

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-1-1	Article 1.-Definitions	Definitions	Amended	April 29, 2016	82a-706a	82a-706a	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the KWAA would be inconsistent and unpredictable.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-1-2	Article 1.-Definitions	Standby well	Amended	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a	Yes	Yes	Water right holders would no longer have the ability to utilize standby wells as a backup source of water.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-1-3	Article 1.-Definitions	Permitting requirements of the Kansas water appropriation act	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-701(f), 82a-703, 82a-705, and K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Individuals would need an approved appropriation for any basic exploration of groundwater resources, without first knowing whether the source was viable.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-1-4	Article 1.-Definitions	Water flowmeter specifications	Amended	May 21, 2010	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-706c	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would be severely limited without standards pertaining to the proper installation and maintenance of acceptable water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-1-5	Article 1.-Definitions	Variances from water flowmeter specifications	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706c	No	No	Water users would no longer have clear guidance regarding the procedure to request a variance from the chief engineer's water flowmeter specifications.	Yes	In active use		No	No		No		This regulation is not formally in the process of being revoked, but the agency has begun work internally to update its water flowmeter regulations, which it plans to include revocation of this regulation. This regulation is not strictly necessary in support of state law, as the chief engineer has the statutory authority to waive any of the agency's regulations upon a finding that waiver will not cause impairment to other water rights or harm the public interest.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the public interest.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-1-6	Article 1.-Definitions	Water flowmeter installation specifications	Amended	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-706c	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would be severely limited without standards pertaining to the proper installation and maintenance of acceptable water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-1-7	Article 1.-Definitions	Requirement to install a water flowmeter or other suitable water-measuring device	Amended	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706c	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would be severely limited without standards pertaining to the proper installation and maintenance of acceptable water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-1-8	Article 1.-Definitions	Water flowmeter maintenance	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706c	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would be severely limited without standards pertaining to the proper installation and maintenance of acceptable water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-1-9	Article 1.-Definitions	Criteria to determine when a water flowmeter is out of compliance	Amended	May 21, 2010	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-706c	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would be severely limited without standards pertaining to the proper installation and maintenance of acceptable water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-1-10	Article 1.-Definitions	Duties of water right owner when a water flowmeter is out of compliance	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706c	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would be severely limited without standards pertaining to the proper installation and maintenance of acceptable water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-1-11	Article 1.- Definitions	Water flowmeter testing by a nonagency person	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706c	Yes	Yes	Testing of water flowmeters by non-agency persons, where required to be tested by the chief engineer, would have to be conducted by agency staff. This could create delays in service to Kansans and increased financial costs to the State.	No	In active use		No	No		No		This regulation is not formally in the process of being revoked, but the agency has begun work internally to update its water flowmeter regulations, which may include revocation of this regulation. This regulation is not strictly necessary in support of state law, as testing of water flowmeters can be accomplished by agency personnel.
5-1-12	Article 1.- Definitions	List of water flowmeters certified by the manufacturer to meet the specifications of the chief engineer	Amended	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-706c	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would be severely limited without standards pertaining to the proper installation and maintenance of acceptable water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-2-1	Article 2.- Vested Rights	Not in active use	Rejected						Not Active									
5-2-2	Article 2.- Vested Rights	Not in active use	Rejected						Not Active									
5-2-3	Article 2.- Vested Rights	Battery of wells	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706a	Yes	Yes	Water right holders wouldn't have the flexibility of converting a well to a battery of wells under certain circumstances	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-2-4	Article 2.- Vested Rights	Determination or certification of a domestic water right	Effective	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-701, K.S.A. 82a-706a, and K.S.A. 2007 Supp. 82a 708b	Yes	Yes	Confined feeding facilities in existence prior to 1986 wouldn't have the ability to convert their domestic water right's priority date to stockwatering	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-1	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Application acceptable for filing	Amended	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-709	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer have clear guidance regarding the minimum requirements for establishing a priority date for their appropriation right.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-3-1a	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Application for a basin term permit	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-712	Yes	Yes	Water users would no longer have the flexibility of obtaining basin term to pump small amounts of surface water for road construction or oil and gas well drilling.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-1b	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Complete new application	Effective	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-708a, K.S.A. 82a-709, K.S.A. 82a-710, K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-711, K.S.A. 82a-733, and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1904	Yes	Yes	Applicants wouldn't be able to establish the allowable timeframe for application review, after which a refund of the filing fee could be requested.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-2	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Priorities	Modified	8, ch. 460, May 1	82a-706a		Yes	Yes	Water users wouldn't have a clear procedure for determining the priority date and time of their appropriation or domestic water right.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-3	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Storage of surface water for domestic use	Amended	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-701(c), K.S.A. 82a-705a, and K.S.A. 82a-706a	Yes	Yes	Water users wouldn't be able to determine the maximum amount of surface water they can impound for domestic use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-3a	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Legal access	Effective	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-708a	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer be protected from another party obtaining a permit or change approval pertaining to their property where legal access to the property has not been granted.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-4	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Application	Amended	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-709	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer have guidance on the minimum requirements for applications to appropriate water for beneficial use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-4a	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Hearing before issuance of an order	Amended	March 20, 2009	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 2008 Supp. 82a-708b, 82a-711, and 82a-737	Yes	Yes	The public would no longer have the opportunity to provide input in administrative matters through a public hearing process or informal conference.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the public.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-3-4b	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Deadlines for return of documents	Amended	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-710	Yes	Yes	Water users would no longer have a short grace period within which the return of required information or documents could be considered to be timely filed following a deadline set by the chief engineer.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-4c	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Retaining new applications	Amended	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-705, K.S.A. 82a-706a, K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-708a, and K.S.A. 82a-710	Yes	Yes	Applicants would lose the opportunity to have their applications held for a period of 365 days in the event that the application currently cannot be approved, if it appears the application might become approvable within that timeframe.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-4d	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Stratigraphic log requirements	Amended	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 82a-709, and K.S.A. 82a-710	Yes	Yes	Some applicants would no longer be eligible for an exception to the requirement to submit a stratigraphic log with an application, in certain situations.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-4e	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Groundwater source formation codes	Amended	Nov. 29, 2004	82a-706a	82a-709	Yes	Yes	This regulation does not directly affect the public, as it identifies computer codes used solely in the agency's internal water rights database. However, revocation could cause delayed processes internally, which could affect the public in the form of longer processing times.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Approval of application	Modified	8, ch. 460, May 1980	82a-706a		Yes	Yes	The terms, conditions and limitations of an approved application would not be clear to the applicant without a formal document being issued by the chief engineer.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5a	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Authorization for the use of water for emergency purposes	Effective	May 1, 1980	82a-706a, 82a-711		Yes	Yes	Water users would no longer be able to seek approval from the chief engineer to use water for emergency purposes in certain situations that are beyond the control of the applicant.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understand the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-3-5b	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Approval of application for water for the development of underground storage in mineralized formations	Effective	May 1, 1980	82a-706a, 82a-707(d)		Yes	Yes	The amount of fresh water that could be used for the development of underground storage in mineralized formations would no longer be minimized, which would negatively affect public interest.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5c	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Check valves	Amended	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-706, K.S.A. 82a-706a, K.S.A. 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Public interest would be harmed if check valves were not required on diversion works where chemicals are used for chemigation (fertilizers/pesticides) since streams/aquifers would not be safeguarded from pollution.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5d	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Requirement to install a water level measurement tube	Amended	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-706c	Yes	Yes	Water users' pumping equipment would no longer be protected from damage whenever groundwater level measurements need to be taken at their well site.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5e	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Meters and other water-measuring devices; reporting readings; maintenance, and replacement	Amended	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-732	Yes	Yes	Water users would no long have guidance with regard to the information that is required to be submitted on water use reports.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5g	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Designation of a water use correspondent	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-732	Yes	Yes	Landowners would no longer have the ability to designate someone else (such as their tenant) to file an annual water use report to document the ongoing use of water.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5h	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Water conservation plans	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-733	Yes	Yes	Could cause confusion and delay implementing conservation plans	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5i	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Time limit to implement a water conservation plan	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-733	Yes	Yes	Could cause confusion and delay implementing conservation plans	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5j	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Maintenance of a water conservation plan	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-733	Yes	Yes	Could cause confusion and delay implementing conservation plans	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5k	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Review of a water conservation plan	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-733	Yes	Yes	Could cause confusion and delay in implementing and maintaining conservation plans	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-3-5l	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Changes in a water conservation plan	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-733	Yes	Yes	Could cause confusion and delay in maintaining acceptable conservation plans	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5m	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Limited power of attorney	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-701 et seq.	Yes	Yes	Water right holders would no longer have the ability to assign a power of attorney to take official action on their behalf regarding applications or water rights.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5n	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Authorized place of use	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-709	Yes	Yes	Water right holders would no longer be eligible for incomplete overlaps in place of use in certain situations where a complete overlap is not practical or desirable.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-5o	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Amending water use reports	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-732	Yes	Yes	Protects a water right holder's ability to and provides clear guidance for correcting or amending a previously filed water use report.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-6	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Forfeiture, revocation and dismissal	Modified	8, ch. 460, May	82a-706a		Yes	Yes	Public interest and neighboring water right holders would no longer be protected when an applicant fails to comply with the terms, conditions and limitations of an approved permit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-6a	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Sealing diversion works	Amended	March 17, 2017	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 2016 Supp. 82a-706b and K.S.A. 82a-706c	Yes	Yes	Public interest and neighboring water rights would no longer be protected when an applicant fails to comply with terms, conditions and limitations of an approved permit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-7	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Request for extension of time	Amended	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-714(e)	Yes	Yes	Water users would not be able to obtain additional time to complete the physical diversions for using water or for fully developing the quantities and rates allowed by the water right.