

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-1-1	Article 1.- Agricultural Chemicals	Revoked	Revoked															
4-1-2	Article 1.- Agricultural Chemicals	Definitions	Amended	June 10, 2011	2009 Supp. 2-2205	2009 Supp. 2-2202	Yes	Yes	Revocation would cause confusion by removing definition of terms used in other regulations.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-1-3	Article 1	Revoked	Revoked															
4-1-4	Article 1.- Agricultural Chemicals	Revoked	Revoked															
4-1-5	Article 1.- Agricultural Chemicals	Label	Amended	June 10, 2011	2010 Supp. 2-2205	2010 Supp. 2-2202	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements of pesticide product labeling which is necessary for providing consistency with the labeling requirements of the federal law and regulations governing pesticide product labeling (the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq.) Furthermore, revocation may result in harm to consumers or the environment due to potential pesticide products with inadequate labeling (examples would be improper directions for use or missing safety requirements).	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-1-6	Article 1	Revoked	Revoked															
4-1-7	Article 1	Revoked	Revoked															
4-1-8	Article 1	Revoked	Revoked															
4-1-9	Article 1.- Agricultural Chemicals	Registration	Amended	June 10, 2011	2010 Supp. 2-2205	2010 Supp. 2-2204	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to pesticide product registration in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-1-9a	Article 1.- Agricultural Chemicals	Registration for special local need	Effective	June 10, 2011	2009 Supp. 2-2205 and K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 2-2214	2009 Supp. 2-2207	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove state requirements relating to special local needs pesticide product registrations. Revocation would remove requirements imposed on persons seeking to register a pesticide product for use beyond an EPA approved pesticide product label. Revocation would also hinder the state's ability to timely respond to plant or pest incidents.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-2-8	Article 2.- Agricultural Seed	Methods of analyses	Amended	Oct. 6, 2017	2016 Supp. 2-1427	2016 Supp. 2-1423	Yes	Yes	Improperly analyzed samples could result in poor quality seed being sold to consumers or high quality seed being prohibited from sale.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-2-9	Article 2	Revoked	Revoked															
4-2-10	Article 2.- Agricultural Seed	Indistinguishable seed	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	2-1427		Yes	Yes	Some seed is identical or nearly identical to other, potentially harmful seed. A need exists to be able to identify the kind or type of plant to be grown from similar seeds to prevent the distribution of the potentially harmful species.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-2-11	Article 2.- Agricultural Seed	Origin	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	2-1427		Yes	Yes	Knowing or identifying the origin of seed could be important in preventing the spread of diseases from one area of the country or world to another.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-2-12	Article 2	Revoked	Revoked															
4-2-13	Article 2	Revoked	Revoked															
4-2-14	Article 2.- Agricultural Seed	Seed offered for sale	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	2-1427		Yes	Yes	Seed not intended for sale is not inspected by KDA. If seed that is going to be sold is falsely identified as not for sale, poor quality seed may be sold to consumers.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-2-15	Article 2	Revoked	Revoked															
4-2-16	Article 2	Revoked	Revoked															
4-2-17	Article 2	Revoked	Revoked															
4-2-17a	Article 2	Revoked	Revoked															
4-2-18	Article 2.- Agricultural Seed	Label requirements for seed delivered to wholesalers	Effective	Jan. 1, 1989	2-1427	1987 Supp. 2-1417	Yes	Yes	Seed sold in large quantities for later subdivision is difficult to label as it is often not packaged. Means need to exist to identify such seed as to the lot and other identification as required by law.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-2-20	Article 2.- Agricultural Seed	Adoption by reference	Amended	Jan. 18, 2008	2006 Supp. 2-1427	2-1423	Yes	Yes	Improperly collected samples could result in poor quality seed being sold to consumers or high quality seed being prohibited from sale.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-2-21	Article 2.- Agricultural Seed	Registration fees for wholesalers and retailers	Effective	Oct. 6, 2017	2016 Supp. 2-1421a and 2-1427	2016 Supp. 2-1421a	Yes	Yes	Fees charged through the program pay for the administration of the program and prevent the need to used state general funds.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-3-1	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	Revoked	Revoked															
4-3-2	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	Definitions	Amended	May 1, 1983	2-1013	2-1001	Yes	Yes	If this regulation was revoked, the terms used throughout the Commercial Feeding Stuffs Law would not be defined, which could cause confusion and enforcement difficulties.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-3-3	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	Legibility and conspicuousness	Amended	May 1, 1982	2-1013	2-1002	Yes	Yes	If this regulation was revoked, Kansas would lack requirements that commercial feed stuffs be clearly, specifically and truthfully labeled, which could endanger consumers.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
4-3-4	Article 3	Revoked	Revoked															
4-3-5	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	The name	Amended	May 1, 1982	2-1013	2-1002	Yes	Yes	If this regulation was revoked, the Commercial Feeding Stuffs Law would lack requirements for product names being identified on labels, which could impact consumers' ability to make informed decisions and potentially endanger them.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
4-3-6	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	Name and address of manufacturer	Amended	May 1, 1982	2-1013	2-1002	Yes	Yes	If this regulation was revoked, the Commercial Feeding Stuffs Law would lack requirements that labels contain the name and address of the manufacturer of commercial feed, which could harm the ability to protect consumers.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
4-3-7	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	Ingredient statement	Amended	May 1, 1982	2-1013	2-1002	Yes	Yes	If this regulation was revoked, the Commercial Feeding Stuffs Law would lack requirements that commercial feed labels contain an ingredient statement, which could harm the ability to protect consumers.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
4-3-8	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	Vitamin products, carriers and preparations	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	2-1002		Yes	Yes	If this regulation was revoked, the Commercial Feeding Stuffs Law would lack requirements for vitamin labeling, which could lead to harm because certain animals are unable to tolerate certain levels of vitamins.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
4-3-9	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	Feeds containing drug ingredients	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	2-1002		Yes	Yes	If this regulation was revoked, the Commercial Feeding Stuffs Law would lack requirements for feed containing drug ingredients, which could lead to harm because some species are not allowed or able to consume certain drug ingredients.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
4-3-10	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	Urea	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	2-1002		Yes	Yes	If this regulation was revoked, the Commercial Feeding Stuffs Law would lack clarity regarding urea being an acceptable ingredient for cattle, sheep, and goat feeds and would lack requirements for labels on feed that contains urea, which could cause harm because some species cannot consume urea. Urea is considered an adulterant in feed intended for birds or other animal species besides cattle, sheep, and goats.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-3-49	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	Good manufacturing practices; adoption by reference	Amended	Sept. 9, 2011	2010 Supp. 2-1013	2010 Supp. 2-1013	Yes	Yes	This regulation within the Commercial Feeding Stuffs Law adopts good manufacturing practices by referencing the Code of Federal Regulations that cover good manufacturing practices. This regulation is important for our state licensed feed mills to ensure good manufacturing practices are being met at these facilities.	No	In active use		Yes	No	Kansas Feed Safety Program and FDA's Division of Acquisition Programs and Office of Regulatory Affairs	Yes	\$ 580,000	
4-3-50	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	Good manufacturing practices; definitions	Amended	April 29, 2011	2009 Supp. 2-1013	2009 Supp. 2-1013	Yes	Yes	This regulation within the Commercial Feeding Stuffs Law adopts good manufacturing practices by reference. Specifically parts 225 and 226 that discuss type A, B, and C medicated articles. This is an important regulation in regards to determining the type of medicated article manufacturing facilities are utilizing the manufacturing of other article or the manufacturing of feed ingredients to be placed in a complete feed.	No	In active use		Yes	No	Kansas Feed Safety Program and FDA's Division of Acquisition Programs and Office of Regulatory Affairs	Yes	\$ 580,000	
4-3-51	Article 3.- Commercial Feeding Stuffs	Prohibited feeding stuffs; adoption by reference	Amended	Sept. 9, 2011	2010 Supp. 2-1013	2010 Supp. 2-1013	Yes	Yes	This regulation within the Commercial Feeding Stuffs Law adopts by reference 21 CFR Part 589. This regulation states what feedstuffs is prohibited in animal food. This is an important regulation for animal and human safety.	No	In active use		Yes	No	Kansas Feed Safety Program and FDA's Division of Acquisition Programs and Office of Regulatory Affairs	Yes	\$ 580,000	
4-4-1	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Micronutrients	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	2-1210		Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to micronutrients and labeling for fertilizer products.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-2	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Inspection fee	Amended	Oct. 25, 2002	2-1205, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 181, § 1	2-1205, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 181, § 1	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate the inspection fee for commercial fertilizers. Fees charged through the program pay for the administration of the program and prevent the need to use state general funds.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-900	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Definitions	Amended	July 18, 2003	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would cause confusion by removing definition of terms used in other regulations.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-901	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Storage containers and appurtenances; basic requirements	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1277	1989 Supp. 2-1277	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to storage containers of fertilizer products. This may result in harm to the environment due to discharge of fertilizer products.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-4-902	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Prohibition against underground storage	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the prohibitions of underground storage containers of fertilizer products. Without this regulation, discharge of fertilizer underground may occur resulting in environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-903	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Prohibited materials	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove restrictions relating to materials used in construction of storage containers, elephant rings and appurtenances. Without this regulation, there is potential for storage containers to degrade, resulting in discharge of fertilizer and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-904	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Grounding and anchoring storage containers	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to grounding and anchoring of storage containers of fertilizer products. Without this regulation, there is potential discharge of fertilizer and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-905	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Security	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to safe storage of fertilizer products. Without this regulation, fertilizer products may be subject to vandalism or discharge, resulting in environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-906	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Filling storage containers	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the prohibition of filling fertilizer storage containers beyond their designated capacity. Without this regulation, there is potential for discharge of fertilizer and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-907	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Pipes and fittings	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the requirements relating to proper pipes and fittings. Without this regulation, there is potential for discharge of fertilizer and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-908	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Liquid-level-gauging device	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements for inventory management, proper fittings, and security measures. Without this regulation there is the possibility for theft, vandalism, discharge of fertilizer, and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-909	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Labeling of storage containers	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements of labeling storage containers. Without this regulation, fertilizer products could be misidentified resulting in harm to the consumer.