

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing statute, which implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-1-1	Article 1.-Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Revoked			2-1904, 2-1915	2-1915												
11-1-2	Article 1	Revoked			2-1904, 2-1915	2-1915												
11-1-3	Article 1	Revoked			2-1904, 2-1915	2-1915												
11-1-4	Article 1	Revoked			2-1904, 2-1915	2-1915												
11-1-5	Article 1	Revoked			2-1904, 2-1915	2-1915												
11-1-6	Article 1.-Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Definitions	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2-1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1	2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in jeopardy without the state match	Water Resources Cost-Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-1-7	Article 1.-Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Allocation of water resources cost-share program funding	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915		Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in jeopardy without the state match	Water Resources Cost-Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-1-8	Article 1.-Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Conservation district program	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2-1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1		Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in jeopardy without the state match	Water Resources Cost-Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-1-9	Article 1.-Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Financial assistance contract	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2-1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1		Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in jeopardy without the state match	Water Resources Cost-Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-1-10	Article 1.-Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Cancellation of funds	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915		Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in jeopardy without the state match	Water Resources Cost-Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-1-11	Article 1.-Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Contract between the landowner and the state conservation commission	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2-1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1		Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in jeopardy without the state match	Water Resources Cost-Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-1-12	Article 1.-Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Special projects	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2-1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1		Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in jeopardy without the state match	Water Resources Cost-Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-1-13	Article 1.-Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Irrigation funding procedures	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2-1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1		Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in jeopardy without the state match	Water Resources Cost-Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-1-14	Article 1.-Water Resources Cost-Share Program	Petition for reconsideration	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904	2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to address natural resource concerns that reduce agricultural production and degrade water resources	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,000,000 in jeopardy without the state match	Water Resources Cost-Share is our flagship program to address water resource concerns on private lands across Kansas.
11-3-1	Article 3.-Watershed Dam Construction Program	Definitions	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-2	Article 3.-Watershed Dam Construction Program	Application for construction	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-3	Article 3.-Watershed Dam Construction Program	Permit to construct or rehabilitate	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-3-4	Article 3.-	Watershed Dam Construction Program	Allocation of funds	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No	No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-5	Article 3.-	Watershed Dam Construction Program	Contract	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2004 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No	No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-6	Article 3.-	Watershed Dam Construction Program	Partial payments	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No	No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-7	Article 3.-	Watershed Dam Construction Program	Notification of completion	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No	No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-8	Article 3.-	Watershed Dam Construction Program	Alterations to project plan	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No	No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-9	Article 3.-	Watershed Dam Construction Program	Supplemental application procedures	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No	No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-10	Article 3.-	Watershed Dam Construction Program	Inspection	Amended	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No	No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
11-3-11	Article 3.-	Watershed Dam Construction Program	Application for rehabilitation	Effective	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-3-12	Article 3.-	Watershed Dam Construction Program	Application for financial assistance for inundation area mapping	Effective	March 24, 2006	2-1904, K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 2-1915, and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	2005 Supp. 2-1915 and L. 2005, ch. 206, sec. 75 [proviso]	Yes	Yes	Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Citizens, property, and agricultural land would not be as well protected from flood damage if the program was revoked.
11-4-1	Article 4.-	Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Definitions	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602		Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-2	Article 4.-	Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Phase I letter of interest	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-4-3	Article 4.- Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Phase II letter of intent	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	2005 Supp. 82a-1604, 82a-1605, and 82a-1606 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-4	Article 4.- Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Review process	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-5	Article 4.- Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Funding	Effective	May 1, 1986	L. 1985, Ch. 342, Sec. 2 [82a-1602]	L. 1985, Ch. 342, Sec. 2 [82a-1602]	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.



IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-4-6	Article 4.-	Phase III application	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-7	Article 4.-	State contract	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-8	Article 4.-	Procedures	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	2005 Supp. 82a-1604, 82a-1605, and 82a-1606 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-4-9	Article 4.- Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Partial payments	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	2005 Supp. 82a-1604, 82a-1605, and 82a-1606 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-10	Article 4.- Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Notification of completion	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-11	Article 4.- Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Modifications to construction or renovation plan	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	2005 Supp. 82a-1604, 82a-1605, and 82a-1606 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understand the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
11-4-12	Article 4.-	Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Amendment to costs of project	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	2005 Supp. 82a-1604, 82a-1605, and 82a-1606 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-13	Article 4.-	Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Maintenance	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-14	Article 4.-	Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Annual inspection	Amended	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602	2005 Supp. 82a-1602	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.



IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understand the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
11-4-15	Article 4.-	Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Eligible components of renovation projects	Effective	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602	2005 Supp. 82a-1603(m), 82a-1604, 82a-1605, and 82a-1606	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply and Recreation opportunities for citizens would decrease	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-4-16	Article 4.-	Multipurpose Small Lakes Programs	Testing and disposal of sediment from reservoir	Effective	Sept. 22, 2006	2005 Supp. 82a-1602 and K.S.A. 82a-1607	82a-1607	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-6-1	Article 6.-	Water Supply Restoration Program	Definitions	Effective	Nov. 14, 2008	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-6-2	Article 6.-Water Supply Restoration Program	Eligible components of projects	Effective	Nov. 14, 2008	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-6-3	Article 6.-Water Supply Restoration Program	Letter of interest	Effective	Nov. 14, 2008	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-6-4	Article 6.-Water Supply Restoration Program	Letter of intent	Effective	Nov. 14, 2008	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-6-5	Article 6.-Water Supply Restoration Program	Restoration plan	Effective	Nov. 14, 2008	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-6-6	Article 6.-Water Supply Restoration Program	Review process	Effective	Nov. 14, 2008	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	2007 Supp. 82a-2101	Yes	Yes	Public Water Supply systems would not be as reliable	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		This program protects and enhances public water supply across Kansas.
11-7-1	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Definitions	Amended	Dec. 27, 1999)	1998 Supp. 2-1915	1998 Supp. 2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-7-2	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Local non-point source pollution management plan	Amended	Dec. 27, 1999	1998 Supp. 2-1915	1998 Supp. 2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-3	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Project work plan	Amended	Dec. 27, 1999	1998 Supp. 2-1915	1998 Supp. 2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-4	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Project work plan amendment	Amended	Dec. 27, 1999	1998 Supp. 2-1915	1998 Supp. 2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-5	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Allocation of non-point source pollution control funding	Amended	Dec. 27, 1999	1998 Supp. 2-1915	1998 Supp. 2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-6	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Contract funds	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915, 2-1904 and 82a-951	2-1915, 2-1904 and 82a-951	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-7-7	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Conservation district program	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-8	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Financial assistance contract	Amended	Dec. 27, 1999	1998 Supp. 2-1915 and K.S.A. 75-5657	1998 Supp. 2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-9	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Final payment	Effective	May 21, 1990	82a-903	82a-951	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-10	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Cancellation of funds	Amended	Dec. 27, 1999	1998 Supp. 2-1915	1998 Supp. 2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-11	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Maintenance contract	Effective	Dec. 27, 1999	1998 Supp. 2-1915	1998 Supp. 2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-12	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	On-site wastewater system	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-13	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Abandoned water well plugging	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.



IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-7-14	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Livestock waste control systems	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-15	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Petition for reconsideration	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904	2-1915	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-7-16	Article 7.-Non-Point Source Pollution Control Fund	Special projects	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	2-1904, 2-1915 and 82a-951	Yes	Yes	Kansas Farmers and Ranchers would not have access to financial assistance to improve water quality and sustain agricultural production.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$750,000 in jeopardy without state match	Non-Point Source Program is used to improve water quality and protect source water to ensure adequate water supplies for all Kansans.