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-8	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Certificate of appropriation	Modified	8, ch. 460, May	82a-706a		Yes	Yes	Water appropriations would no longer need to prove beneficial use by being developed and certified. Unperfected water would no longer become available for use by other water users.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-3-9	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Public interest	Effective	Nov. 28, 1994	82a-706a	1993 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Existing water users would no longer be protected from impairment through the permitting of subsequent nearby water users without considering the safe yield of the source of water.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-10	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Availability of water for appropriation safe yield	Effective	Nov. 28, 1994	82a-706a	1993 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Certain water users would no longer be exempt from meeting safe yield requirements.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-11	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Availability of water for appropriation-safe yield; unconfined groundwater aquifers	Amended	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Public interest would be harmed and impairment of existing rights could occur without a defined method to determine whether the safe yield of an unconfined aquifer could be met by a potential new use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-12	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-3-13	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Dewatering of construction sites	Effective	May 1, 1980	82a-706a, 82a-712		Yes	Yes	Water users could potentially obtain regular water rights for dewatering projects that are short term in nature, thus preventing water from being available to other users for appropriation.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-14	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Availability of water for appropriation safe yield; confined groundwater aquifers	Effective	Nov. 28, 1994	82a-706a	1993 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Public interest would be harmed and impairment of existing rights could occur without a defined method to determine whether the safe yield of an confined aquifer could be met by a potential new use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-15	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Availability of water for appropriation safe yield; surface water	Effective	Nov. 28, 1994	82a-706a	1993 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Public interest would be harmed and impairment of existing rights could occur without a defined method to determine whether the safe yield of a stream could be met by a potential new use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-16	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Safe yield; exemptions for up to 15 acre-feet of groundwater	Amended	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	2007 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Applicants would lose the ability to qualify for certain small use exemptions in aquifers that are considered to be fully appropriated based on safe yield.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-3-16a	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Closed areas; exemptions for up to five acre-feet of groundwater	Effective	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1904	Yes	Yes	Applicants would lose the ability to qualify for certain small use exemptions in aquifers that are considered to be otherwise closed to all new appropriation.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-17	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Safe yield; miscellaneous exemptions	Effective	Nov. 28, 1994	82a-706a	1993 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Applicants would lose the ability to qualify for certain miscellaneous small use exemptions when the use is now required to be permitted, but was previously classified as non-jurisdictional to permitting requirements prior to 1994.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-18	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Applicant's opportunity to submit additional information	Effective	Nov. 28, 1994	82a-706a	1993 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Applicants would lose the opportunity to supply additional information to be considered by the chief engineer before an application is dismissed, whenever it is determined that the application does not comply with safe yield requirements.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-19	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Maximum reasonable annual quantity of water for irrigation use	Amended	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 82a-707(e), and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Water users wouldn't have clear guidelines regarding reasonable quantities for irrigation, which could result in wasteful use of water for irrigation.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-20	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Maximum reasonable annual quantity of water approvable for a new appropriation of water for irrigation use	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-707(e), K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Water users wouldn't have clear guidelines regarding reasonable quantities for irrigation, which could result in wasteful use of water for irrigation.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-21	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Perfection of a water right for irrigation use	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-707(e) and K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-714	Yes	Yes	Water right owners would no longer have a maximum limit to the quantity of water that can be perfected (developed) per acre for irrigation use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-22	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Maximum reasonable quantity of water for livestock and poultry	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-707(e), K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711, K.S.A. 82a-712, and K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-714	Yes	Yes	Water users wouldn't have clear guidelines regarding reasonable quantities for stockwatering, which could result in wasteful use of water.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-3-23	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Maximum reasonable annual quantity approvable for irrigation use for an application for change in place of use and a request to reduce a water right; exceptions	Amended	Nov. 19, 2010	82a-706a	2009 Supp. 82a-707(e) and K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 82a-708b	Yes	Yes	Water users might be allowed to exceed what would be a reasonable quantity of water for irrigation under certain change or reduction applications.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-24	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Reasonable quantity for irrigation use	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-707(e), K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-714	Yes	Yes	Water users wouldn't have clear guidelines regarding reasonable quantities for irrigation, which could result in wasteful use of water for irrigation.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-25	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Conditions on permits and certificates	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-708b, K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711, K.S.A. 82a-712, and K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-714	Yes	Yes	The Division would no longer be required to issue a formal order to the water user if any permit condition needs to be modified or revoked, which would potentially make permit conditions more ambiguous for water users.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-26	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Closed townships in Pawnee and Buckner drainage basins in Pawnee, Hodgeman, Ness, and Finney counties	Amended	Oct. 25, 2002	82a-706a	2001 Supp. 82a-711 and K.S.A. 82a-721	Yes	Yes	Kansans could be harmed by additional appropriation in the areas specified, due to a declining aquifer.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-27	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Equus Beds special groundwater quality area	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706c, K.S.A. 82a-709, K.S.A. 82a-710, K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 82a-732	Yes	Yes	Kansans could be harmed by additional appropriation in the areas specified due to local saltwater contamination, unless specific conditions are met.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-3-28	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Lyons special groundwater quality area	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706c, K.S.A. 82a-709, K.S.A. 82a-710, K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 82a-732	Yes	Yes	Kansans could be harmed by additional appropriation in the areas specified due to local saltwater contamination, unless specific conditions are met.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-3-29	Article 3.- Appropriation Rights	Ozark and Springfield plateau aquifers	Effective	Nov. 29, 2004	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-711	No	No	Kansans would be able to get regular appropriations rights approved in the Ozark and Springfield aquifers since the required study referenced in the regulation has been completed.	No	No	No	No	No		No		This regulation is not currently in the process of being revoked but could be revoked in the future with no impact to Kansans.
5-4-1	Article 4.- Distribution of Water Between Users	Distribution of water between users when a prior right is being impaired	Amended	Oct. 29, 2010	82a-706a	82a-706a	Yes	Yes	Water users who are being directly impaired by junior water rights would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the process in which to file a complaint with the chief engineer, what information must accompany the complaint and how the complaint would be investigated.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-4-1a	Article 4.- Distribution of Water Between Users	Distribution of water between users when a prior right is being impaired due to a regional lowering of the water table	Effective	Oct. 29, 2010	82a-706a	82a-706a	Yes	Yes	Water users who are being impaired by junior water rights in an aquifer that experiencing regional water level declines would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the process in which to file a complaint with the chief engineer, what information must accompany the complaint, how the complaint would be investigated and how the public would be notified about potential remedies.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-4-2	Article 4.- Distribution of Water Between Users	Protection of releases from storage under low-flow conditions	Effective	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2007 Supp. 82a-737	Yes	Yes	Water right holders located downstream from a reservoir would no longer have clear guidance regarding their rights to divert any water released from storage under low-flow conditions and the requirements to receive written permission to do so in certain circumstances in order to protect senior water rights.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-4-4	Article 4.- Distribution of Water Between Users	Well spacing	Effective	May 31, 1994	82a-706a	1993 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Existing groundwater users would no longer be protected from impairment by new water users placing wells too close to existing wells.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement of the federal agency name?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-5-2a	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Complete change application	Effective	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-708b, K.S.A. 82a-709, K.S.A. 82a-710, K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-711, K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-718, K.S.A. 82a-733, and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1904	Yes	Yes	Applicants wouldn't be able to establish the allowable timeframe for change application review, after which a refund of the filing fee could be requested.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-5-3	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Change in consumptive use	Effective	May 1, 1983	82a-706a, 82a-708b		Yes	Yes	Existing water users would no longer be protected from increases in consumptive use which could cause depletions in the source of water and impair neighboring water users.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-5-4	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-5-5	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Signatures required on change applications	Effective	May 1, 1980	82a-706a, 82a-708b		Yes	Yes	Where water rights are held by multiple owners, signatures would no longer be required from all water right owners and individual owners would no longer have protection from changes being made to the water right without their consent.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-5-6	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Failure to construct diversion works at authorized location	Amended	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-708b, and K.S.A. 82a-728	Yes	Yes	Water right holders would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the administrative actions that must be taken if the diversion works were not completed at the authorized location.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-5-6c	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Authorized point of diversion or place of use	Effective	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a	Yes	Yes	No mechanism would exist for the chief engineer to more accurately describe a point of diversion administratively based on Global Positioning System or other technology. This could cause the need for additional paperwork to be filed by the water right owner and result in delay of a remedy.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-5-7	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Waste of water	Effective	Dec. 3, 1990	82a-706(a)	82a-706	Yes	Yes	Water right holders and the public interest would no longer be protected from the use of water in a wasteful manner.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-5-8	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Standards for approval of an application for a change in the place of use and a change in the use made of water	Effective	Nov. 28, 1994	82a-706a	1993 Supp. 82a-708b	Yes	Yes	Senior water right holders would no longer be protected from adverse changes proposed by other nearby water right holders.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-5-9	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Approval of application for a change in the use made of water from irrigation to any other type of beneficial use of water	Amended	Sept. 22, 2017	82a-706a	2016 Supp. 82a-708b	Yes	Yes	Water right holders would no longer have clear guidance on the amount of water that could be changed from irrigation use to any other use to prevent an increase in consumptive use, which would cause depletions of the source and impair other water users.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-5-10	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Partial changes in the use made of water from irrigation to another type of beneficial use of water	Amended	Sept. 22, 2017	82a-706a	2016 Supp. 82a-708b	Yes	Yes	Water right holders would no longer have clear guidance regarding partial changes to their water rights and the portion of authorized quantity that could be changed from irrigation use to any other use to prevent an increase in consumptive use, which could impair water rights and cause depletions of the source.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-5-11	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Applications for change in place of use for irrigation purposes	Effective	Nov. 28, 1994	82a-706a	1993 Supp. 82a-708b	Yes	Yes	Existing water right holders would no longer have the flexibility to change their place of use in a manner that prevents increases in consumptive use. Public interest would not be protected from substantial increases in consumptive use without limits to authorized irrigated acres.