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-4-910	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Inspection and maintenance	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to inspection and maintenance of fertilizer storage facilities. Without this regulation, there is potential for storage facilities, storage containers and appurtenances to degrade resulting in discharge of fertilizer and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-911	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Operational area containment for fertilizer	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would result in removing requirements relating to loading pads, catch basins, and inspection and maintenance of such containment areas. Without this regulation discharge of fertilizer may occur resulting in environmental damage.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-912	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Abandoned containers	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to abandoned fertilizer containers and requirements for placing such containers back into service. Without this regulation, environmental harm could occur because cleaning of such containers may not occur; and compliance inspections of abandoned containers being brought back into service may not occur.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-920	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Storage and handling of dry bulk fertilizer	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation could result in improper storage of fertilizer products resulting in discharge of fertilizer resulting in environmental harm, including groundwater contamination.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-921	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Record keeping	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would result in the removal of recordkeeping requirements for storage facility operators. Without this regulation, specific fertilizer discharges would not be deemed reportable.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-922	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Discharge response plan	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Storage facilities would not be required to have a discharge response plan, which may impede a response by emergency personnel in the event of a discharge at the storage facility.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-923	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Existing storage tanks which have a capacity of 100,000 gallons or more	Amended	Jan. 25, 1993	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove secondary containment requirements for storage facilities in operation prior to January 1991. Without this regulation, containment and recovery requirements are limited and discharge of fertilizer and environmental harm could occur.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-4-924	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Storage tanks which have a capacity of 100,000 gallons or more; new construction	Amended	Jan. 25, 1993	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove secondary containment requirements for new storage facilities. Without this regulation, containment and recovery requirements are limited and discharge of fertilizer and environmental harm could occur.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-931	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Approved secondary containment of bulk fertilizer; general requirements	Amended	Jan. 25, 1993	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove secondary containment requirements for bulk fertilizer facilities. Without this regulation, containment and recovery requirements are limited and pesticides and fertilizers could be stored in the same location (without physical separation); thus, contamination could occur along with discharge of fertilizer which may result in harm to the consumer and the environment.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-932	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Secondary containment requirements; walls	Amended	Jan. 25, 1993	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Construction requirements for walls of secondary containment facilities would be removed. Without this regulation, fertilizer products may not be properly contained, and environmental harm may result due to fertilizer discharge.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-933	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Secondary containment requirements; lining	Amended	Jan. 25, 1993	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Construction requirements for linings of secondary containment facilities would be removed. Without this regulation, fertilizer products may not be properly contained, and environmental harm may result due to fertilizer discharge.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-934	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Use of elephant rings for secondary containment	Amended	Jan. 25, 1993	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate requirements relating to elephant rings as an alternative means of secondary containment. Without this regulation, elephant rings may not provide proper containment, and fertilizer discharge and environmental harm may occur.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-935	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Drainage from contained areas within secondary containment	Amended	Jan. 25, 1993	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation could result in drainage of the containment area, resulting in fertilizer discharge and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-936	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Alternative to a recessed catch drain in containment areas	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove construction alternatives for storage facility operators.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-4-937	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Inspection and maintenance requirements; secondary containment	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove inspection and maintenance requirements by storage facility operators. Without this regulation, fertilizer storage facilities may not be maintained in a proper manner, which could result in fertilizer discharge and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-950	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Time frames for submission of initial diagram or plans	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate requirements to provide KDA with diagrams and plans relating to construction of proposed storage facilities. Without this regulation, KDA would not be able to evaluate if a proposed storage facility meets the requirements of the Kansas Fertilizer Law and associated regulations; storage facilities could be constructed with improper materials or without proper secondary containment which could result in fertilizer discharge and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-951	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Requirements for plans and specifications	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate requirements to provide KDA with plans and specifications for the construction remodeling or conversion of storage facilities. Without this regulation, storage facilities may not meet the requirements of the Kansas Fertilizer Law and associated regulations; storage facilities could be constructed, remodeling or converted with improper materials or without proper secondary containment which could result in fertilizer discharge and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-952	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Time frames for construction; liquid fertilizer storage facilities	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove timeframes for construction of liquid fertilizer storage facilities. Without this timeline requirement, a facility could be constructed at any time after initial design approval, creating a situation where a newly constructed facility is immediately out of compliance with updated regulations.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-953	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Time frames for construction plans; dry fertilizer	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove timeframes for construction of dry fertilizer storage facilities. Without this timeline requirement, a facility could be constructed at any time after initial design approval, creating a situation where a newly constructed facility is immediately out of compliance with updated regulations.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-4-954	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Fertilizer discharge report requirement	Effective	Jan. 14, 1991	1989 Supp. 2-1227	1989 Supp. 2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would result in the removal of fertilizer discharge reporting requirements. Without this regulation, discharges of fertilizer could occur resulting in environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-956	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Alternative designs for bulk fertilizer storage facility	Amended	July 18, 2003	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate alternative designs for bulk fertilizer storage facilities, which may impede industry from proposing advanced storage methods for fertilizer products.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-982	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Marking of mobile storage containers	Amended	July 18, 2003	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements for marking mobile storage containers with specific information. The information required in this regulation would be vital to first responders, medical professionals, and environmental agencies in the event of a discharge.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-983	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Mobile storage containers	Amended	July 18, 2003	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements that certain mobile storage containers satisfy various regulatory requirements adopted under the Kansas Fertilizer Law. Without these requirements, specified mobile storage containers would not meet standards for storing fertilizer which could result in fertilizer discharge and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-984	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Mobile containers	Effective	Aug. 22, 1994	2-1227	2-1227	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements that mobile storage containers satisfy various regulatory requirements adopted under the Kansas Fertilizer Law. Without these requirements, mobile storage containers would not meet standards for storing fertilizer which could result in fertilizer discharge and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-4-985	Article 4.- Commercial Fertilizers	Application for new or modified bulk fertilizer storage facilities	Effective	July 18, 2003	2-1227	2-1228	Yes	Yes	Revocation would prevent KDA from requiring application information for new or modified bulk fertilizer storage facilities, and it would eliminate KDA approval of the application prior to construction. Without this regulation, bulk fertilizer storage facilities may not meet the requirements of the Kansas Fertilizer Law and associated regulations; bulk fertilizer storage facilities could be constructed or modified with improper materials or without proper secondary containment, which could result in fertilizer discharge and environmental harm.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-7-2	Article 7.-Milk and Dairy Products	Health of herd	Amended	Dec. 20, 2002	2001 Supp. 65-772 and K.S.A. 2001 Supp. 65-775, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 181, § 16	2001 Supp. 65-773	Yes	Yes	This regulation would ensure that manufacturing grade dairies would have to follow herd health requirements set forth in the United States Department of Agriculture's recommended requirements. If this regulation was revoked, there would be no herd health requirement for manufacturing grade dairy farms, which would be a considerable animal health and human health concern. Currently we do not have manufacturing grade dairies in Kansas, but by leaving this regulation in place, would allow any future dairy farmers that wanted to down grade or start up a manufacturing grade dairy farm with that opportunity by following the USDA recommendation of having a herd health requirement.	No	No		No	No		No		This regulation is important to maintain to give opportunities to dairy farmers that may not want to participate or do not have the ability to participate as a grade "A" producer. Markets change and opportunities change, so keeping this regulation within the Kansas dairy law would allow for potential opportunities and would also preserve animal and human health safety.
4-7-3	Article 7.-Milk and Dairy Products	Production requirements	Amended	Dec. 20, 2002	2001 Supp. 65-772		Yes	Yes	This regulation spells out the sanitary requirements that have to be met in order to produce manufacturing grade milk. Without this regulation, sanitation of the milk barn, milk room, utensils, toilet, and water supply would not be existent, which would be a considerable human health concern. Currently we do not have manufacturing grade dairies in Kansas, but by leaving this regulation in place, would allow any future dairy farmers that wanted to down grade or start up a manufacturing grade dairy farm with that opportunity. In addition, the permit holder would do it safely by following the requirements within this regulation.	No	No		No	No		No		This regulation is important in order to give opportunities to dairy farmers that may not want to participate or do not have the ability to participate as a grade "A" producer. Markets change and opportunities change, so keeping this regulation within the Kansas dairy law would allow for potential opportunities and would also preserve animal and human health safety.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
4-7-506	Article 7	Revoked	Revoked																
4-7-507	Article 7.-Milk and Dairy Products	Special dietary frozen desserts	Amended	Dec. 20, 2002	2001 Supp. 65-772	2001 Supp. 65-772	Yes	Yes	This regulation within the Kansas Dairy Law gives the dairy program the ability to regulate special dietary frozen desserts that may be manufacturing within a manufacturing grade dairy plant.	No	In active use		No	No		No		This regulation is necessary to keep within the Kansas dairy law in order to regulate manufacturing grade dairy processing plants that may process special dietary frozen desserts.	
4-7-508	Article 7	Revoked	Revoked																
4-7-509	Article 7	Revoked	Revoked																
4-7-510	Article 7.-Milk and Dairy Products	Adoption by reference	Amended	Sept. 1, 2006	2005 Supp. 65-772 and K.S.A. 65-775	65-775	Yes	Yes	This regulation within the Kansas Dairy Law gives the dairy program the ability to regulate frozen desserts that are processed within a manufacturing grade dairy plant.	No	In active use		No	No		No		This regulation is necessary to keep within the Kansas dairy Law in order to regulate manufacturing grade dairy processing plants that may process frozen desserts.	