11-8-1	Article 8.-Land Reclamation Program	Definitions	Effective	June 19, 1995	49-623	49-603	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment	No	In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-2	Article 8.-Land Reclamation Program	Mining license renewal	Effective	June 19, 1995	49-623	49-605	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment	No	In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-3	Article 8.-Land Reclamation Program	Initial site registration	Amended	Oct. 12, 2007	49-623	49-607 and 49-623	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment	No	In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-4	Article 8.-Land Reclamation Program	Site registration renewal	Amended	Oct. 12, 2007	49-623	49-607 and 49-623	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment	No	In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-5	Article 8.-Land Reclamation Program	Annual report	Effective	June 19, 1995	49-623	49-612	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment	No	In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-6	Article 8.-Land Reclamation Program	Reclamation plan	Effective	June 19, 1995	49-623	49-607	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment	No	In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-8-7	Article 8.-Land Reclamation Program	Reclamation requirements	Effective	June 19, 1995	49-623	49-611	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment	No	In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-8-8	Article 8.-Land Reclamation Program	Bond or other security	Amended	Sept. 27, 1996	49-623	49-615	Yes	Yes	Aggregate mined lands would not be restored in a manner that protects water supplies and the environment	No	In active use		No	No		No		Mined Lands program utilizes fee funds from industry to implement program.
11-9-1	Article 9.-Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Definitions	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-2	Article 9.-Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Eligible areas	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2000 Supp. 2-1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1	2000 Supp. 2-1915, as amended by L. 2001, Ch. 64, Sec. 1	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-3	Article 9.-Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Eligible applicants	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-4	Article 9.-Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Eligible practices	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-5	Article 9.-Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Annual payments; exception	Amended	April 16, 2021	2020 Supp. 2-1915	2020 Supp. 2-1915	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-6	Article 9.-Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Contracts	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-7	Article 9.-Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Selection	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-8	Article 9.-Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Haying and grazing	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-9-9	Article 9.- Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Termination	Effective	Feb. 5, 1999	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	2-1915, as amended by L. 1998, Ch. 143, Sec. 46	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-9-10	Article 9.- Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative	Petition for reconsideration	Amended	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915	2-1915	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		No		Program rules and regs can be revoked when program ends in 2032.
11-10-1	Article 10.- Water Rights Purchase Program	Definitions	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915, K.S.A. 2-1919	2-1915, K.S.A. 2-1919	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-10-2	Article 10.- Water Rights Purchase Program	Application	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915, K.S.A. 2-1919	2-1915, K.S.A. 2-1919	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-10-3	Article 10.- Water Rights Purchase Program	Priority areas	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915, K.S.A. 2-1919	2-1915, K.S.A. 2-1919	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
11-10-4	Article 10.-	Water Rights Purchase Program	Procedures	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915, as amended by L. 2002, ch. 37, sec. 3, and K.S.A. 2-1919	2-1915, as amended by L. 2002, ch. 37, sec. 3, and K.S.A. 2-1919	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-10-5	Article 10.-	Water Rights Purchase Program	Payment	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915, as amended by L. 2002, ch. 37, sec. 3, and K.S.A. 2-1919	2-1915, as amended by L. 2002, ch. 37, sec. 3, and K.S.A. 2-1919	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-10-6	Article 10.-	Water Rights Purchase Program	Petition for reconsideration	Effective	Aug. 23, 2002	2-1915, as amended by L. 2002, ch. 37, sec. 3, and K.S.A. 2-1919	2-1915, as amended by L. 2002, ch. 37, sec. 3, and K.S.A. 2-1919	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	No	This program has not been funded recently but we believe it is important in protecting natural resources and public water supplies in Kansas.	No	No		No		Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-12-1	Article 12.-	Water Right Transition Assistance Pilot Project Program	Definitions	Amended	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,400,000 in jeopardy if state money not available for match	Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