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-5-12	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Net irrigation requirements (NIR)	Effective	Nov. 28, 1994	82a-706a	1993 Supp. 82a-708b	Yes	Yes	Water right holders would no longer have clear guidance regarding the Net Irrigation Requirements (NIR) used to evaluate applications.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-5-13	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Relocation of alluvial wells	Amended	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	2007 Supp. 82a-708b	Yes	Yes	Streamflow would be diminished and downstream water users could be impaired, without restrictions from relocating wells closer to a stream.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-5-14	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Duties of owners of approvals of applications and water rights	Amended	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance regarding the ownership of a water right.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-5-16	Article 5.- Change in the Place of Use, the Point of Diversion or the Use Made of Water Under an Existing Water Right	Additional wells	Amended	Sept. 22, 2017	82a-706a	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2016 Supp. 82a-708b	Yes	Yes	Existing water right holders would no longer be protected from increases in consumptive use from additional wells being authorized on existing water rights. Water right holders also would no longer have the ability to add new wells to existing water rights, under certain circumstances.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-6-1	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Application proposing storage, contents	Modified	8, ch. 460, May 1	82a-706a		Yes	Yes	Applicants proposing to store water in a reservoir would no longer be required to submit information that would be necessary to quantify the amount of water to be stored in order to protect other downstream water users.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-6-2	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Storage of water in watershed district reservoirs	Amended	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a and 82a-709	Yes	Yes	Watershed districts would no longer have control over the use of water stored in their watershed district reservoirs.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-6-3	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Potential net evaporation	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-707(e), K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-714	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer have clear guidance regarding the amount of water that can be allocated for indirect use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-6-4	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Determination of potential annual runoff	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-707(e) and K.S.A 1999 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer have clear guidance regarding the amount of water potentially available from annual runoff.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-6-5	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Maximum reasonable annual quantity for storage of water for beneficial use in a reservoir	Amended	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 82a-707(e), K.S.A. 2007 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Water users wouldn't have clear guidelines regarding reasonable quantities for storage of surface water in a proposed reservoir.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-6-6	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Initial filling and refilling of a reservoir	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-707(e), K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Water users wouldn't be explicitly authorized an initial filling of a reservoir without additional paperwork and permitting.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-6-7	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Determination of average annual potential net evaporation loss	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-707(e), K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer have clear guidance regarding the amount of water that can be allocated for indirect uses of water.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-6-8	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Determination of average annual seepage loss from a reservoir	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-707(e), K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Water users would no longer have the option of submitting information that substantiates seepage losses as a component of indirect uses.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-6-9	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Administration of surface water stored in a reservoir	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706b	Yes	Yes	Reservoir owners would no longer be protected from having to bypass water that was legally stored prior to a decline in stream flow.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-6-10	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Authorized place of use for stored surface water	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-711 and K.S.A. 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Water users would no longer have clear guidance regarding the authorized place of use for stored surface water.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-6-11	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Reasonable rate of diversion for storage of surface water in a reservoir	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-712	Yes	Yes	Existing water rights would no longer have their natural flows protected from a proposed new reservoir.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-6-12	Article 6.- Storage of Water	Average annual precipitation	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer have clear guidance regarding estimates of annual precipitation used to determine the amount of runoff available.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-7-4	Article 7.- Abandonment and Termination	Water rights conservation program; tier 1	Amended	April 18, 2014).	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2013 Supp. 82a-741	82a-706, K.S.A. 82a-713, K.S.A. 2013 Supp. 82a-714, K.S.A. 2013 Supp. 82a-718, and K.S.A. 2013 Supp. 82a-741	Yes	Yes	Water right holders with conservation contracts filed with the chief engineer on or prior to December 31, 2009, would no longer be able to protect their water right from abandonment statutes by qualifying for due and sufficient cause during that period of an approved contract when water was not put to beneficial use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-7-4a	Article 7.- Abandonment and Termination	Conservation reserve program	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-713 and K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-714	Yes	Yes	Water appropriation owners who have completed the diversion works would no longer be able to use enrollment in the federal conservation reserve program (CRP) as a valid reason to extend the perfection period. This would prevent the water from having a full opportunity, as allowed elsewhere in regulations, to develop (perfect) the water appropriation.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-7-4b	Article 7.- Abandonment and Termination	Water rights conservation program; tier 2	Effective	April 18, 2014	2013 Supp. 82a-741	2013 Supp. 82a-741	Yes	Yes	Water right holders with conservation contracts filed with the chief engineer would no longer be able to protect their water right from abandonment statutes by qualifying for due and sufficient cause during that period of an approved contract when water was not put to beneficial use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-7-5	Article 7.- Abandonment and Termination	Reduction of an existing water right	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706 and 82a-721	Yes	Yes	Water right owners would lose the ability to efficiently reduce any portion of their water right, which could in turn prevent water from being put to beneficial use for other approvable projects.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-8-1	Article 8.- Certification of Water Rights	Certification of a water right	Effective	May 1, 1980	82a-706a, 82a-714		Yes	Yes	Permit holders with common places of use would no longer have the opportunity to determine their individual interests and divide a shared water right prior to certification.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-8-2	Article 8.- Certification of Water Rights	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-8-3	Article 8.- Certification of Water Rights	Perfection; multiple water rights	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-707(e), K.S.A. 82a-713, and K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-714(a)	Yes	Yes	Where multiple water rights pertain to the same place of use, water right holders would no longer have clear guidance regarding the extent to which they have developed their water rights. Water right owners also would no longer have the opportunity to provide comments and review a draft of the certificate of appropriation prior to it becoming final.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-8-4	Article 8.- Certification of Water Rights	Construction of diversion works	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-712, K.S.A. 82a-713, and K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-714	Yes	Yes	Permit holders would no longer have clear limits on the amount of time to complete their diversion works, which would prevent water from being appropriated by others for beneficial use and could result in water not being put to beneficial use. Permit holders would also lose clear guidance on the process to request and receive extensions of time to construct diversion works, for good cause shown.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-8-6	Article 8.- Certification of Water Rights	Perfection of a water right	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-707(e), K.S.A. 82a-712, K.S.A. 82a-713, and K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-714	Yes	Yes	Permit holders would no longer have clear limits on the amount of time to develop or perfect their water rights, which would prevent water from being appropriated by others for beneficial use and could result in water not being put to beneficial use. Permit holders would also lose clear guidance on the process to request and receive extensions of time to develop or perfect water rights, for good cause shown.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-8-7	Article 8.- Certification of Water Rights	Extensions of time to perfect a water right	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-712 and 82a-713	Yes	Yes	Permit holders would lose clear guidance on the limits to extensions of time to develop or perfect water rights.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-8-8	Article 8.- Certification of Water Rights	Owner required to allow chief engineer to conduct timely field inspection for certification	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-714	Yes	Yes	The chief engineer may no longer be able to conduct a timely field inspection for the purpose of determining the extent a perfected water right and issuing a certificate of appropriation.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-1	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Application for temporary permit acceptable for filing	Amended	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-727	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-727	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer have clear guidance regarding the minimum requirements for filing applications for temporary permits.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-1a	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Term permit application	Effective	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 2007 Supp. 82a-708a(b), and K.S.A. 82a-709	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer have guidance on the minimum requirements for applications to appropriate water for term permits.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-1b	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Approvals and extensions of term permits	Effective	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a and 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance on the maximum period of use that can be authorized by a term permit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-1c	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Request to extend a term permit	Effective	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a and 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance regarding the procedure to request an extension of a term permit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-1d	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	No water right perfected under term permit	Effective	Oct. 31, 2008	82a-706a	82a-706a and 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Kansans might not understand that they cannot develop a water right under the authority of a term permit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-2	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Priority	Effective	May 1, 1979	82a-727		Yes	Yes	Water users wouldn't have a clear procedure for determining the relative priority of their temporary permit which terminates with the expiration of the permit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-3	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Quantity	Amended	June 22, 2012	2011 Supp. 82a-727	2011 Supp. 82a-727	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the maximum quantity of water that can be requested under the authority of a temporary permit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-4	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Place of use limitation	Effective	May 1, 1979	82a-727		Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the maximum place of use that can be requested under the authority of a temporary permit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-9-5	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Point of diversion limitation	Effective	May 1, 1979	82a-727		Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer be limited to a single point of diversion under the authority of a temporary permit, which could impair existing water users.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-6	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Approval of application	Amended	Oct. 24, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-727	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-727	Yes	Yes	Applicants for temporary permits would no longer have clear guidance regarding the terms and conditions of the approval of their temporary permit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-7	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Extension of time	Effective	May 1, 1979	82a-727		Yes	Yes	Temporary permit holders would no longer have clear guidance on the ability to extend their permits.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-8	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Ownership	Effective	May 1, 1979	82a-727		Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer be protected from a temporary permit being transferred to a different owner who may not understand the terms, conditions and limitations of the authorization.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-10	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Application fee for a temporary permit	Effective	May 1, 1983	1982 Supp. 82a-727	1982 Supp. 82a-727	Yes	Yes	Superseded	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-9-11	Article 9.- Temporary Permits	Documentation of access to source of water supply for temporary permit	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-727	82a-727	Yes	Yes	Property owners would no longer be protected from applicants for temporary permits from proposing access to their property without their consent.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-10-1	Article 10.- Water Appropriation	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-10-2	Article 10.- Water Appropriation	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-10-3	Article 10.- Water Appropriation	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-10-4	Article 10.- Water Appropriation	Waiver or exemptions	Effective	May 1, 1983	82a-706a	82a-711, 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Water users would no longer be able to qualify for a waiver or exemption of a regulation under certain circumstances.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-10-5	Article 10.