4-7-511	Article 7	Revoked	Revoked																
4-7-512	Article 7	Revoked	Revoked																
4-7-513	Article 7	Revoked	Revoked																
4-7-530	Article 7.-Milk and Dairy Products	Adoption by reference	Amended	Sept. 1, 2006	2005 Supp. 65-772 and K.S.A. 65-775	65-775	Yes	Yes	This regulation within the Kansas Dairy Law outlines the testing requirements for raw milk produced from a manufacturing grade dairy farm. It also includes definitions for frozen desserts, requirements for rooms and compartments for processing frozen desserts, equipment and utensils for processing frozen desserts, quality requirements for raw materials that are used to process frozen desserts, operation and operating procedures for processing frozen desserts and requirements for finished product bearing USDA official identification for frozen desserts.	No	In active use		No	No		No		This regulation is necessary to keep within the Kansas dairy Law in order to regulate manufacturing grade dairy processing plants that may process frozen desserts.	
4-7-531	Article 7.-Milk and Dairy Products	Additional definitions	Amended	Dec. 20, 2002	2001 Supp. 65-772 and 65-775, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 181, § 16	2001 Supp. 65-772 and 65-775, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 181, § 16	Yes	Yes	This regulation within the Kansas Dairy Law adds pertinent references to documents that aid the dairy program in regulating manufacturing grade dairy plants.	No	In active use		No	No		No		This regulation is necessary to keep within the Kansas dairy Law in order to regulate manufacturing grade dairy processing plants that may process frozen desserts.	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-7-712	Article 7	Revoked	Revoked															limited # of characters
4-7-713	Article 7	Revoked	Revoked															
4-7-714	Article 7	Revoked	Revoked															
4-7-715	Article 7.-Milk and Dairy Products	Enforcement	Amended	Dec. 20, 2002	2001 Supp. 65-772	2001 Supp. 65-772	Yes	Yes	If this regulation were revoked, KDA's Dairy Program would not have the ability to enforce the requirements of FDA's Pasteurized Milk Ordinance for all grade "A" facilities.	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	Kansas Dairy Program, the Association of Food & Drug Officials, and FDA's Office of Regulatory Affairs and Office of State Cooperative Programs	Yes	\$ 60,000	This regulation is necessary for the enforcement of penalties for violations that occur at Kansas facilities under the pasteurized milk ordinance.
4-7-716	Article 7.-Milk and Dairy Products	Adoption by reference	Amended	July 9, 2010	2009 Supp. 65-772 and K.S.A. 65-775	65-775	Yes	Yes	If this regulation were revoked, KDA's Dairy Program would not have the ability to adopt model documents that the NCIMS requires for interstate shipment of milk and milk products.	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	Kansas Dairy Program, the Association of Food & Drug Officials, and FDA's Office of Regulatory Affairs and Office of State Cooperative Programs	Yes	\$ 60,000	This regulation is necessary to adopt all of the NCIMS model documents to stay in good standing with the NCIMS in order to ship milk and milk products interstate.
4-7-717	Article 7.-Milk and Dairy Products	Additional definitions	Amended	Sept. 1, 2006	2005 Supp. 65-772 and K.S.A. 65-775	2005 Supp. 65-772 and K.S.A. 65-775	Yes	Yes	If this regulation were revoked, certain terms within the adopted pasteurized milk ordinance would not be specific to Kansas jurisdiction and would not align with applicable definitions used in Kansas. This would cause confusion in applying the Pasteurized Milk Ordinance.	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	Kansas Dairy Program, the Association of Food & Drug Officials, and FDA's Office of Regulatory Affairs and Office of State Cooperative Programs	Yes	\$ 60,000	This regulation is necessary to clarify jurisdiction and definitions within the pasteurized milk ordinance.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-8-5	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-6	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-7	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-8	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-9	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-10	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-11	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-12	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-13	Article 8.- Noxious Weeds	Service of notices and statements	Amended	March 26, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-1315 and 2-1320	2019 Supp. 2-1315 and 2-1320	Yes	Yes	The noxious weed act requires the issuance of notices to the landowner in violation before enforcement actions begin. This regulation specifies how those notices may be served to avoid miscommunication between the landowner and the county.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-8-14	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-14a	Article 8.- Noxious Weeds	Herbicides approved for cost-share	Amended	March 26, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-1315	2019 Supp. 2-1315	Yes	Yes	This regulation by reference identifies those herbicides that have been approved for use for cost share with landowners for the control of noxious weeds.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-8-15	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-16	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-17	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-18	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-19	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-20	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-21	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-22	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-23	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-24	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-25	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-26	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-27	Article 8.- Noxious Weeds	Adoption of control methods for musk thistle	Amended	March 26, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-1315	2019 Supp. 2-1315	Yes	Yes	This regulation by reference specifies the official control methods as required by the noxious weed act. This will aid landowners in knowing how to control this noxious weed.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-8-28	Article 8.- Noxious Weeds	Adoption of control methods for Johnsongrass	Amended	March 26, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-1315	2019 Supp. 2-1315	Yes	Yes	This regulation by reference specifies the official control methods as required by the noxious weed act. This will aid landowners in knowing how to control this noxious weed.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-8-29	Article 8.- Noxious Weeds	Adoption of control methods for field bindweed	Amended	March 26, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-1315	2019 Supp. 2-1315	Yes	Yes	This regulation by reference specifies the official control methods as required by the noxious weed act. This will aid landowners in knowing how to control this noxious weed.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation federally necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-8-43	Article 8	Revoked	Revoked															
4-8-44	Article 8.- Noxious Weeds	Designation of noxious weeds	Effective	March 26, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-1314 and 2-1315	2019 Supp. 2-1314 and 2-1315			Identification of those plant species declared to be noxious in Kansas, allowing landowners to know which species must be controlled for the protection of their and their neighbor's land.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-8-45	Article 8.- Noxious Weeds	Official control plans	Effective	March 26, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-1315	2019 Supp. 2-1315	Yes	Yes	Places requirements on the official control methods adopted by the secretary so as to allow multiple control methods to the landowners to select from.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-8-46	Article 8.- Noxious Weeds	Annual report	Effective	March 26, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-1315	2019 Supp. 2-1315 and 2-1316	Yes	Yes	Specifies the contents required in the annual reports completed and submitted by the county weed supervisors. These reports provide public records of the management and control activities and enforcement actions completed by the county weed supervisors in the previous year.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-8-47	Article 8.- Noxious Weeds	Management plan	Effective	March 26, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-1315	2019 Supp. 2-1315 and 2-1316	Yes	Yes	Specifies the contents required in the management plans completed and submitted by the county weed supervisors. These reports provide public accounts of the planned management and control activities and enforcement actions planned by the county weed supervisors for the coming year.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-8-48	Article 8.- Noxious Weeds	Contents of notices and statements	Effective	March 26, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-1315, 2-1331, and 2-1332	2019 Supp. 2-1315 and 2-1331	Yes	Yes	Specifies the contents required in legal notices provided to landowners before the county conducts enforcement actions so as to ensure the landowners are provided sufficient information to know when and why those actions are to be taken.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-9-1	Article 9.- Carcass Beef, Calf, Veal: Grades (not in active use)	Revoked	Revoked															
4-9-2	Article 9	Revoked	Revoked															
4-9-3	Article 9	Revoked	Revoked															
4-9-4	Article 9	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-1	Article 10.- Anhydrous Ammonia	Definitions	Amended	March 12, 2010	2-1212	2-1212	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would cause confusion by removing definition of terms used in other regulations.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-10-1a	Article 10.- Anhydrous Ammonia	Prohibited acts	Effective	March 12, 2010	2-1212	2-1212	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate prohibitions of various acts related to anhydrous ammonia. Without this regulation, persons could be at risk resulting from improper transport or storage of anhydrous ammonia.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-10-1b	Article 10.- Anhydrous Ammonia	Reportable events	Effective	March 12, 2010	2-1212	2-1212	Yes	Yes	Revocation would result in the removal of reporting requirements for accidental or unauthorized releases of anhydrous ammonia. Without this regulation, specific discharges of anhydrous ammonia would not be deemed reportable.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-10-2	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-2a	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-2b	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-2c	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-2d	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-2e	Article 10.- Anhydrous Ammonia	Container valves and appurtenances	Amended	March 12, 2010	2-1212	2-1212	Yes	Yes	Revocation could result in anhydrous ammonia containers and fittings that were not designed or constructed for storage of anhydrous ammonia and it would eliminate safety required safety components for containers. Without this regulation, anhydrous ammonia containers would not be required to meet safety components which could result in injury to persons.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-10-2f	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-2g	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-2h	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-2i	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-2j	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-2k	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-3	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-4	Article 10	Revoked	Revoked															
4-10-4a	Article 10.- Anhydrous Ammonia	Containers	Effective	March 12, 2010	2-1212	2-1212	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove requirements relating to construction and testing of anhydrous ammonia containers. Without this regulation, anhydrous ammonia containers would not be required to meet safety components which could result in persons being exposed and injured from anhydrous ammonia.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-10-4b	Article 10.- Anhydrous Ammonia	Markings on containers and systems	Effective	March 12, 2010	2-1212	2-1212	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the requirements for marking anhydrous ammonia containers and systems. If this regulation was revoked, containers and systems may not be easily identified as storing anhydrous ammonia which could result in persons being exposed to anhydrous ammonia and injured. Also, emergency response personnel may not be put on proper notice if a response is needed.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-10-4c	Article 10.- Anhydrous Ammonia	Permanent storage facility design and permanent storage container location	Effective	March 12, 2010	2-1212	2-1212	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove safety requirements for permanent storage containers and permanent storage facilities being installed or relocated. Without this regulation, such containers and facilities would not be required to satisfy safety requirements, which could result in persons being exposed to anhydrous ammonia and injured.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-10-4d	Article 10.- Anhydrous Ammonia	Pressure-relief valves	Effective	March 12, 2010	2-1212	2-1212	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to pressure-relief valves. Without this regulation, pressure could build-up within the anhydrous ammonia container or system and an uncontrolled release of anhydrous ammonia may result. Such release could cause persons to be exposed to anhydrous ammonia and injured.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-10-4e	Article 10.- Anhydrous Ammonia	Hose specifications	Effective	March 12, 2010	2-1212	2-1212	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to hose specifications. Without this regulation, hoses may not be properly replaced and an uncontrolled release of anhydrous ammonia may result. Such release could cause persons to be exposed to anhydrous ammonia and injured.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-10-4f	Article 10.- Anhydrous Ammonia	Gauging devices	Effective	March 12, 2010	2-1212	2-1212	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the requirement for liquid-level gauging devices. Without this regulation, persons using or filling storage containers or systems would not be provided adequate information on the status of the product and the container. Also, the overfilling of a container could result in an uncontrolled release of anhydrous ammonia which could then result in persons being exposed to anhydrous ammonia and injured.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-10-5	Article 10.- Anhydrous Ammonia	Tank trucks, semitrailers and trailers for transportation of anhydrous ammonia	Amended	April 13, 2001	2-1212	2-1212	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements for tank trucks, semitrailers and trailers used for transporting anhydrous ammonia. Without this regulation, tank trucks, semitrailers and trailers used for transporting anhydrous ammonia would not be required to meet safety components which could result in persons being exposed and injured from anhydrous ammonia.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information
																		necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/yes/ no	limited # of characters	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