11-12-2	Article 12.- Water Right Transition Assistance Pilot Project Program	Eligible areas	Amended	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,400,000 in jeopardy if state money not available for match	Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-12-3	Article 12.- Water Right Transition Assistance Pilot Project Program	Application and review	Amended	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,400,000 in jeopardy if state money not available for match	Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-12-4	Article 12.- Water Right Transition Assistance Pilot Project Program	Payment	Amended	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,400,000 in jeopardy if state money not available for match	Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-12-5	Article 12.- Water Right Transition Assistance Pilot Project Program	Transition to dry land	Amended	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,400,000 in jeopardy if state money not available for match	Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-12-6	Article 12.- Water Right Transition Assistance Pilot Project Program	Dismissal or permanent reduction of water right	Amended	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,400,000 in jeopardy if state money not available for match	Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-12-7	Article 12.- Water Right Transition Assistance Pilot Project Program	Petition for reconsideration	Amended	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	2012 Supp. 2-1930 and 2-1930a	Yes	Yes	High Plains Aquifer would continue water level declines and reduce water supplies available for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$1,400,000 in jeopardy if state money not available for match	Program protects water supplies in over appropriated areas of the High Plains Aquifer.
11-13-1	Article 13.- Kansas Sediment and Nutrient Reduction Initiative	Definitions	Effective	June 1, 2018	2017 Supp. 2-1915	2017 Supp. 2-1915	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$120,000 from CRP in jeopardy with no state payment	Program leverages federal funding to establish grass buffers along streams to improve water quality by providing state incentive payment.



IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
11-13-2	Article 13.-	Kansas Sediment and Nutrient Reduction Initiative	Initiative requirements for new applications; funds for existing contracts	Effective	June 1, 2018	2017 Supp. 2-1915	2017 Supp. 2-1915	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$120,000 from CRP in jeopardy with no state payment	Program leverages federal funding to establish grass buffers along streams to improve water quality by providing state incentive payment.
11-13-3	Article 13.-	Kansas Sediment and Nutrient Reduction Initiative	Selection of applicants for initiative	Effective	June 1, 2018	2017 Supp. 2-1915	2017 Supp. 2-1915 and 2-1933	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$120,000 from CRP in jeopardy with no state payment	Program leverages federal funding to establish grass buffers along streams to improve water quality by providing state incentive payment.
11-13-4	Article 13.-	Kansas Sediment and Nutrient Reduction Initiative	Haying and grazing	Effective	June 1, 2018	2016 Supp. 2-1915	2016 Supp. 2-1915 and 2-1933	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$120,000 from CRP in jeopardy with no state payment	Program leverages federal funding to establish grass buffers along streams to improve water quality by providing state incentive payment.
11-13-5	Article 13.-	Kansas Sediment and Nutrient Reduction Initiative	Practices eligible for incentive payments	Effective	June 1, 2018	2017 Supp. 2-1915	2017 Supp. 2-1915 and 2-1933	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$120,000 from CRP in jeopardy with no state payment	Program leverages federal funding to establish grass buffers along streams to improve water quality by providing state incentive payment.
11-13-6	Article 13.-	Kansas Sediment and Nutrient Reduction Initiative	Incentive payments; refunds	Effective	June 1, 2018	2017 Supp. 2-1915	2017 Supp. 2-1915 and 2-1933	Yes	Yes	Water Quality in Kansas rivers and streams would be degraded.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	\$120,000 from CRP in jeopardy with no state payment	Program leverages federal funding to establish grass buffers along streams to improve water quality by providing state incentive payment.
<p><b>KLRD Note:</b> Articles 2, 5, and 11 are listed as "not in active use" and their regulations were revoked in 1988 and 2002, 2002, and 2005, respectively.</p> <p><b>KLRD Note:</b> For printing purposes, article titles were removed for revoked rules and regulations.</p>																			