- Water Appropriation	Administration of water use among vested right holders	Effective	May 1, 1986	82a-706a	82a-704a and K.S.A. 82a-706	Yes	Yes	Vested right holders would no longer have clear guidance regarding how their water rights would be administered in the event that all appropriation rights have already been curtailed.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-10-6	Article 10.- Water Appropriation	Procedure for determination of an active vested domestic water right	Effective	Nov. 28, 1994	82a-706a	82a-705a	Yes	Yes	Domestic water right holders would no longer have clear guidance regarding the procedure for establishing and recording their vested right.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-11-1	Article 11.- Assurance Districts	Definitions	Effective	May 31, 1994	82a-1345(e)	82a-1334	Yes	Yes	Implementation and administration of Water Assurance Districts in Kansas would be delayed and/or inconsistent without clear guidelines.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-11-2	Article 11.- Assurance Districts	Determination of benefits	Effective	May 31, 1994	82a-1345(e)	82a-1334	Yes	Yes	Implementation and administration of Water Assurance Districts in Kansas would be delayed and/or inconsistent without clear guidelines.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-12-1	Article 12.- Aquifer Storage and Recovery	Aquifer storage and recovery permitting	Amended	April 29, 2016	82a-706a	2015 Supp. 82a-711 and K.S.A. 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Applicants for aquifer storage and recovery projects would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the information that is required to be submitted to the chief engineer in order to make a determination on such an application.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-12-2	Article 12.- Aquifer Storage and Recovery	Aquifer storage and recovery accounting	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-711 and K.S.A. 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Holders of aquifer storage and recovery permits would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the information that is required and the format of the annual report that must be submitted to the chief engineer in order to account for the water storage credits that may be claimed for future use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-12-3	Article 12.- Aquifer Storage and Recovery	Hearings	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-711 and K.S.A. 82a-712	Yes	Yes	Water users that might be impacted by a proposed aquifer storage and recovery project might not have reasonable opportunity to participate in a public hearing regarding such a proposed project prior to any final decision rendered on any such application.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-12-4	Article 12.- Aquifer Storage and Recovery	Aquifer storage and recovery systems in a groundwater management district	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-711, K.S.A. 82a-712, and K.S.A. 82a-1028(o)	Yes	Yes	Local groundwater management districts would lose the ability to recommend rules and regulations pertaining to the monitoring and accounting requirements for aquifer storage and recovery projects that fall within their district boundaries.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-13-1	Article 13.-Sand and Gravel Pit Operations	Notice of intent to open or expand a sand and gravel pit operation	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-721 and K.S.A. 82a-734	Yes	Yes	Sand and gravel pit operators would no longer have clear guidance regarding the statutory requirement to notify the chief engineer of their intent prior to opening or expanding a sand and gravel pit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-13-2	Article 13.-Sand and Gravel Pit Operations	Determination of substantially adverse impact on the area groundwater supply"	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-721 and K.S.A. 82a-734	Yes	Yes	Sand and gravel pit operators would no longer have clear guidance regarding when applications to appropriate water are required for operating a sand and gravel pit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-13-3	Article 13.-Sand and Gravel Pit Operations	Determination of when groundwater evaporation is a beneficial use	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-711, K.S.A. 82a-721, and K.S.A. 82a-734	Yes	Yes	Sand and gravel pit operators would no longer have clear guidance regarding when applications to appropriate water are required for operating a sand and gravel pit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-13-4	Article 13.-Sand and Gravel Pit Operations	Exemption	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-721, K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-1904, and K.S.A. 82a-734	Yes	Yes	Operators of sand and gravel operations in Kansas would lose the ability to qualify for exemptions to safe yield, allowable appropriation or other similar regulatory requirements under certain circumstances.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-13-5	Article 13.-Sand and Gravel Pit Operations	Approval of pit operations that are opened or expanded after the effective date of this regulation	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-721 and K.S.A. 82a-734	Yes	Yes	Sand and gravel pit operators would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the need to either meet safe yield, allowable appropriation or other similar requirements, or to change or acquire sufficient water rights to offset the evaporative water loss from a proposed groundwater sand and gravel pit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-13-6	Article 13.-Sand and Gravel Pit Operations	Determination of the maximum rate of diversion and annual quantity of water	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-721 and K.S.A. 82a-734	Yes	Yes	Operators of sand and gravel operations in Kansas would no longer have clear guidance regarding how to estimate the annual quantity of water lost to evaporation from such operation based on the size of their operation.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-13-7	Article 13.-Sand and Gravel Pit Operations	Offsets for evaporation of groundwater	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-721 and K.S.A. 82a-734	Yes	Yes	Sand and gravel operators in Kansas would no longer have clear guidance with regard to how to account for and offset the quantity of water lost to evaporation from such operations.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-13-8	Article 13.-Sand and Gravel Pit Operations	Offset calculations	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-721 and K.S.A. 82a-734	Yes	Yes	Sand and gravel operators in Kansas would no longer have clear guidance with regard to how to calculate the offset credits needed to account for water lost to evaporation resulting from their operations.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-13-9	Article 13.-Sand and Gravel Pit Operations	Easements and covenants	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-721 and K.S.A. 82a-734	Yes	Yes	Applicants for sand and gravel pit operations in Kansas would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the need to submit durable easements or covenants documenting proposed offset credits related to water lost to evaporation pertaining to their operations.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-13-10	Article 13.-Sand and Gravel Pit Operations	Time to construct the diversion works for a sand and gravel pit operation	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-712 and 82a-713	Yes	Yes	Sand and gravel operation permit holders would no longer have clear guidance regarding what constitutes completion of diversion and the limited amount of time allowed to do so under the authority of their approved permits. Permit holders would also lose clear guidance on the process to request and receive extensions of time to complete their projects, for good cause shown.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-13-11	Article 13.-Sand and Gravel Pit Operations	Time to perfect a water right for evaporation of groundwater	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-713	Yes	Yes	Sand and gravel operation permit holders would no longer have clear limits regarding the amount of time allowed to perfect their water rights. Permit holders would also lose clear guidance on the process to request and receive extensions of time to complete their projects and fully develop their water rights, for good cause shown.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-14-1	Article 14.- Enforcement and Appeals	Enforcement	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706, 82a-706b, 82a-706d, and 82a-728	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the procedure that would be taken by the chief engineer to enforce the provisions of the Kansas Water Appropriation Act, any rule and regulation, or any term, condition and limitation of an approved permit or water right.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-14-2	Article 14.- Enforcement and Appeals	Request for conference hearing	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706 and K.S.A. 82a-706b	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance with regard to requests for conference hearings related to violations and enforcement actions as required by K.S.A. 77-607.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-14-3	Article 14.- Enforcement and Appeals	Orders	Amended	March 20, 2009	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 2008 Supp. 82a-708b, 82a-711, 82a-718, 82a-737, 82a-770, and 82a-1901	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance regarding the ability to request review of orders issued by the chief engineer and how and when hearings may be held by the chief engineer in certain administrative matters.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-14-3a	Article 14.- Enforcement and Appeals	Hearing procedure	Effective	March 20, 2009	82a-706a	2008 Supp. 82a-708b, 82a-711, 82a-718, 82a-737, 82a-770, 82a-1038, and 82a-1901	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance with regard to how any hearing related to the Kansas Water Appropriation Act would be conducted by the chief engineer.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-14-4	Article 14.- Enforcement and Appeals	Appeal of the failure of the chief engineer to timely issue a certificate of appropriation	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-714 and K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-1901	Yes	Yes	Water right holders would no longer have clear guidance regarding the process to request administrative review by the secretary of agriculture should the chief engineer fail to timely issue a certificate of appropriation as allowed by K.S.A.82a-1901.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-14-5	Article 14.- Enforcement and Appeals	Conditions of a request for a conference hearing	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706 and K.S.A. 82a-706b	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer have clear guidance with regard to requesting a conference hearing in certain circumstances.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-14-6	Article 14.- Enforcement and Appeals	Informal settlement	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	1999 Supp. 82a-1901	Yes	Yes	Water right holders that are accused of a violation would lose the ability to request a settlement conference during any time during the proceedings.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-14-7	Article 14.- Enforcement and Appeals	Conversion of a conference hearing	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a	82a-706 and 82a-706b	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the process that must be taken to convert a conference hearing into a full adjudicative hearing and the notification requirements thereof.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-14-10	Article 14.- Enforcement and Appeals	Civil penalties for violations other than exceeding the authorized quantity of water	Amended	July 14, 2017	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 2016 Supp. 82a-737, and K.S.A. 2016 Supp. 82a-1901	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance regarding the penalties associated with various violations of the Kansas Water Appropriation Act, or violations of the terms conditions and limitations to any individual permits or water rights.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-14-11	Article 14.- Enforcement and Appeals	Civil fines; water use reporting	Amended	Sept. 22, 2017	82a-706a	82a-706a, K.S.A. 2016 Supp. 82a-732, and K.S.A. 2016 Supp. 82a-737	Yes	Yes	Permit or water right holders would no longer have clear guidance regarding the penalties associated with any failure to file a complete and accurate annual water use report as required by the Kansas Water Appropriation Act.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-16-3	Article 16.-Flex Account	Establishing a multiyear flex account	Amended	June 21, 2013	82a-706a	2012 Supp. 82a-736	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the multi-year flex account program would be inconsistent and unpredictable.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-16-4	Article 16.-Flex Account	Conditions on the term permit	Amended	June 21, 2013	82a-706a	2012 Supp. 82a-736	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the multi-year flex account program would be inconsistent and unpredictable.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-16-5	Article 16.-Flex Account	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-16-6	Article 16.-Flex Account	Multiyear flex accounts and term permits	Amended	June 21, 2013	82a-706a	2012 Supp. 82a-736	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the multi-year flex account program would be inconsistent and unpredictable.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-16-7	Article 16.-Flex Account	Conditions under which a base water right may be exercised	Amended	June 21, 2013	82a-706a	2012 Supp. 82a-736	Yes	Yes	Multi-Year Flex Account holders would no longer have the ability to exercise their base water rights under certain circumstances.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-1	Article 17.-Water Banking	Definitions for water banking	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-769	No	Yes	Implementation of the Water Banking statutes would be inconsistent and unpredictable.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-2	Article 17.-Water Banking	Application to deposit a water right into a water bank or withdraw a deposit	Amended	May 21, 2010	2009 Supp. 82a-769	2009 Supp. 82a-763, K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 82a-764, and K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Kansans located within the boundaries of water banks would no longer have clear guidance regarding the information required to submit an acceptable application to deposit water rights into water banks or how to withdraw desposits from a water bank.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-3	Article 17.-Water Banking	Contract for deposit of a water right	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-763 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Kansans with approved water bank applications would no longer have clear guidance regarding the information needed for a contract to desposit water in a water bank.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-4	Article 17.-Water Banking	Application to lease water	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-763 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Kansans wishing to lease water from a water bank would no longer have clear guidance regarding the information required to complete a lease agreement and application.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-5	Article 17.-Water Banking	Contract to lease water	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-763 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Kansans wishing to lease water from a water bank would no longer have clear guidance regarding the information required to complete a lease agreement and contract.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-17-6	Article 17.- Water Banking	Conditions on the term permit to exercise a contract to lease water	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-763 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Applicants for leased water from a water bank would no longer have clear guidance regarding the conditions that would be imposed on their permit, if approved.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-7	Article 17.- Water Banking	Contract to deposit water in a safe deposit account	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-763 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Water right holders wishing to desposit water in a safe deposit account would no longer have clear guidance regarding the information that must be submitted to the water bank or the terms of the desposit.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-8	Article 17.