4-13-1	Article 13.-Pesticides	Definitions	Amended	Feb. 29, 2008	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would cause confusion by removing definitions of terms used in other regulations. Revocation would remove the definition framework contained in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-2	Article 13.-Pesticides	Pesticide business license application	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2008 Supp. 2-2440, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 11, and K.S.A. 2-2467a	2008 Supp. 2-2440, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 11, and K.S.A. 2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove application requirements for pesticide business licensees and components of the licensing framework contained in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. Without this regulation, information for processing business licenses would not be readily available for review and evaluation and the public would not be protected.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-3	Article 13.-Pesticides	Categories and subcategories of qualification for the licensing of pesticide businesses and certification of commercial applicators	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2008 Supp. 2-2440, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, ¶11, and K.S.A. 2-2467a	2008 Supp. 2-2444a and K.S.A. 2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove certification categories for businesses and commercial applicators. Certification categories are components of the licensing framework contained in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. Without this regulation, businesses and commercial applicators would be able to complete pesticide applications without having proper education / training which could harm the public and the environment.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-4	Article 13.-Pesticides	Written statement of service by business	Amended	Jan. 1, 1991	1988 Supp. 2-2467a as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 6, ¶16		Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to written statements of services for businesses. Statement of service requirements are included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. Without this regulation, customers would not receive written information regarding pesticide applications occurring on their property.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-4a	Article 13.-Pesticides	Requirements for written contract or statement of services by business	Amended	July 18, 2003	2-2467a	2-2455	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to written statements of services for businesses. Statement of service requirements are included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. Without this regulation, statements of services would not include required information and provide information about the pesticide application to the customer.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-5	Article 13.-Pesticides	Written statement of service by certified commercial applicator not acting for business	Amended	Jan. 1, 1991	1988 Supp. 2-2467a as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 6, § 16	1988 Supp. 2-2467a as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 6, § 16	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to written statements of services for certified commercial applicators not acting for a business. Statement of service requirements are included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. Without this regulation, statements of services by commercial applicators not acting for a business would not include required information nor provide information about the pesticide application to the customer.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans. <i>limited # of characters</i>	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)? <i>limited # of characters</i>	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation <i>limited # of characters</i>
4-13-6	Article 13.-Pesticides	Marking of vehicles	Amended	July 18, 2003	2-2467a	2-2456	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to marking vehicles for businesses engaged in certain categories of pesticide application. Marking of vehicles is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. Without this regulation, businesses would not be required to mark their vehicles and the public would not be put on notice that businesses engaged in pest control services are properly licensed.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-7	Article 13.-Pesticides	Termite control application procedures	Amended	July 18, 2003	2-2467a and K.S.A. 2-2471	2-2467a and K.S.A. 2-2471	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to procedures for termite control applications. Requirements for termite control application procedures are included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. Without this regulation, termite control application procedures may not be completed, and customers would not receive written information regarding pesticide applications occurring on their property.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-8	Article 13.-Pesticides	Surety bond requirement	Amended	March 26, 1990	1988 Supp. 2-2467a as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 6, § 17	2-2448 as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 6, § 17	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove clarity regarding surety bond requirements. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-12	Article 13.-Pesticides	Age restriction for certification	Effective	May 1, 1978	1977 Supp. 2-2467a		Yes	Yes	Revocation would permit individuals less than 18 years old to obtain commercial applicator certification in violation of federal requirements which could result in KDA losing state primacy to enforce the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under FIFRA and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-13	Article 13.-Pesticides	Commercial applicator examinations	Amended	Feb. 29, 2008	2-2467a	2006 Supp. 2-2443a and K.S.A. 2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove examination requirements and minimum competency standards for testing applicants for commercial applicator certification. Certified commercial applicators are required to have sufficient knowledge regarding pesticides and pesticide applications. If minimum competency standards are not required, it could result in pesticide applications that could harm the applicator, the public, and the environment. Minimum competency standards are required components in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. Removal could result in KDA losing state primacy to enforce FIFRA and associated regulations.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-14	Article 13.-Pesticides	Private applicator examination	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2-2467a	2008 Supp. 2-2445a, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 18	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove examination requirements and minimum competency standards for testing applicants for private applicator certification. Private applicators are required to have sufficient knowledge regarding pesticides and pesticide applications. If minimum competency standards are not required, it could result in pesticide applications that could harm the applicator, the public, and the environment. Minimum competency standards are required components in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. Removal could result in KDA losing state primacy to enforce FIFRA and associated regulations.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-15	Article 13.-Pesticides	Certificates and pocket cards	Amended	June 4, 1990	1989 Supp. 2-2467a	2-2441a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate certificates and pocket cards for certified applicators. Eliminating certificates and pocket cards would create confusion and jeopardize accountability of those persons responsible for upholding safe practices when engaged in pesticide applications. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-16	Article 13.-Pesticides	Supervision of uncertified applicators	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2-2467a	2008 Supp. 2-2441a, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 16	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to supervision of uncertified applicators using restricted use pesticides. If revoked, uncertified applicators could use restricted use pesticides without proper supervision which could result in harm to the applicator, the public, and the environment. Also, revocation could result in KDA losing state primacy to enforce the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under FIFRA and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-17	Article 13.-Pesticides	Report of address change by certified applicators	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the requirement for certified commercial applicators to notify KDA regarding changes in mailing addresses. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. This regulation is necessary for ensuring KDA has updated contact information and it allows KDA to contact certified commercial applicators regarding expirations and renewals.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-18	Article 13.-Pesticides	Disposal of pesticides and containers	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to disposal of pesticides and containers. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. Without this regulation, pesticides and containers may not be properly disposed of which could cause harm to the public and the environment.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-19	Article 13.-Pesticides	Marking of aircraft	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 2-2467a	1980 Supp. 2-2456	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to marking aircrafts. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-20	Article 13.-Pesticides	Pesticide business license, renewal, and uncertified commercial applicator fees	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2008 Supp. 2-2440, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 11, and K.S.A. 2-2467a	2008 Supp. 2-2440, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 11	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove licensure fees for pesticide businesses and uncertified applicators. Fees charged through the program pay for the administration of the program and prevent the need to use state general funds. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-21	Article 13.-Pesticides	Government agency registration and renewal fees	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2008 Supp. 2-2440, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 11, and K.S.A. 2-2467a	2008 Supp. 2-2440, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 11	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove licensure fees for government agency registrations. Fees charged through the program pay for the administration of the program and prevent the need to use state general funds. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-22	Article 13	Revoked	Revoked															
4-13-23	Article 13.-Pesticides	Examination fees	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2008 Supp. 2-2443a, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 17, and K.S.A. 2-2467a	2008 Supp. 2-2443a, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 17	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the fee for commercial applicator examinations. Fees charged through the program pay for the administration of the program and prevent the need to use state general funds. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-24	Article 13.-Pesticides	Certified private applicator's certificate fee	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2008 Supp. 2-2445a, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 18, and K.S.A. 2-2467a	2008 Supp. 2-2445a, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 18	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the certified private applicator's certificate fee. Fees charged through the program pay for the administration of the program and prevent the need to use state general funds. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/ yes/ no	limited # of characters	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
4-13-25	Article 13.- Pesticides	Bulk pesticide storage and handling of pesticides; definitions	Amended	Sept. 3, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would cause confusion by removing definitions of terms used in other regulations. Revocation would remove the definition framework contained in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-25a	Article 13.- Pesticides	Exemptions	Effective	Dec. 27, 2002	2-2467a and 2-2471	2-2467a and 2-2471	Yes	Yes	Revocation would impose requirements relating to bulk pesticide storage and handling for containers that are currently exempt from such regulation. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-25b	Article 13.- Pesticides	Quantities of bulk pesticide	Amended	Sept. 3, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove clarity on which bulk pesticide storage facilities are subject to requirements associated with bulk pesticide storage. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-25c	Article 13.-Pesticides	Location, design, and construction requirements of a bulk pesticide storage facility	Amended	Sept. 3, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate requirements relating to location, design and construction of bulk pesticide storage facilities. Without this regulation, bulk pesticide storage facilities could be constructed with improper materials which could result in pesticide discharge and environmental harm. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-25d	Article 13.-Pesticides	Secondary containment for bulk pesticide storage	Amended	Sept. 3, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements for secondary containment for bulk pesticide storage. Without this regulation, pesticide products may not be properly contained, and environmental harm may result due to pesticide discharge. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-25e	Article 13.-Pesticides	Requirements for mixing and loading pads for bulk pesticides	Amended	Sept. 3, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements for mixing and loading pads for bulk pesticides. Without this regulation, pesticide products may not be properly contained, and environmental harm may result due to pesticide discharge. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
																		limited # of characters
4-13-25f	Article 13.-Pesticides	Requirements for bulk pesticide containers and appurtenances	Amended	Sept. 3, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to storage containers of pesticide products. This may result in harm to the environment due to discharge of pesticide products. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-25g	Article 13.-Pesticides	Discharge, recovery, and reporting requirements	Amended	Sept. 3, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements for pesticide discharge to be recovered by facilities and then properly disposed of. It would also remove pesticide discharge reporting requirements. Without this regulation, discharges of pesticide could occur, resulting in environmental harm. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-25h	Article 13.-Pesticides	Submission of diagrams, plans, and specifications	Amended	Sept. 3, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate requirements to provide diagrams and plans relating to construction of storage facilities. KDA would not be able to evaluate if a storage facility meets the requirements of the Kansas Pesticide Law and associated regulations; storage facilities could be constructed with improper materials or without proper secondary containment which could result in pesticide discharge and environmental harm. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-25i	Article 13	Revoked	Revoked															
