I e		 			V	#400 000 f	
11-1	3-1 Article 13 Kansas	Definitions	Effective	June 1, 2018	2017 Supp. 2- 1915	2017 Supp. 2- 1915	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No No	No		Yes		Program leverages federal funding to establish grass
	Sediment and	d											 				jeopardy with	buffers along streams to
	Nutrient						 						 					improve water quality by
	Reduction Initiative						į		į				İ				payment	providing state incentive payment.
													I I					ı. '

		IDENTIFYING	THE RULE A	AND REGULA	TION		NECES	SITY	POTENTIA	L FOR REV	OCATION			TIES TO	FEDERAL PRO	GRAMS		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
<u>Number</u>	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	<u>Type</u> (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name		If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information
							yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/ yes/ no		yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
11-13-2	Article 13 Kansas Sediment and Nutrient Reduction Initiative	Initiative requirements for new applications; funds for existing contracts	Effective	June 1, 2018	2017 Supp. 2- 1915	2017 Supp. 2- 1915	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.		In active use		No No	No		Yes	\$120,000 from CRP in jeopardy with no state payment	Program leverages federal funding to establish grass buffers along streams to improve water quality by providing state incentive payment.
11-13-3	Article 13 Kansas Sediment and Nutrient Reduction Initiative	Selection of applicants for initiative	Effective	June 1, 2018	2017 Supp. 2- 1915	2017 Supp. 2- 1915 and 2-1933	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$120,000 from CRP in jeopardy with no state payment	Program leverages federal funding to establish grass buffers along streams to improve water quality by providing state incentive payment.
11-13-4	Article 13 Kansas Sediment and Nutrient Reduction Initiative	Haying and grazing	Effective	June 1, 2018	2016 Supp. 2- 1915	2016 Supp. 2- 1915 and 2-1933	Yes			No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$120,000 from CRP in jeopardy with no state payment	Program leverages federal funding to establish grass buffers along streams to improve water quality by providing state incentive payment.
11-13-5	Article 13 Kansas Sediment and Nutrient Reduction Initiative	Practices eligible for incentive payments	Effective	June 1, 2018	2017 Supp. 2- 1915	2017 Supp. 2- 1915 and 2-1933	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	CRP in jeopardy with no state	Program leverages federal funding to establish grass buffers along streams to improve water quality by providing state incentive payment.
11-13-6	Article 13 Kansas Sediment and Nutrient Reduction Initiative	Incentive payments; refunds	Effective	June 1, 2018	2017 Supp. 2- 1915	2017 Supp. 2- 1915 and 2-1933	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	CRP in	Program leverages federal funding to establish grass buffers along streams to limprove water quality by providing state incentive payment.
		, 5, and 11 are li ng purposes, art						d 2002, 2002,	and 2005, respectively.									