- Water Banking	Depositing water in a safe deposit account	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-763 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Water right holders participating in a water bank savings account would no longer have clear guidance regarding the deadlines within which unused water may be deposited into a safe deposit account each year.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-9	Article 17.- Water Banking	Term permit to use water that was deposited in a safe deposit account	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-763 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Water right holders that have deposited water into a safe deposit account would no longer have clear guidance regarding the procedure to withdraw water from their water bank account.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-10	Article 17.- Water Banking	Water bank charter proposal	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-765 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Kansans wishing to propose a charter to establish water bank would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the information that must be submitted to the chief engineer.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-11	Article 17.- Water Banking	Annual reports of water banks	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-766 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Water banks in Kansas would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the annual accounting and reporting that must be submitted to the chief engineer.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-12	Article 17.- Water Banking	Water use reports	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-766 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Water right holders that participate in a water bank would no longer have clear guidance regarding the information required as part of the annual water use report, as required by K.S.A. 82a-732.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-17-13	Article 17.- Water Banking	Enforcement	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-769 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-770	Yes	Yes	Water right holders that participate in a water bank would no longer have clear guidance regarding the consequences related to violating any term, condition or limitation of a term permit issued by the chief engineer for leased or previously deposited use of water.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-14	Article 17.- Water Banking	Water flowmeters	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-766 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Water right holders participating in a water bank would no longer have clear guidance regarding the requirements to accurately and fully meter the amounts of water used. The ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would become severely limited without standards pertaining to the proper installation and maintenance of acceptable water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-15	Article 17.- Water Banking	Private sale or lease of water right facilitated by a water bank	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-763 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Water right holders participating in a water bank would no longer have clear guidance regarding limitations other applicable statutes and regulations that would need to be met.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-16	Article 17.- Water Banking	Priority of use of water rights and permits	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2003 Supp. 82a-769	2003 Supp. 82a-769 and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-770	Yes	Yes	Water right holders participating in a water bank would lose clear guidance regarding the priority of their use of water.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-17	Article 17.- Water Banking	Waste of leased water and safe deposit account water	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2002 Supp. 82a-769	2002 Supp. 82a-763 and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-769	Yes	Yes	Water right holders participating in a water bank would no longer have clear guidance regarding what constitutes a waste of leased water and safe deposit account water.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-17-18	Article 17.- Water Banking	Reimbursable and non-reimbursable costs	Effective	Aug. 13, 2004	2002 Supp. 82a-769	2002 Supp. 82a-769 and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-771	Yes	Yes	Water banks in Kansas would no longer have clear guidance regarding the expenses that must be reimbursed to the office of the chief engineer related to implementation of the Kansas water banking act.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-19-1	Article 19.- Local Enhanced Management Areas	Definitions	Effective	Dec. 27, 2021	82a-1041	74-510a and K.S.A. 82a-1041	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the GMD Act including the provisions for Local Enhanced Management Areas would be inconsistent. Lack of guidance would result in significant delay in implementing proposed management plans.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-19-2	Article 19.- Local Enhanced Management Areas	LEMA plans	Effective	Dec. 27, 2021	82a-1041	82a-1041	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the GMD Act including the provisions for Local Enhanced Management Areas would be inconsistent. Lack of guidance would result in significant delay in implementing proposed management plans.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-19-3	Article 19.- Local Enhanced Management Areas	Public hearings	Effective	Dec. 27, 2021	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-1041	74-510a and K.S.A. 82a-1041	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the GMD Act including the provisions for Local Enhanced Management Areas would be inconsistent. Lack of guidance would result in significant delay in implementing proposed management plans.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-19-4	Article 19.- Local Enhanced Management Areas	Due consideration for past voluntary water conservation	Effective	Dec. 27, 2021	82a-1041	82a-1041	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the GMD Act including the provisions for Local Enhanced Management Areas would be inconsistent. Lack of guidance would result in significant delay in implementing proposed management plans.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-19-5	Article 19.- Local Enhanced Management Areas	Review and modification of a designated LEMA	Effective	Dec. 27, 2021	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-1041	82a-1041	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the GMD Act including the provisions for Local Enhanced Management Areas would be inconsistent. Lack of guidance would result in significant delay in implementing proposed management plans.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-20-1	Article 20.- Intensive Groundwater Use Control Area	Intensive groundwater use control area; public hearings	Effective	Sept. 18, 2009	82a-706a	74-510a, K.S.A. 82a-1036, K.S.A. 82a-1037, and K.S.A. 2008 Supp. 82a-1038	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the GMD Act including the provisions for Local Enhanced Management Areas would be inconsistent. Lack of guidance would result in significant delay in implementing proposed management plans.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-20-2	Article 20.- Intensive Groundwater Use Control Area	Formal review of intensive groundwater use control area orders	Effective	Sept. 18, 2009	82a-706a	82a-706 and K.S.A. 82a-1036	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the GMD Act including the provisions for Local Enhanced Management Areas would be inconsistent. Lack of guidance would result in significant delay in implementing proposed management plans.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-21-1	Article 21.- Western Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 1	Definitions	Amended	May 23, 1994	82a-1028(o)		Yes	Yes	These definitions play an integral role in the interpretation and implementation of numerous state statutes. Implementation of the Kansas Water Appropriation Act in the Ogallala Aquifer in northwest Kansas would not be consistent.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-21-2	Article 21.- Western Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 1	Tailwater control and waste	Effective	May 1, 1979	1978 Supp. 82a-1028(o)		Yes	Yes	Water right holders and the public interest would no longer be protected from the use of water in a wasteful manner.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-21-3	Article 21.- Western Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 1	Well spacing requirements	Amended	March 17, 2017	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing water users could be impaired if water wells were not required to meet minimum well spacing requirements.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-21-4	Article 21.- Western Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 1	Safe yield	Amended	April 15, 2011	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706, K.S.A. 82a-708b, and K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing water right holders and the public interest would be harmed by the continued overappropriation of water in GMD 1 if new water wells were allowed to be drilled.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-21-5	Article 21.- Western Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 1	Battery of wells	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-1028(o)	82a-1028(n)	Yes	Yes	Existing water right holders and water resources would no longer be protected from other water right holders expanding use by adding new wells to existing rights.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-21-6	Article 21.- Western Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 1	Water flowmeters	Amended	May 27, 2016	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706c and K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would become severely limited without an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-21-7	Article 21.- Western Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 1	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-21-8	Article 21.- Western Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 1	Percent change of saturated thickness	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-1028(o)	82a-1028(n)	Yes	Yes	Lack of clear guidance provided by this rule would cause confusion and delay in issuing approval orders that require saturated thickness analyses.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-21-9	Article 21.- Western Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 1	Saturated thickness	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-1028(o)	82a-1028(n)	Yes	Yes	Lack of clear guidance would cause confusion and delay in issuing approval orders that require saturated thickness analyses.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-1	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Definitions	Amended	Nov. 12, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	These definitions play an integral role in the interpretation and implementation of numerous state statutes and regulations. Implementation of the Kansas Water Appropriation Act in Groundwater Management District 2 would not be consistent.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-2	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Well spacing requirements	Amended	Jan. 10, 2003	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5	Yes	Yes	Existing groundwater users would no longer be protected from impairment by new water users placing wells too close to existing wells.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-3	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Waste of water	Effective	May 1, 1979	1978 Supp. 82a-1028(o)		Yes	Yes	Water right holders and the public interest would no longer be protected from the use of water in a wasteful manner.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-4	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Metering	Amended	Jan. 10, 2003	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would become severely limited without the installation of water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the public interest.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-22-4a	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Water flowmeter requirement	Amended	Aug. 5, 2011	2010 Supp. 82a-1028	2010 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would become severely limited without standards for accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-4b	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Water flowmeter maintenance	Effective	Nov. 12, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would become severely limited without standards for water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-4c	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Water flowmeter testing by a nondistrict person	Effective	Nov. 12, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would become severely limited without standards for water flowmeter testing to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-4d	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Water flowmeter installation procedures	Amended	Aug. 5, 2011	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2010 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2010 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would become severely limited without standards pertaining to the proper installation of acceptable water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-5	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-22-6	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Noncompliance; penalties; appeal procedures	Amended	Dec. 10, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the guidelines to investigate complaints and enforce regulations that protect water users and the public interest.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-7	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Safe yield	Amended	March 25, 2016	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Public interest would be harmed and impairment of existing rights could occur without a defined method to determine whether the safe yield of the Equus beds aquifer could be met by a potential new use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-22-8	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Change applications	Amended	Nov. 12, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Water right holders would no longer be protected from adverse changes in point of diversion and place of use by other nearby water right holders that could cause impairment.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-9	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Exceptions	Amended	Nov. 12, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	If this regulation was revoked, the chief engineer would not be required to consider a recommendation submitted by GMD2 in deciding whether to waive a regulation upon a finding that such waiver will not cause impairment or harm the public interest (which the chief engineer is authorized by statute to do). Kansans seeking waiver of a regulation could be affected to the extend consideration of a GMD recommendation affected the chief engineer's decision whether waiver was appropriate.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-10	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Aquifer storage and recovery system: data reporting requirements	Effective	Dec. 10, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Kansans operating aquifer storage and recovery projects would no longer have clear guidance regarding the data reporting requirements and the information that must be submitted annually to the groundwater management district for review.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-12	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Application processing requirements and procedures	Effective	Jan. 10, 2003	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer have clear guidance regarding the processes for application review by the groundwater management district and the applicant's right to appeal district decisions.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-22-13	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Potential net evaporation	Effective	Dec. 10, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	No	Yes	Applicants would no longer have clear guidance regarding the amount of water that can be approved for evaporative indirect water use inside GMD2.	No	In active use		No	No		No		These amounts are set forth in a statewide regulation and so the general purpose of this regulation could still be accomplished if it were revoked. However, this regulation sets out amounts that apply specifically inside GMD2, so the regulation does serve a distinct purpose.