4-13-25j	Article 13.-Pesticides	Bulk pesticide storage facility inspection and maintenance requirements	Amended	Sept. 3, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to inspection and maintenance of bulk pesticide storage facilities and secondary containment. Without this regulation, there is potential for storage facilities and secondary containment to degrade resulting in discharge of pesticide products and environmental harm. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-25k	Article 13.-Pesticides	Site closure and discontinuation of operation	Amended	Sept. 3, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to closure of bulk pesticide storage facilities. Without this regulation, environmental harm could occur because removal of pesticides and cleaning of containers, appurtenances, mixing and loading pads and sumps may not occur. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-25l	Article 13.-Pesticides	Penalty for noncompliance with pesticide containment	Amended	Sept. 3, 2010	2009 Supp. 2-2449 and K.S.A. 2-2467a	2-2440e, K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 2-2449, K.S.A. 22453, 2-2454, and 2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the process for issuing and processing civil penalties for violating secondary containment requirements. Without this regulation, the public would not be adequately protected from entities violating secondary containment requirements of the Kansas Pesticide Law and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation federally necessary for delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-25m	Article 13.-Pesticides	Change in owner or operator of bulk pesticide storage facility; reporting requirements	Effective	Sept. 3, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the requirement for businesses to notify KDA regarding changes in ownership and provide information about the status of the bulk pesticide storage facility. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. This regulation is necessary for ensuring KDA has updated contact information and that the facility meets secondary containment requirements.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-26	Article 13.-Pesticides	Preconstruction application of pesticide for termite control	Amended	July 18, 2003	2-2467a	2-2471	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to preconstruction applications of pesticides for termite control. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-27	Article 13.-Pesticides	Certificate of liability insurance	Effective	March 26, 1990	1988 Supp. 2-2467a as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 6, §16	2-2448 as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 6, §17	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove clarity regarding requirements for certificates of liability insurance. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-28	Article 13.-Pesticides	Target pests which are not specified on the pesticide's label or labeling	Effective	Oct. 21, 1991	1990 Supp. 2-2467a	1990 Supp. 2-2470 and 2-2471	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements and flexibility for pesticide applicators when target pests are not specified on the pesticide's label or labeling. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-29	Article 13.-Pesticides	General use pesticides for household application or use for the purpose of pesticide dealer registrations	Effective	May 1, 1987	2-2467a	1985 Supp. 2-2469	Yes	Yes	Revocation would cause confusion on licensure requirements for businesses only selling general use pesticide products for household use. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-30	Article 13.-Pesticides	Dealer recordkeeping requirements	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2-2467a	2-2467a	Yes	Yes	Revocation would result in the removal of recordkeeping requirements for restricted use pesticides sold by pesticide dealers. This provision requires pesticide dealers confirm an individual's certification status before sale of restricted use pesticides. This provision is required by the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-31	Article 13.-Pesticides	Certificates of registration	Effective	May 1, 1986	2-2467a	L. 1985, Ch. 12, section 2 [2-2469]	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate the requirement that pesticide dealers display their certification of registration for the public. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-32	Article 13.-Pesticides	Report of address change by pesticide dealers	Effective	May 1, 1986	2-2467a	L. 1985, Ch. 12, section 2 [2-2469]	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the requirement for pesticide dealers to notify KDA regarding changes in address or name. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas. This regulation is necessary for ensuring KDA has updated contact information and it allows KDA to contact businesses regarding expirations, renewals, and inspections.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-33	Article 13.-Pesticides	Pest control technician registration and renewal fees	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2008 Supp. 2-2440b, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, ¶13, and K.S.A. 2-2467a	2008 Supp. 2-2440b, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, § 13	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the fee for pest control technician registration. Fees charged through the program pay for the administration of the program and prevent the need to use state general funds. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-34	Article 13.-Pesticides	Verification of training of registered pest control technicians	Amended	Jan. 1, 1989	1987 Supp. 2-2467a	1987 Supp. 2-2440c as amended by L. 1988, ch. 7, § 4	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate requirements that pesticide businesses maintain training records for registered pest control technicians. Eliminating recordkeeping requirements could result in businesses not providing proper training and such technicians could engage in pest control services which could harm the technician, the public and the environment. Requirements relating to training of unsupervised persons are included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-35	Article 13.-Pesticides	Registered pest control technician identification cards	Amended	Jan. 1, 1989	1987 Supp. 2-2467a	1987 Supp. 2-2440b as amended by L. 1988, Ch. 7, § 3	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate identification cards for registered pest control technicians. Eliminating identification cards would create confusion and jeopardize accountability of those persons responsible for upholding safe practices when engaged in pesticide applications as registered pest control technicians. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-36	Article 13.-Pesticides	Training of registered pest control technicians in wood destroying pest control and structural pest control	Amended	Jan. 25, 1993	2-2467a		Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate training requirements for registered pest control technicians engaged in wood destroying pest control and structural pest control. Without proper training, technicians could engage in pest control services that result in harm to the technician, the public and the environment. Requirements relating to training of unsupervised persons are included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-37	Article 13.-Pesticides	Renewal of pest control technician registration	Effective	May 1, 1988	2-2467a, as amended by L. 1987, Ch. 12, § 5	L. 1987, Ch. 12, § 4 [2-2446]	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove renewal requirements for registered pest control technicians. Without this regulation, technicians would not receive annual training. Requirements relating to training of unsupervised persons are included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-60	Article 13.-Pesticides	Civil penalty; order	Amended	July 18, 2008	2-2467a	2-2440e	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the process for issuing and processing civil penalties and it would create confusion on what information is required to be included in a civil penalty order. Without this regulation, the public would not be adequately protected from businesses violating the Kansas Pesticide Law and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-61	Article 13	Revoked	Revoked															
4-13-62	Article 13.-Pesticides	Amount of civil penalty	Amended	Feb. 5, 2010	2-2467a	2-2440e, as amended by L. 2009, Ch. 128, §15	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove maximum and minimum penalties assessed for violations of the Kansas Pesticide Law and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. Without this regulation, the public would not be protected from businesses engaged in unlawful acts. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	in active use/ yes/ no	limited # of characters	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited # of characters
4-13-63	Article 13.-Pesticides	Criteria to determine dollar amount of proposed civil penalty	Amended	Jan. 25, 1993	2-2467a	2-2440e	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove criteria to determine the amount of the proposed civil penalty. Without this regulation, the regulated community would not be consistently regulated, and the public would not be adequately protected from businesses violating the Kansas Pesticide Law and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-13-64	Article 13.-Pesticides	Informal settlement	Amended	July 18, 2008	2-2467a	2-2440e	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove provisions for settlement conferences and requirements for settlement. Without this regulation, the regulated community would have limited options to respond to allegations of violations of the Kansas Pesticide Law and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-13-65	Article 13.- Pesticides	Adjusting the amount of the civil penalty	Amended	July 18, 2008	2-2467a	2-2440e	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements associated with adjusting a civil penalty in settlement conferences. Without this regulation, there would be confusion regarding requirements for adjusting civil penalties issues by KDA. This provision is included in the State Certification Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and associated regulations. Revisions to the State Certification Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA to ensure KDA maintains primacy for enforcement of FIFRA requirements in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	The Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Cooperative Agreement, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	Yes	Approximately \$718,337	
4-14-1	Article 14.- Honeybees (not in active use)	Revoked	Revoked															
4-14-2	Article 14	Revoked	Revoked															
4-14-3	Article 14	Revoked	Revoked															
4-15-1	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Revoked	Revoked															
4-15-2	Article 15	Revoked	Revoked															
4-15-3	Article 15	Revoked	Revoked															
4-15-4	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Live plant definition: exclusions	Amended	May 18, 2012	2010 Supp. 2-2126, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 11	2010 Supp. 2-2113, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 1	Yes	Yes	This regulation excludes certain types of plants from the definition of live plants. Revoking this regulation would place addition unnecessary regulation on already regulated industries.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-15-5	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Live plant dealer license fee	Amended	March 23, 2012	2010 Supp. 2-2126, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 11	2010 Supp. 2-2120, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would create a situation where no specific fee is established for live plant dealer license.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-15-6	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Plant pest emergency response fund fee	Amended	March 23, 2012	2010 Supp. 2-2126, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 11, and K.S.A. 2010 Supp. 2-2129, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 13	2010 Supp. 2-2129, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 13	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would create a situation where no specific fee is established for the emergency pest fee.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-15-7	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Live plant dealer licensing exemptions	Amended	May 18, 2012	2010 Supp. 2-2126, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 11	2010 Supp. 2-2120, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove clarity on the live plant dealer license exemption and how it is claimed.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-15-8	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Fees for the inspection of live plants, plant products, bees, beekeeping equipment, and regulated articles	Amended	May 18, 2012	2010 Supp. 2-2126, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 11	2010 Supp. 2-2118, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 5	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would create industry confusion on how the agency charges for a requested inspection as required by statute.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-15-9	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Fees for the certification of live plants, plant products, bees, beekeeping equipment, and regulated articles	Amended	May 18, 2012	2010 Supp. 2-2126, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 11	2010 Supp. 2-2118, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 5	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would create a situation where no specific fee is established for each type of certificate causing confusion to the industry.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-15-9a	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Live plant dealer; certificate of inspection	Effective	May 18, 2012	2010 Supp. 2-2126, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 11	2010 Supp. 2-2118, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 5	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove clarity to the industry on the method to issue a live plant dealer certificate of inspection which is used for interstate movement of live plants.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-15-10	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Pest freedom standards	Amended	May 18, 2012	2010 Supp. 2-2126, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 11	2010 Supp. 2-2117, as amended by L. 2011, ch. 72, sec. 4	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the list of regulated non-quarantine pest for live plants handled by live plant dealers as required by statute causing confusion in the industry.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-15-11	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Civil penalty; order	Amended	July 18, 2008	2007 Supp. 2-2126	2007 Supp. 2-2125	Yes	Yes	Removing this regulation would cause additional uncertainty on what information is included in a civil penalty order.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-15-12	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Revoked	Revoked															
4-15-13	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Criteria to determine dollar amount of civil penalty	Amended	May 18, 2012	2011 Supp. 2-2126	2011 Supp. 2-2125	Yes	Yes	This regulation gives guidance to all parties as to what criteria is considered when reaching the dollar amount for a civil penalty. This also serves to provide consistency in enforcement.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-15-14	Article 15.- Plants and Plant Products	Informal settlement	Amended	July 18, 2008	2007 Supp. 2-2126	2007 Supp. 2-2126	Yes	Yes	This regulation ensures that respondents are aware of the informal settlement process. This helps citizens understand and feel comfortable with processes.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no	<i>limited # of characters</i>	yes/no	in active use/yes/ no	<i>limited # of characters</i>	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		<i>limited # of characters</i>
4-16-300	Article 16.-Meat and Meat Products Inspection	Civil penalty; order	Amended	July 18, 2008	2007 Supp. 65-6a44	2007 Supp. 65-6a56	Yes	Yes	The ability of the KDA Meat and Poultry Inspection program to assess monetary penalties helps in our goal of having regulatory compliance. Revocation of this provision would strip away an essential compliance tool which is used to keep Kansans and all end-consumers safe.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	If the State Meat and Poultry Program can not show that they have a regulatory control over the establishments we would lose 50% of our funding or presently \$1.7 million.	While it might not be required federally to meet equal to status. Not being allowed to charge monetary penalties would hinder our ability, as a regulatory authority, to help assure compliance by some of the businesses we regulate. The only other option for habitual offenders would be to completely shut them down when a fine might convince them to come back and stay in compliance.
4-16-301	Article 16	Revoked	Revoked															
4-16-302	Article 16.-Meat and Meat Products Inspection	Amount of civil penalty	Amended	July 18, 2008	2007 Supp. 65-6a44	2007 Supp. 65-6a56			Escalation of civil penalties is necessary to keep Kansans safe as the severity and flagrancy of infractions increases.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	If the State Meat and Poultry Program can not show that they have a regulatory control over the establishments we would lose 50% of our funding or presently \$1.7 million.	While it might not be required federally to meet equal to status. Not being allowed to charge monetary penalties would hinder our ability, as a regulatory authority, to help assure compliance by some of the businesses we regulate. The only other option for habitual offenders would be to completely shut them down when a fine might convince them to come back and stay in compliance.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-16-303	Article 16.-Meat and Meat Products Inspection	Criteria to determine dollar amount of the civil penalty	Amended	July 18, 2008	2007 Supp. 65-6a44	2007 Supp. 65-6a56			This provision provides guidance and consistency to Respondents in determining the civil penalty amount for a given infraction. This helps keep Kansans and all end-consumers safe.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	If the State Meat and Poultry Program can not show that they have a regulatory control over the establishments we would lose 50% of our funding or presently \$1.7 million.	While it might not be required federally to meet equal to status. Not being allowed to charge monetary penalties would hinder our ability, as a regulatory authority, to help assure compliance by some of the businesses we regulate. The only other option for habitual offenders would be to completely shut them down when a fine might convince them to come back and stay in compliance.
4-16-304	Article 16.-Meat and Meat Products Inspection	Informal settlement	Amended	July 18, 2008	2007 Supp. 65-6a44	2007 Supp. 65-6a56			This regulation ensures that respondents are aware of the informal settlement process. This helps citizens understand and feel comfortable with processes.	No	In active use		No	No		Yes	If the State Meat and Poultry Program can not show that they have a regulatory control over the establishments we would lose 50% of our funding or presently \$1.7 million.	While it might not be required federally to meet equal to status. Not being allowed to charge monetary penalties would hinder our ability, as a regulatory authority, to help assure compliance by some of the businesses we regulate. The only other option for habitual offenders would be to completely shut them down when a fine might convince them to come back and stay in compliance.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no		in active use/yes/ no	limited # of characters	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no	
4-17-49	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
4-17-50	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
4-17-51	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
4-17-52	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
4-17-300	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
4-17-301	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
4-17-302	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
4-17-303	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
4-17-304	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
4-17-305	Article 17	Revoked	Revoked															
4-18-1	Article 18.- Livestock Remedies (not in active use)	Revoked	Revoked															
4-19-1	Article 19.-Soil Amendments	Registration fee	Amended	Feb. 23, 2007	2005 Supp. 2-2805 and K.S.A. 2-2811	2005 Supp. 2-2805	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove annual registration fees for soil amendments. Fees charged through the program pay for the administration of the program and prevent the need to use state general funds.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-20-3	Article 20.- Chemigation	Records and reports	Amended	March 26, 1990	1988 Supp. 2-3309	1988 Supp. 2-3303	Yes	Yes	Revocation would result in the removal of reporting requirements for persons using a chemigation process. It would also remove the requirement for reporting spills, accidents, system malfunctions, or contamination of groundwater or surface water to government officials. Without this regulation, actual or potential contamination of groundwater and surface water may not be reported, government officials would not be notified regarding cleanup / able to provide assistance as authorized or required under various laws, and water resources would not be protected.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-20-4	Article 20.- Chemigation	Permits	Amended	May 1, 1987	1985 Supp. 2-3309	1985 Supp. 2-3306	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove oversight requirements for individuals possessing a chemigation user permit and persons operating under supervision. Without this regulation, oversight of individuals using pesticides in chemigation processes would not occur which could result in contamination of groundwater and surface water.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-20-5	Article 20.-Chemigation	Waterline check valves	Amended	Oct. 24, 2003	2-3309	2-3305	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove safety requirements for waterline check valves. Without these safety requirements, pesticide, fertilizers and other substances would be able to enter into the water supply which could contaminate groundwater and surface water.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-20-6	Article 20.-Chemigation	Injection equipment	Amended	March 26, 1990	1988 Supp. 2-3309	2-3305 as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 7, Sec. 3	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to injection equipment, and pesticides and fertilizers may be applied at a rate higher than what is approved on pesticide product labels.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-20-7	Article 20.-Chemigation	Vacuum relief device and automatic low-pressure drain	Effective	March 26, 1990	1988 Supp. 2-3309	2-3305 as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 7, Sec. 3	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements for proper installation and operation of vacuum relief devices and automatic low-pressure drains. Without this regulation, pesticide, fertilizers and other substances would be able to enter into the water supply which could contaminate groundwater and surface water.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-20-8	Article 20.-Chemigation	Chemical injection line and checkvalve	Effective	March 26, 1990	2-3309	1988 Supp. 2-3305 as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 7, Sec. 3	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to chemical injection lines and check valves. Without this regulation, there would be no restriction on the location where pesticides, fertilizers or other substances are injected into the water distribution system and check valves would not be required so no backflow prevention would occur. As a result, pesticides, fertilizers or other substances would be able to enter into the water supply which could contaminate groundwater and surface water.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-20-11	Article 20.-Chemigation	Civil penalties	Amended	July 18, 2008	2007 Supp. 2-3309	2-3308 and 2-3317	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the process for issuing and processing civil penalties. It would create confusion on what information is required to be included in such orders and it would remove maximum and minimum penalties that may be assessed for violations of the Kansas Chemigation Safety Law, and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. It would remove criteria to determine the amount of the proposed civil penalty and remove provisions for settlement conferences and requirements for adjusting a civil penalty in settlement conferences. Without this regulation, groundwater and surface water may not be adequately protected due to limited enforcement authority for violations of the Kansas Chemigation Safety Law and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-20-12	Article 20.-Chemigation	Certified chemigation equipment operator examination	Effective	March 26, 1990	1988 Supp. 2-3309	1988 Supp. 2-3306 as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 7, Sec. 5	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove minimum passing standards for testing chemigation equipment operators and KDA's evaluation of chemigation equipment operators' knowledge of the chemigation process would be limited. Chemigation equipment operators are required to have sufficient knowledge regarding the chemigation process in order to provide safe and proper operation of chemigation equipment. If minimum standards are not satisfied, safe operation of the chemigation equipment might jeopardize Kansas' groundwater, resulting in potential groundwater and surface water contamination.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-20-13	Article 20.-Chemigation	Certificates and pocket cards	Effective	March 26, 1990	1988 Supp. 2-3309	1988 Supp. 2-3306 as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 7, Sec. 5	Yes	Yes	Revocation would eliminate certificates and pocket cards for certified chemigation equipment operators. Eliminating certificates and pocket cards would create confusion and jeopardize accountability of those persons responsible for upholding safe practices when engaged in chemigation processes.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understand the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-20-14	Article 20.- Chemigation	Report of address change by certified chemigation equipment operators	Effective	March 26, 1990	1988 Supp. 2-3309	1988 Supp. 2-3306 as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 7, Sec. 5	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the requirement for certified chemigation equipment operators to notify KDA in change of mailing address. This regulation is necessary to allow KDA to contact chemigation equipment operators to notify them regarding expiration / renewals, and inspections.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-20-15	Article 20.- Chemigation	Agronomic application rates	Amended	April 4, 2003	2-3305, K.S.A. 2-3309	2-3318, as amended by L. 2002, ch. 181, sec. 14 and K.S.A. 2001 Supp. 65-1,182	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove requirements relating to application rates for applying swine waste through the chemigation process.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-21-1	Article 21.- Nutrient Utilization Plans	Nutrient utilization plan" defined; amendments to the plan; exceptions"	Amended	April 4, 2003	2-3309	2-3302, K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 2-3318 and K.S.A. 65-1,182	No	No	Revocation would have no effect on Kansans as the requirements associated with nutrient utilization plans have been transferred to the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (2009 Sen. Sub. HB 2295).	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-21-2	Article 21.- Nutrient Utilization Plans	Field" defined"	Effective	April 23, 1999	1998 Supp. 2-3305 and 2-3309	1998 Supp. 2-3318 and 65-1,182	No	No	Revocation would have no effect on Kansans as the requirements associated with nutrient utilization plans have been transferred to the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (2009 Sen. Sub. HB 2295).	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-21-3	Article 21.- Nutrient Utilization Plans	Soil samples	Amended	April 4, 2003	2-3305 and K.S.A. 2-3309	2-3318, as amended by L. 2002, ch. 181, sec. 14 and K.S.A. 2001 Supp. 65-1,182	No	No	Revocation would have no effect on Kansans as the requirements associated with nutrient utilization plans have been transferred to the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (2009 Sen. Sub. HB 2295).	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-21-4	Article 21.- Nutrient Utilization Plans	Soil tests	Amended	April 4, 2003	2-3305 and K.S.A. 2-3309	2-3318, as amended by L. 2002, ch. 181, sec. 14 and K.S.A. 2001 Supp. 65-1,182	No	No	Revocation would have no effect on Kansans as the requirements associated with nutrient utilization plans have been transferred to the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (2009 Sen. Sub. HB 2295).	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-21-5	Article 21.- Nutrient Utilization Plans	Agreements to apply waste	Amended	April 4, 2003	2-3305 and K.S.A. 2-3309	2-3318, as amended by L. 2002, ch. 181, sec. 14 and K.S.A. 2001 Supp. 65-1,182	No	No	Revocation would have no effect on Kansans as the requirements associated with nutrient utilization plans have been transferred to the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (2009 Sen. Sub. HB 2295).	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-21-6	Article 21.- Nutrient Utilization Plans	Recordkeeping	Amended	April 4, 2003	2-3305 and K.S.A. 2-3309	2-3318, as amended by L. 2002, ch. 181, sec. 14 and K.S.A. 2001 Supp. 65-1,182	No	No	Revocation would have no effect on Kansans as the requirements associated with nutrient utilization plans have been transferred to the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (2009 Sen. Sub. HB 2295).	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-21-7	Article 21.- Nutrient Utilization Plans	Exceeding the agronomic rate for phosphorus-holding capacity	Effective	April 4, 2003	2-3309	2002 Supp. 2-3318 and K.S.A. 65-1,182	No	No	Revocation would have no effect on Kansans as the requirements associated with nutrient utilization plans have been transferred to the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (2009 Sen. Sub. HB 2295).	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-25-1	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Revoked	Revoked															