5-22-14	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Maximum reasonable quantity for beneficial use	Effective	Dec. 10, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Water users wouldn't have clear guidance regarding reasonable quantities for various uses made of water, which could result in unreasonable allocations or waste of water.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-15	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Limitations on the use of fresh groundwater	Effective	Dec. 10, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a, K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Kansans and the public resource would no longer be protected from projects that propose to use freshwater where non-freshwater sources are technologically and economically feasible. Industries would no longer have clear guidance regarding when the use of non-freshwater sources is required.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-22-17	Article 22.- Equus Beds Groundwater Management District No. 2	Bank storage wells	Effective	Nov. 12, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance regarding what qualifies as a bank storage well and they can be utilized.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-23-1	Article 23.- Southwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 3	Definitions	Amended	Feb. 27, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028(o)	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	These definitions play an integral role in the interpretation and implementation of numerous state statutes. Implementation of the Kansas Water Appropriation Act in northwest Kansas would not be consistent.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-23-6	Article 23.- Southwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 3	Water-measuring devices	Amended	Jan. 10, 2003	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would be severely limited without the proper installation of water flowmeters to ensure an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-23-7	Article 23.- Southwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 3	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-23-8	Article 23.- Southwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 3	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-23-9	Article 23.- Southwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 3	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-23-11	Article 23.- Southwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 3	Procedures for non-compliance with rules and regulations	Effective	May 1, 1981	1980 Supp. 82a-1028(o)	1980 Supp. 82a-1028(n)	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the procedures to investigate complaints and enforce regulations that protect water users and the public interest.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-23-14	Article 23.- Southwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 3	Dakota aquifer system	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-1028(o)	82a-709, K.S.A. 1999 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 82a-1028(n)	Yes	Yes	Exsiting water users in the Dakota Aquifer system would no longer be protected from impairment without clear guidance regarding which portions of that aquifer that are either confined or unconfined.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-23-15	Article 23.- Southwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 3	Saturated thickness of the high plains aquifer	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-1028(o)	1999 Supp. 82a-711 and K.S.A. 82a-1028(n)	Yes	Yes	Lack of clear guidance would cause confusion and delay in issuing approvals or orders that require saturated thickness analyses.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-24-1	Article 24.- Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 4	Definitions	Amended	Jan. 30, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	These definitions play an integral role in the interpretation and implementation of numerous state statutes. Implementation of the Kansas Water Appropriation Act in northwest Kansas would not be consistent.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-24-2	Article 24.- Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 4	Allowable withdrawals	Amended	May 13, 2016	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing water right holders would no longer be protected from impairment from continued permitting and over-development of new water rights and resulting aquifer declines.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-24-3	Article 24.- Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 4	Well spacing	Amended	Jan. 30, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing water users would no longer be protected from encroachment by new water wells and impairment could occur without required minimum well spacing requirements.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-24-4	Article 24.- Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 4	Tailwater control and waste	Amended	Jan. 30, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing water rights and public interest would be harmed if the public resource was allowed to be wasted.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-24-5	Article 24.- Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 4	Allowable appropriation & reasonable use	Amended	Jan. 10, 2003	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5, and K.S.A. 82a-706a	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5	Yes	Yes	Water users and applicants would no longer have clear guidance with regard to reasonable quantities of water for various intended uses.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-24-6	Article 24.- Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 4	Changes in points of diversion	Amended	Jan. 30, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing water users could be impaired without restrictions that limit the distance a water right can be moved.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-24-7	Article 24.- Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 4	Well construction criteria	Amended	Jan. 10, 2003	82a-1028	82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Permit holders would no longer have clear guidance regarding acceptable water well construction. Revocation could potentially allow for contamination of fresh water supplies which would impair existing water users.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation being implemented?	Is the rule and regulation being implemented?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-24-8	Article 24.-Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 4	Resource development plans	Amended	Jan. 30, 2004	2002 Supp. 82a-1028 and K.S.A. 82a-706a	2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Revocation could potentially allow water to be wasted without appropriate conservation requirements.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-24-9	Article 24.-Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 4	Water flowmeters	Effective	Jan. 10, 2003	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5, and K.S.A. 82a-706a	82a-1028, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 5, and K.S.A. 2001 Supp. 82a-1903, as amended by L. 2002, Ch. 137, § 7	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would be severely limited without an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-24-10	Article 24.-Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 4	Exemptions for up to 15 acre-feet of groundwater	Amended	Dec. 8, 2006	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 82a-1028	2005 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Economic growth could be hindered by lack of options for permitting of small legitimate water uses.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-24-11	Article 24.-Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District No. 4	Investigation and enforcement	Effective	Jan. 30, 2004	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the guidelines to investigate complaints and enforce regulations that protect water users and the public interest.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-1	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Definitions	Amended	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	These definitions play an integral role in the interpretation and implementation of numerous state statutes. Implementation of the Kansas Water Appropriation Act in northwest Kansas would not be consistent.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-2	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Well spacing	Amended	Nov. 15, 2019	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing groundwater users and streamflow would no longer be protected from impairment or depletion from new water users placing wells too close to existing wells or to a stream.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-25-2a	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Change in point of diversion	Effective	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing water users could be impaired without restrictions that limit the distance a water right can be moved. Existing water right holders would also no longer be protected from chloride contamination.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-3	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Reasonable appropriation	Amended	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706, K.S.A. 82a-706a, K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Protects existing water users and the public resource from wasteful water use.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-4	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Sustainable yield	Amended	Nov. 15, 2019	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706, K.S.A. 82a-706a, K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 82a-708b, and K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing water right holders would no longer be protected from impairment resulting from water level declines with continued permitting and over-development of new water rights.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-5	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Water flowmeter requirements	Amended	Nov. 19, 2010	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would become severely limited without an accurate accounting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-6	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Reporting water use	Amended	April 19, 1996	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-1028(o)	82a-1028(l)	Yes	Yes	Ability to manage the water resource in the public interest would become severely limited without an accurate accounting and reporting of the amount of water being used.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-7	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Water quality tests	Amended	April 19, 1996	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-1028(o)	82a-1028(k)	Yes	Yes	Existing water users and the public resource could become impaired without a clear understanding of water quality and could lead to migration of poor quality water in certain circumstances.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-8	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Waste of water	Amended	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	No	No	Revocation would not affect Kansans because this regulation is redundant, as waste of water is prohibited by other DWR regulations that are effective statewide.	No	In active use		No	No		No		This regulation is not currently being revoked but could be revoked with no impact to Kansans.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-25-9	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Procedures for non-compliance with rules and regulations	Amended	April 19, 1996	82a-706a and K.S.A. 82a-1028(o)	82a-1028(n)	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove the procedures to investigate complaints and enforce regulations that protect water users and the public interest.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-10	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Test holes and water quality analyses	Amended	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a, and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing water users and the public resource could become impaired without a clear understanding of water quality and could lead to migration of poor quality water in certain circumstances.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-11	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Determination of well locations	Amended	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Ability to administer groundwater rights to protect senior water rights in the public interest would be hampered without clear guidance to determine actual well locations.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-12	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Approval of application for additional rate only	Effective	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Applicants would lose the ability to qualify for an exception to aquifer safe yield requirements or the district's closure to new applications in certain cases where only a small increase in rate is proposed.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-13	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Term permits	Effective	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Non-permanent water uses would no longer be allowed to be permitted for periods of greater than one year in certain circumstances.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-14	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Battery of wells	Effective	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706, K.S.A. 82a-706a, K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-706b, and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing water users would no longer be protected from expanded use and impairment resulting from the addition of new wells to existing water rights.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-15	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Exemptions for up to 15 acre-feet of groundwater	Amended	May 21, 2010	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706, K.S.A. 82a-706a, K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 82a-711, and K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer be able to qualify for a limited exception to aquifer safe yield requirements and the district's closure to new applications.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION						NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the public interest.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation required for a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understand the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-25-16	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Water quality analyses and observation wells in the Rattlesnake creek subbasin	Effective	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706, K.S.A. 82a-706a, K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-708b, and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Existing water users and the public resource could become impaired without a clear understanding of the water quality and could lead to migration of poor quality water in certain circumstances.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-17	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Voluntary reductions of water rights in the Rattlesnake creek subbasin	Effective	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Water right holders in the Rattlesnake Creek basin would no longer receive credit for voluntary conservation measures related to the removal of end gun sprinklers from their center pivot irrigation system.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-18	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Changes of well locations within the Rattlesnake creek basin	Effective	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	No	No	Water right holders in the Rattlesnake Creek basin would no longer be allowed to move groundwater rights outside of the alluvial corridor at distances greater than those normally allowed under a routine change application.	No	In active use		No	No		No		This regulation is contrary to KSA 82a-708b which requires changes in a water right's point of diversion to relate to the same source of supply. KAR 5-25-22 accomplishes any identifiable public purpose which would be accomplished by any portions of this regulation. This regulation is not currently being revoked but could be revoked with no adverse impact to Kansans or Kansas resources.