4-25-1a	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Definitions	Effective	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102	34-102 and K.S.A. 34-223	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would cause confusion by removing definitions for terms used in other regulations.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-2	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Record retention	Amended	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102	34-102, 34-228, 34-236, 34-246, 34-249a, 34-295a, 34-295b, and 34-2,104	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the records retentions schedule for grain warehouses making it difficult to properly exam the grain warehouse.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-3	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Return of documents	Effective	March 8, 2002	34-102	34-102 and 34-299	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the requirement a grain warehouse returning warehouse receipts and licenses when a grain warehouse goes out of business possibly leading to fraud.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-4	Article 25	Revoked	Revoked															
4-25-5	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Storage liability reports	Amended	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102	34-102 and 34-295a	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulations would remove clear requirements of the monthly stock statement report that is required by statute.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-6	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Secretary's right to seal bins and weigh grain	Effective	March 8, 2002	34-102	34-102 and 34-239	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would remove the requirement for the grain warehouse to allow the Secretary to weigh any grain for which there are outstanding warehouse receipts.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-7	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Public warehouse receipts; form	Amended	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102	34-102, 34-238, and 34-239	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove further requirements of a warehouse receipt.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-8	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Scale tickets; inbound form	Amended	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102	34-102 and 34-233	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the requirements of an inbound scale ticket causing producer confusion.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-9	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Scale tickets; outbound form	Amended	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102	34-102 and 34-233	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the requirements of an outbound scale ticket, causing producer confusion.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-25-10	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Grain bank grain	Amended	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102 and 34-227b	34-227b	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove clarity that grain bank is considered stored grain and subject to the grain warehouse act.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-11	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Revoked	Revoked															
4-25-12	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Financial statements	Effective	March 8, 2002	34-102	34-102, 34-228, and 34-229	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulations would remove specific requirements of a grain warehouse financial statement.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-13	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Appraisals	Effective	March 8, 2002	34-102	34-102 and 34-228	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the option for a grain warehouse to obtain an appraisal for an asset to help meet the net worth requirements.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-14	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Successor agreement	Effective	March 8, 2002	34-102	34-102 and 34-228	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove specific requirements of a successor agreement in a grain warehouse merger.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-15	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Revoked	Revoked															
4-25-16	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Fees and charges	Amended	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102	34-102 and 34-228	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would create a situation where there was no specific fee for a license, amendment, or special examination.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-17	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Transfer of grain between public warehouses	Effective	March 8, 2002	34-102	34-102 and 34-241	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove specific requirements for grain being transferred between grain warehouses.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-18	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Credit for unexpired portion of license on new or amended license	Effective	March 8, 2002	34-102	34-102 and 34-228	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the ability to credit the an unused part of a current license for a new or amended license.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-19	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Licensed storage, conditional storage, and emergency storage	Effective	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102 and K.S.A. 34-228	34-102, 34-228, and 34-229	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the specific requirements of licensed, conditional, and emergency storage.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-20	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Undermeasurements and overmeasurements of stored grain	Effective	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102	34-102	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the level of undermeasurement before an adjustment is required.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-25-21	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Unpaid grain contracts	Effective	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102	34-102 and K.S.A. 34-2,111	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove specific requirements of an unpaid grain contract which could lead to fraud.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-22	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Safety of facilities	Effective	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102	34-102	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the requirement that a grain warehouse to maintain a safe facility.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-25-23	Article 25.- Grain Warehouse	Disciplinary actions	Effective	Aug. 26, 2022	34-102	34-102 and K.S.A. 34-230	Yes	Yes	Revoking this regulation would remove the process to issue a report of conditions when a grain warehouse is found to have not followed the grain warehouse act during an examination.	No	In active use	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA	
4-27-1	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Lodging establishment application fees	Amended	Oct. 28, 2011	2010 Supp. 36-506	2010 Supp. 36-502, as amended by 2011 HB 2282, sec. 2	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate funding necessary to enforce the Lodging Inspection act, which is necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-2	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Definitions	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-501, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 3, and K.S.A. 2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate definitions necessary to help lodging establishments comply with the requirements of the Lodging Inspection act, which is necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-3	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Licensure; plans and specifications; variances	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-502, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 4	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate necessary processes to help lodging establishments comply with the requirements of the Lodging Inspection act, which is necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-4	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Food service and food safety	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate a clarification of the applicability of food establishment licensing to Guest Houses.	No	No		No	No	No	No		
4-27-5	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Imminent health hazard	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate the requirement of lodging establishment operators to report imminent health hazards, which would increase risks to consumers.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-27-6	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	General requirements	Effective	June 4, 2010	2008 Supp. 36-506	2008 Supp. 36-502 and 36-506	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate general requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-7	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Personnel; health, cleanliness, and clothing	Effective	June 4, 2010	2008 Supp. 36-506	2008 Supp. 36-506	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate employee health and cleanliness requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-8	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Guest and public safety	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate general safety requirements related to building integrity, electrical systems, detectors, alarms, emergency lighting, and emergency plans necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-9	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Guest rooms	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate guest room cleaning and maintenance requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-10	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Dishware and utensils	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate dishware and utensil requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-11	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Housekeeping and laundry facilities; maintenance supplies and equipment	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate housekeeping and laundry facility requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-12	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Poisonous or toxic materials	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate chemical requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-13	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Public indoor areas	Effective	June 4, 2010	2008 Supp. 36-506	2008 Supp. 36-506	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate requirements for indoor public areas necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-14	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Ice and ice dispensing	Effective	June 4, 2010	2008 Supp. 36-506	2008 Supp. 36-506	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate ice and ice dispensing requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-27-15	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Exterior premises	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate requirements for exterior premises necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-16	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Swimming pools, recreational water facilities, and hot tubs	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate swimming pool sanitation requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments. There would be no inspections of pools in these facilities except for a few metropolitan areas with local pool ordinances.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		The Federal Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act would still apply to swimming pools in lodging establishments even if KDA's lodging establishment regulations related to swimming pools were revoked.