5-25-19	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Saturated thickness map	Effective	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Lack of clear guidance would cause confusion and delay in issuing permits that require saturated thickness analyses in the Rattlesnake Creek basin.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-25-20	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Recommendations by the board	Effective	Oct. 31, 2003	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706, K.S.A. 82a-706a, and K.S.A. 2002 Supp. 82a-711	Yes	Yes	Applicants would no longer have local input regarding their applications for new or change applications without a recommendation from the groundwater management district board.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-25-21	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Alternative method for calculating the amount of water deposited in a multiyear flex account	Effective	March 25, 2016	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 82a-1028	2015 Supp. 82a-736	Yes	Yes	Revocation would remove an incentive for water users to conserve the public resource by removing endguns, which have been identified as an inefficient application of water.	No	In active use		No	No		No		While this regulation does serve an identifiable public purpose, amendments to it (though not necessarily revocation) may be appropriate in the future, as the alternative multi-year flex account calculation that this regulation provides for may allow water users to increase their consumptive use of water in some instances.
5-25-22	Article 25.-Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5	Movement of water rights affecting streamflow at Rattlesnake creek	Effective	Nov. 15, 2019	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 82a-1028	82a-706a and K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 82a-1028	Yes	Yes	Water right holders in the Rattlesnake Creek basin would no longer be allowed to move groundwater rights outside of the alluvial corridor at distances greater than those normally allowed under a routine change application.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-30-1	Article 30.-Dams	Approval of or permits for dams	Effective	May 1, 1980	82a-706a, 82a-709		Yes	Yes	Revocation would cause confusion whenever a dam permit from the water structures program is issued but a permit to store water from the water appropriation program cannot be issued.	No	In active use							
5-40-1	Article 40.-Design of Earth Dams	Definitions	Amended	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Could cause confusion and delay in issuing dam permits.	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	FEMA	Yes	\$ 350,000	This regulation outlines definitions for the dam safety program and is necessary to receive the state assistance dam safety grant from FEMA
5-40-2	Article 40.-Design of Earth Dams	Dams; plans and specifications	Amended	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Could cause confusion and delay in issuing dam permits.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-2a	Article 40.-Design of Earth Dams	Benchmarks	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Could cause confusion and delay in issuing dam permits.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-2b	Article 40.-Design of Earth Dams	Design reports	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Could cause confusion and delay in issuing dam permits.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-40-14	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Testing a principal spillway pipe installation in a dam; applicability	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-303a	82a-303b	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improperly installed principal spillway.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-15	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Testing a principal spillway pipe installation in a dam; general procedures	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-303a	82a-303b	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improperly installed principal spillway.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-16	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Testing a principal spillway pipe installation in a dam; allowable leakage rate, test methods	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-303a	82a-303b	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improperly installed principal spillway.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-20	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Hazard classes of dams	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a and 82a-303b	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper hazzard class	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-21	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Class sizes of dams	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a and 82a-303b	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper size class	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-22	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Design requirements for construction of a dam	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-23	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Detention storage	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-24	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Dam breach analysis	Amended	Oct. 3, 2008	2007 Supp. 82a-303a	2007 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-26	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Request to issue or reconsider hazard class determination	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a and 82a-303b	Yes	Yes	Allows citizens to dispute hazard classification	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-30	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Time of concentration	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-31	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Design duration rainfall depth	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-40-32	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Determination of rainfall excess	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-33	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Hydrographs	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-40	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Geotechnical investigation of all dams	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-41	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Geotechnical investigation of a low-impact dam	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-42	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Geotechnical investigation of a high-impact dam	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-43	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Cutoff trench	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-44	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Embankment	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-45	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Allowance for settlement of an earthen dam	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-46	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Side slopes of an earthen dam	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-50	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Pipes	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-51	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Acceptable trash racks for primary spillways	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-52	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Stilling basins	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-53	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Drawdown pipes	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-54	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Control of seepage along a conduit	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-55	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Earthen auxiliary spillways	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-40-56	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Maximum design velocity for an auxiliary spillway	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-57	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Service spillway design	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-70	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Construction notification to the chief engineer	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-301a and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-71	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Inspection during dam construction, repair, and modification	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-301a and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-72	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Construction inspection reports	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-301a and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-73	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Emergency action plan	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from not having an EAP	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	FEMA	Yes	\$ 350,000	EAPs are required to receive funding under the national dam safety act. Note that this is not additional money listed from KAR 5-40-1
5-40-73a	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Discovery of an existing illegal, unpermitted dam	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-301, 82a-302, and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-74	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Design criteria for an existing illegal, unpermitted dam	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-301a, 82a-302, and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-75	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Maintenance of dams	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-301a and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized if a dam is not maintained	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-76	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Repair or modification of a permitted or prejurisdictional dam	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized from improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-77	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Easements for dams	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302, K.S.A. 82a-303, and K.S.A. 2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Property rights are protected by not allowing water to be backed on other owners	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-40-90	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Requirements for a dam safety inspection report	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a and 82a-303b	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized without safety inspections	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	FEMA	Yes	\$ 350,000	Safety inspections are required to receive funding under the national dam safety act. Note this not additional money listed from KAR 5-40-1 and 5-40-73
5-40-91	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Schedule for inspection of hazard class C dams	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a and 82a-303b	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized without safety inspections	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-92	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Schedule for inspection of hazard class B dams	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a and 82a-303b	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized without safety inspections	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-93	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Schedule for inspection of dams	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a and 82a-303b	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized without safety inspections	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-94	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Revision of schedule of inspections	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a and 82a-303b	Yes	Yes	Dam owners would not have flexibility to change rotation schedules	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-100	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Request to be included on the list of independent engineers qualified to review applications	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Permit review time could be slowed down	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-101	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Information to be submitted with a request to be a reviewer	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Permit review time could be slowed down	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-102	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Minimum requirements to be an individual reviewer	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Permit review time could be slowed down	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-103	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Conflict of interest	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Permit review time could be slowed down	No	In active use		No	No		Yes		
5-40-104	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Notification of approval or disapproval to be a reviewer	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Permit review time could be slowed down	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-40-105	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Procedure for independent review of an application to construct a dam or other water obstruction	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Permit review time could be slowed down	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-40-106	Article 40.- Design of Earth Dams	Report of findings of independent reviewer	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-302 and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Permit review time could be slowed down	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-41-1	Article 41.- Design of Channel Changes	Channel changes; plans and specifications	Amended	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-303a	82a-302	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized with improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-41-2	Article 41.- Design of Channel Changes	Channel changes; water velocity	Effective	May 1, 1987	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized with improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-41-3	Article 41.- Design of Channel Changes	Channel changes; side slopes	Effective	May 1, 1987	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized with improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-41-4	Article 41.- Design of Channel Changes	Channel changes; construction by erosion	Effective	May 1, 1987	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized with improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-41-5	Article 41.- Design of Channel Changes	Channel changes; disposal of excavated material	Effective	May 1, 1987	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized with improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-41-6	Article 41.- Design of Channel Changes	Channel changes; vegetative strips on new channels	Amended	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized with improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-42-1	Article 42.- Design of Stream Obstructions	Stream obstructions; plans and specifications	Amended	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-301, 82a-302, and 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized with improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-42-2	Article 42.- Design of Stream Obstructions	Stream obstruction; minor	Effective	May 1, 1987	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Property rights would be affected by not giving landowners flexibility	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-42-3	Article 42.- Design of Stream Obstructions	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked									