4-27-17	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Water supply systems	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate water system requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-18	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Sewage systems	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate sewage disposal requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-19	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Electrical systems	Effective	June 4, 2010	2008 Supp. 36-506	2008 Supp. 36-506	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate electrical system requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-20	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Plumbing systems	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate plumbing system requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-27-21	Article 27.- Lodging Establishments	Heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning (HVAC) systems	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	2011 Supp. 36-506, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 6	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate HVAC requirements necessary to provide consumer safety and confidence in lodging establishments.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-28-19	Article 28	Revoked	Revoked															
4-28-20	Article 28	Revoked	Revoked															
4-28-21	Article 28	Revoked	Revoked															
4-28-22	Article 28	Revoked	Revoked															
4-28-23	Article 28.-Food Safety	Sidewalk or street display of food products; prohibitions	Amended	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 65-688, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 23, and K.S.A. 2011 Supp. 74-581	2011 Supp. 65-688, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 23	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would remove the basic food safety and sanitation requirements for displaying food whether the displayer was required to have a food safety license or not.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-28-24	Article 28	Revoked	Revoked															
4-28-25	Article 28	Revoked	Revoked															
4-28-26	Article 28	Revoked	Revoked															
4-28-27	Article 28	Revoked	Revoked															
4-28-28	Article 28	Revoked	Revoked															
4-28-29	Article 28	Revoked	Revoked															
4-28-30	Article 28	Revoked	Revoked															
4-28-31	Article 28.-Food Safety	Fees; education facility with a school lunch program or satellite school lunch program	Effective	Oct. 26, 2012	2011 Supp. 65-688, as amended by 2012 Sen. Sub. for HB 2730, sec. 23	2011 Supp. 65-688, as amended by 2012 Sen. Sub. for HB 2730, sec. 23	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate the fees funding inspections of school food programs and would jeopardize safety of school meal programs and federal funding, which requires two routine food safety inspections each year.	No	In active use							
4-28-32	Article 28.-Food Safety	Vehicles used in transportation	Effective	Feb. 8, 2013	2011 Supp. 65-688, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 23	2011 Supp. 65-688, as amended by L. 2012, ch. 145, sec. 23, and K.S.A. 2011 Supp. 74-581	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate the basic food safety and sanitation requirements for transporting food in commerce. Food transporters are not required to be licensed.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-28-33	Article 28.-Food Safety	Sanitation and hygiene requirements for exempt food establishments	Effective	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 65-688	2012 Supp. 65-688 and 65-689	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate the basic food safety and sanitation requirements for operations that are exempt from license.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		
4-28-34	Article 28.-Food Safety	Exemption from licensure; definitions	Effective	May 31, 2013	2012 Supp. 65-688	2012 Supp. 65-688 and 65-689	Yes	Yes	Revoking the regulation would eliminate the definitions that set limits on certain food safety license exemptions.	No	In active use		No	No	No	No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-33-1	Article 33.-Mill Levy Assessment	Mill levy assessment	Amended	Oct. 21, 1991	2-3006	1990 Supp. K.S.A. 2-3007 as amended by 1991 SB 323, Sec. 4 and 1991 SB 323, Sec. 6, 7	Yes	Yes	If this regulation was revoked, there would be no mill levy assessment established for soybeans marketed in commercial channels in Kansas.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-33-2	Article 33.-Mill Levy Assessment	Assessment under federal marketing order	Effective	Oct. 21, 1991	2-3006 as amended by SB 323, Sec. 3	1990 Supp. K.S.A. 2-3007 as amended by 1991 SB 323, Sec. 4 and 1991 SB 323, Sec. 6, 7	Yes	Yes	If this regulation was revoked, there would be no adjustment to the assessments assessed against soybeans marketed in commercial channels in Kansas when a federal marketing order issued pursuant to the Soybean Promotion, Research, and Consumer Information Act, section 1965 of public law 101-624 (7 U.S.C.A. 6301 et seq.) establishing a national checkoff program for soybeans is in effect.	No	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
4-34-1	Article 34.-Industrial Hemp	Definitions	Amended	Feb. 26, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-3902	2019 Supp. 2-3902	Yes	Yes	Revocation of KAR 4-34-1 would remove hemp definitions needed for the Kansas Department of Agriculture's hemp plan approved by the United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service under Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	United States Domestic Hemp Program administered by United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service	No	N/A	KAR 4-34-1 is necessary for the Kansas Department of Agriculture to administer a hemp plan under the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.
4-34-2	Article 34.-Industrial Hemp	Certified seed	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-2 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-2 is no longer required.
4-34-3	Article 34.-Industrial Hemp	License approval process	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-3 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-3 is no longer required.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans. <i>limited # of characters</i>	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	in active use/yes/ no	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no		yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no
4-34-4	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Research license applications	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-4 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-4 is no longer required.
4-34-5	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Licenses issued by the department; general requirements for licensees	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-5 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-5 is no longer required.
4-34-6	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Research grower license	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-6 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-6 is no longer required.
4-34-7	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Research distributor license	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-7 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-7 is no longer required.
4-34-8	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Research processor license	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-8 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-8 is no longer required.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
							yes/no	yes/no		in active use/yes/no	limited # of characters	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no	
4-34-9	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	State educational institution research license	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902 and 2-3903	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-9 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-9 is no longer required.
4-34-10	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Administrative license	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902 and 2-3903	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-10 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-10 is no longer required.
4-34-11	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	State advisory board	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902 and 2-3903	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-11 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-11 is no longer required.
4-34-12	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Fees	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902 and 2-3903	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-12 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-12 is no longer required.
4-34-13	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Modification of license	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902 and 2-3903	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-13 would have no affect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-13 is no longer required.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-34-14	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Land-use restrictions	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-14 would have no effect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-14 is no longer required.
4-34-15	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Movement of industrial hemp; restrictions on sale or transfer of industrial hemp; compliance with applicable law	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-15 would have no effect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-15 is no longer required.
4-34-16	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Voluntary withdrawal; voluntary partial destruction	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-16 would have no effect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-16 is no longer required.
4-34-17	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Pre-harvest and harvest requirements; harvest certificates	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-17 would have no effect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-17 is no longer required.
4-34-18	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Pre-harvest inspection; sample collection; testing and post-testing actions	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-18 would have no effect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-18 is no longer required.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans. <i>limited # of characters</i>	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation <i>limited # of characters</i>
							yes/no	yes/no		yes/no	in active use/yes/ no	<i>limited # of characters</i>	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		
4-34-19	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Post-harvest inspection; sample collection; testing and post-testing actions	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-19 would have no effect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-19 is no longer required.
4-34-20	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Reports	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-20 would have no effect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-20 is no longer required.
4-34-21	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Violations; disciplinary sanctions	Effective	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 2-3902	2018 Supp. 2-3902	No	No	Revocation of KAR 4-34-21 would have no effect on Kansans as the Industrial Hemp Research (Pilot) Program is no longer implemented by the Kansas Department of Agriculture due to the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	No.	No	N/A	Since the expiration of Section 7606 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and the discontinuation of the Kansas Department of Agriculture's Industrial Hemp Research Program K.A.R. 4-34-21 is no longer required.
4-34-22	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	License required to cultivate or produce industrial hemp for commercial purposes	Effective	Jan. 8, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-3906	2019 Supp. 2-3903 and 2-3906	Yes	Yes	Revocation of KAR 4-34-22 would remove hemp producers licensing framework needed for the Kansas Department of Agriculture's hemp plan approved by the United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service under Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	United States Domestic Hemp Program administered by United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service	No	N/A	KAR 4-34-22 is necessary for the Kansas Department of Agriculture to administer a hemp plan under the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-34-23	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Planting and pre-harvest requirements	Effective	Jan. 8, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-3906	2019 Supp. 2-3906	Yes	Yes	Revocation of KAR 4-34-23 would remove hemp producer reporting requirements for the Kansas Department of Agriculture's hemp plan approved by the United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service under Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	United States Domestic Hemp Program administered by United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service	No	N/A	KAR 4-34-23 is necessary for the Kansas Department of Agriculture to administer a hemp plan under the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.
4-34-24	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Sampling, testing, and harvest requirements	Amended	Dec. 17, 2021	2020 Supp. 2-3906	2020 Supp. 2-3903, as amended by L. 2021, ch. 76, sec. 4, and 2-3906	Yes	Yes	Revocation of KAR 4-34-24 would remove sampling and testing requirements needed for the Kansas Department of Agriculture's hemp plan approved by the United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service under Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	United States Domestic Hemp Program administered by United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service	No	N/A	KAR 4-34-24 is necessary for the Kansas Department of Agriculture to administer a hemp plan under the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.
4-34-25	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Remediation; effective disposal; violations	Amended	Dec. 17, 2021	2020 Supp. 2-3906	2020 Supp. 2-3906	Yes	Yes	Revocation of KAR 4-34-25 would remove hemp remediation and disposal requirements needed for the Kansas Department of Agriculture's hemp plan approved by the United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service under Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	United States Domestic Hemp Program administered by United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service	No	N/A	KAR 4-34-25 is necessary for the Kansas Department of Agriculture to administer a hemp plan under the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.
4-34-26	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Transportation of industrial hemp	Effective	Jan. 8, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-3906	2019 Supp. 2-3906	Yes	Yes	Revocation of KAR 4-34-26 would remove hemp transportation requirements needed for the Kansas Department of Agriculture's hemp plan approved by the United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service under Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	United States Domestic Hemp Program administered by United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service	No	N/A	KAR 4-34-26 is necessary for the Kansas Department of Agriculture to administer a hemp plan under the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
4-34-27	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Planting restrictions; signage requirements; volunteer plants	Effective	Jan. 8, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-3906	2019 Supp. 2-3906	Yes	Yes	Revocation of KAR 4-34-27 would remove certain hemp planting requirements needed for the Kansas Department of Agriculture's hemp plan approved by the United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service under Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	United States Domestic Hemp Program administered by United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service	No	N/A	KAR 4-34-27 is necessary for the Kansas Department of Agriculture to administer a hemp plan under the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.
4-34-28	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Access to records and property	Effective	Jan. 8, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-3906	2019 Supp. 2-3906	Yes	Yes	Revocation of KAR 4-34-28 would remove hemp producer record keeping and inspection requirements needed for the Kansas Department of Agriculture's hemp plan approved by the United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service under Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	United States Domestic Hemp Program administered by United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service	No	N/A	KAR 4-34-28 is necessary for the Kansas Department of Agriculture to administer a hemp plan under the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.
4-34-29	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	Negligent violations; corrective action plans	Amended	Dec. 17, 2021	2020 Supp. 2-3906	2020 Supp. 2-3906	Yes	Yes	Revocation of KAR 4-34-29 would remove violation and corrective action plan requirements needed for the Kansas Department of Agriculture's hemp plan approved by the United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service under Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	United States Domestic Hemp Program administered by United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service	No	N/A	KAR 4-34-29 is necessary for the Kansas Department of Agriculture to administer a hemp plan under the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.
4-34-30	Article 34.- Industrial Hemp	State educational institutions	Effective	Jan. 8, 2021	2019 Supp. 2-3906	2019 Supp. 2-3906	Yes	Yes	Revocation of KAR 4-34-30 would special designations of state educational institutions as hemp producers for the Kansas Department of Agriculture's hemp plan approved by the United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service under Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	United States Domestic Hemp Program administered by United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Marketing Service	No	N/A	KAR 4-34-30 is necessary for the Kansas Department of Agriculture to administer a hemp plan under the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 and 7 CFR 990.

KLRD Note: For printing purposes, article titles were removed for revoked rules and regulations.