5-42-4	Article 42.- Design of Stream Obstructions	Stream obstruction; temporary structure	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Property rights would be affected by not giving landowners flexibility	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-42-5	Article 42.- Design of Stream Obstructions	Determining the peak discharge of a one percent-chance storm	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	2006 Supp. 82a-303a	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized with improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-43-1	Article 43.-Sand Dredging Permits	Sand dredging operation; plans and specifications	Effective	May 1, 1987	82a-303a	82a-302	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized with improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-43-2	Article 43.-Sand Dredging Permits	Sand dredging; buffer zone	Effective	May 1, 1987	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Public safety could be jeopardized with improper design	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-43-3	Article 43.-Sand Dredging Permits	Sand dredging; operation	Effective	May 1, 1987	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Property rights could be affected by improper operation	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-43-4	Article 43.-Sand Dredging Permits	Sand dredging; operations conflicting	Effective	May 1, 1987	82a-303a		Yes	Yes	Property rights could be affected by improper operation	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-43-5	Article 43.-Sand Dredging Permits	Sand dredging; operation setback	Effective	May 1, 1987	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Property rights could be affected by improper setbacks	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-44-1	Article 44.- FloodPlain Management	Floodplain management; definitions	Effective	Feb. 17, 1992	12-766	12-766	Yes	Yes	Delay could be added for obtaining permits or local ordinances	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	FEMA	Yes	\$ 4,813,000	Floodplain regulations are required to receive the Cooperating Technical Partner and Community Assistance Program - State Service Element grants from FEMA

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-44-2	Article 44.- FloodPlain Management	Floodplain management; conditions for application for approval	Effective	Feb. 17, 1992	12-766	12-766	Yes	Yes	Delay could be added for obtaining permits or local ordinances	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	FEMA	Yes	\$ 4,813,000	Floodplain regulations are required to receive the Cooperating Technical Partner and Community Assistance Program - State Service Element grants from FEMA. Note this is not additional money as reported for 5-44-1
5-44-3	Article 44.- FloodPlain Management	Floodplain management; application for approval of zoning regulations; time limit	Effective	Feb. 17, 1992	12-766	12-766	Yes	Yes	Delay could be added for obtaining permits or local ordinances	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	FEMA	Yes	\$ 4,813,000	Floodplain regulations are required to receive the Cooperating Technical Partner and Community Assistance Program - State Service Element grants from FEMA. Note this is not additional money as reported for 5-44-1
5-44-4	Article 44.- FloodPlain Management	Floodplain management; zoning regulations; minimum standards and criteria	Effective	Feb. 17, 1992	12-766	12-766	Yes	Yes	Property damage or public injuries could result from improper floodplain development	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	FEMA	Yes	\$ 4,813,000	Floodplain regulations are required to receive the Cooperating Technical Partner and Community Assistance Program - State Service Element grants from FEMA. Note this is not additional money as reported for 5-44-1
5-44-5	Article 44.- FloodPlain Management	Floodplain management; variance procedures	Effective	Feb. 17, 1992	12-766	12-766	Yes	Yes	Owner flexibility would be decreased due to lack of ability to apply for a waiver	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	FEMA	Yes	\$ 4,813,000	Floodplain regulations are required to receive the Cooperating Technical Partner and Community Assistance Program - State Service Element grants from FEMA. Note this is not additional money as reported for 5-44-1

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-44-6	Article 44.- FloodPlain Management	Floodplain management; waiver or stricter requirements	Effective	Feb. 17, 1992	12-766	12-766	Yes	Yes	Owner flexibility would be decreased due to lack of ability to apply for a waiver	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	FEMA	Yes	\$ 4,813,000	Floodplain regulations are required to receive the Cooperating Technical Partner and Community Assistance Program - State Service Element grants from FEMA. Note this is not additional money as reported for 5-44-1
5-44-7	Article 44.- FloodPlain Management	Certification of elevations	Effective	May 18, 2007	2006 Supp. 12-766	2006 Supp. 12-766	Yes	Yes	Improperly completed ECs could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		Yes	Yes	FEMA	Yes	\$ 4,813,000	Floodplain regulations are required to receive the Cooperating Technical Partner and Community Assistance Program - State Service Element grants from FEMA. Note this is not additional money as reported for 5-44-1
5-45-1	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees and floodplain fills; definitions	Amended	Oct. 3, 2008	2007 Supp. 24-126	2007 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Delay for owners who are needed to get a permit	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-2	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees and floodplain fills; plans and specifications	Amended	April 27, 1992	1991 Supp. 24-126	1991 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-3	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees and floodplain fills; specifications	Amended	April 27, 1992	24-126 as amended by L. 1991, ch. 56, sec. 27	24-126 as amended by L. 1991, ch. 56, sec. 27	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-4	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees and floodplain fills; preparer of maps, plans, profiles, and specifications	Amended	Oct. 3, 2008	2007 Supp. 24-126	2007 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-5	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees; waiver and stricter requirements	Effective	May 1, 1987	24-126	24-126	Yes	Yes	Owner flexibility would be lost in the application process	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-45-6	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees and floodplain fills; other maps, plans, profiles, data and specifications	Amended	April 27, 1992	24-126 as amended by L. 1991, ch. 56, sec. 27	24-126 as amended by L. 1991, ch. 56, sec. 27	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-7	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees and floodplain fills; application	Amended	April 27, 1992	1991 Supp. 24-126	1991 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-8	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees; hazard classes	Effective	May 1, 1987	24-126	24-126	Yes	Yes	Owners of agricultural levees would lose flexibility	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-9	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees; design storm flow determination	Effective	May 1, 1987	24-126	24-126	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-10	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees; design criteria	Effective	May 1, 1987	24-126	24-126	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-11	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees; freeboard requirements	Effective	May 1, 1987	24-126	24-126	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-12	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees and floodplain fills; setback	Amended	April 27, 1992	1991 Supp. 24-126	1991 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-13	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees; floodplain fills; unreasonable effect	Amended	Sept. 22, 2000	24-126	24-126	Yes	Yes	Property rights may be infringed upon	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-14	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Levees and floodplain fills; hydrologic and hydraulic analysis	Amended	Sept. 22, 2000	24-126	24-126	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-15	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Floodplain fills; design criteria	Effective	April 27, 1992	1991 Supp. 24-126	1991 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-16	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Floodplain fills; disapproval	Effective	April 27, 1992	24-126 as amended by L. 1991, ch. 56, sec. 27	24-126 as amended by L. 1991, ch. 56, sec. 27	Yes	Yes	Property rights may be infringed upon	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-17	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Exemption&mdash ;floodway fringe fills	Effective	April 27, 1992	1991 Supp. 24-126	1991 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Flexibility would be lost for owners in a floodway fringe	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement of the authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-45-18	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Floodplain fills; incidental to bridge and culvert replacement projects	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	24-126	24-126	Yes	Yes	Flexibility would be lost for culvert and bridge installation	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-19	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Unconsolidated material storage stockpiles and safety berms	Effective	Oct. 3, 2008	2007 Supp. 24-126	2007 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Property damage or public injuries could result from improper floodplain development	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-20	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Application to place an unconsolidated material storage stockpile or safety berm	Effective	Oct. 3, 2008	2007 Supp. 24-126	2007 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-21	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Safety berm	Effective	Oct. 3, 2008	2007 Supp. 24-126	2007 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Flexibility would be lost for safety berm owners	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-22	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Unconsolidated material storage stockpile	Effective	Oct. 3, 2008	2007 Supp. 24-126	2007 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Flexibility would be lost for UMSS owners	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-45-23	Article 45.- Design of Levees	Use of geometric analysis	Effective	Oct. 3, 2008	2007 Supp. 24-126	2007 Supp. 24-126	Yes	Yes	Improper design could affect personal property and public safety	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-46-1	Article 46.- General Permits	General permits; bridge and culvert replacement projects	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Owner flexibility would be lost by making them go through the individual permit process	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-46-3	Article 46.- General Permits	General permits; sand and gravel removal operations	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Owner flexibility would be lost by making them go through the individual permit process	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-46-4	Article 46.- General Permits	General permits; pipeline crossings	Effective	Sept. 22, 2000	82a-303a	82a-303	Yes	Yes	Owner flexibility would be lost by making them go through the individual permit process	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-50-1	Article 50.- Water Transfers	Definitions	Amended	Dec. 27, 1996	82a-1506	1995 Supp. 82a-1501	Yes	Yes	Implementation of the Intensive Groundwater Use Control Area statutes contained in the Groundwater Management District Act would be inconsistent and unpredictable.	No	In active use		No	No		No		

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Describe how revocation would affect the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
5-50-2	Article 50.- Water Transfers	Requirements for application	Amended	Dec. 27, 1996	82a-1506	1995 Supp. 82a-1503	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the information that is required to be submitted to constitute an acceptable water transfer application.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-50-3	Article 50.- Water Transfers	Revoked	Revoked						Revoked				No	No		No		
5-50-4	Article 50.- Water Transfers	Emergency use	Amended	Dec. 27, 1996	82a-1506	1995 Supp. 82a-1502	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the information that is required to be submitted to the chief engineer in the event that an emergency temporary transfer of water occurs.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-50-5	Article 50.- Water Transfers	Emergency transfer of water	Amended	Dec. 27, 1996	82a-1506	1995 Supp. 82a-1502	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the actions that must be taken whenever an temporary emergency transfer of water extends beyond the authorization of one year.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-50-6	Article 50.- Water Transfers	Authority of the chief engineer	Amended	Dec. 27, 1996	82a-1506	1995 Supp. 82a-1503	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer be protected by the chief engineer's overview of emergency water transfers.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-50-7	Article 50.- Water Transfers	Filing an application	Effective	Dec. 27, 1996	82a-1506	1995 Supp. 82a-1503	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer have clear guidance with regard to the information that is required to be submitted and actions that must be taken in order to constitute a complete water transfer application.	No	In active use		No	No		No		
5-50-8	Article 50.- Water Transfers	Selection of hearing officer	Effective	Dec. 27, 1996	82a-1506	1995 Supp. 82a-1501a	Yes	Yes	Kansans would no longer receive appropriate notice regarding the formation of any water transfer panel that is established to review requests for transfers of water in Kansas.	No	In active use		No	No		No		