

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-1-1	Article 1.- Anthrax Vaccine	Sale or distribution	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610		Yes	Yes	It is necessary to protect the health, safety, and lives of Kansans in the event of an Anthrax outbreak.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Makes it unlawful to sell or distribute anthrax spore vaccine without authorization of the prior approval of the livestock commissioner
9-1-2	Article 1.- Anthrax Vaccine	Use	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610		Yes	Yes	Necessary in the event of an anthrax outbreak	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-2-1	Article 2.- Bovine Brucellosis	Official vaccinate	Amended	Jan. 23, 1998	47-610 and 47-657	47-608 and 47-622	Yes	Yes	Kansas cattle ranchers would lose the ability to meet USDA licensing requirements. Further, protects livestock herds of cattle ranchers.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	\$ 80,000	Funding from USDA-APHIS could be in jeopardy if KDA fails to participate in the umbrella cooperative agreement providing blanket funding for the control of infectious diseases. [Note: much of the funding through the USDA-APHIS program is through cooperative agreement. Whereby an "umbrella" allocation is made and funds are used on an "as needed basis." (Generally delineated by species). Therefore, amounts are subject to rapid change if, for instance, a certain species sees an outbreak of the type listed in these sections].
9-2-6	Article 2.- Bovine Brucellosis	Reports	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-608, 47-622, 47-624, 47-657	47-608; 47-622	Yes	Yes	Kansans' health and safety would be risked in the event of an outbreak where tracking would be made more difficult.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	(above)	Reporting requirements tied to the Bovine Brucellosis eradication program in participation with the USDA.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-2-32	Article 2.-	Bovine Brucellosis	Amended	June 10, 2005	47-610 and 47-657	47-608, 47-610, and 47-657	Yes	Yes	Kansas ranchers would lose the protection of USDA "certified and validated herd" and "area" status.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	(above)	Adoption of the minimum standards for USDA certified herds and verified areas designation.
9-2-33	Article 2.-	Bovine Brucellosis	Effective	May 1, 1984	47-608, 47-610, 47-657	47-608, 47-610, 47-657	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by requiring the testing of cattle for brucellosis on date of sale.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Not a current outbreak concern; No enforcement concerns
9-2-34	Article 2.-	Bovine Brucellosis	Effective	May 1, 1987	47-608	47-608	Yes	Yes	Currently under revision, however being revised to incorporate updated methods of tagging and tracing.	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Not a current outbreak concern; No enforcement concerns
9-2-35	Article 2.-	Bovine Brucellosis	Effective	Nov. 13, 2020	2019 Supp. 47-610 and 47-657	2019 Supp. 47-607, K.S.A. 2019 Supp. 47-608, K.S.A. 2019 Supp. 47-610, K.S.A. 2019 Supp. 47-657, K.S.A. 47-661, K.S.A. 47-663 and K.S.A. 47-664	Yes	Yes	Kansans would not only face increased exposure to an endemic brucellosis designated area but lose valuable tracking and certification of cattle moving into and out of the state in the event of an outbreak.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	\$ 80,000	Tracking and certification for cattle moving into Kansas from Designated Surveillance Areas for Bovine Brucellosis
9-2-36	Article 2.-	Bovine Brucellosis	Effective	May 13, 2022	47-1831	47-1831	Yes	Yes	Kansas veterinarians and ranchers would lose the ability to have "calthood vaccination tags" verifying that the appropriate vaccinations have been administered.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	(above)	Cost and Fee for official calthood vaccination tags to licensed Kansas veterinarians.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-3-1	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	Definitions	Amended	Jan. 1, 1966	47-608, 47-610, and 47-657		Yes	Yes	Kansas ranchers would lose necessary criteria for defining positive and negative results as well as definitions for "infected herds" which could increase exposure to pathogens.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	This provision is being currently kept; however Swine Brucellosis has been a decreased concern.
9-3-2	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	Validated brucellosis-free swine herd	Amended	Jan. 1, 1966	47-608, 47-610, 47-657		Yes	Yes	Kansas ranchers and veterinarians would lose the codified standards of treatment consistent with USDA-acceptable methods for treatment.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	(above)
9-3-3	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	Plans for eradicating brucellosis from infected swine herds	Amended	Jan. 1, 1974	47-608, 47-610, 47-657		Yes	Yes	The Kansas swine industry would lose necessary treatment criteria for brucellosis in herds.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Requirement for testing to be done by a licensed veterinarian, by a state-federal cooperative laboratory, and reported to livestock commissioner for tracing.
9-3-4	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	Official program work and reports	Amended	Jan. 1, 1966	47-608, 47-610, 47-657	47-610	Yes	Yes	Kansas swine herds would lose centralized tracing of brucellosis positive herds with the Livestock Commissioner.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	
9-3-5	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	Quarantine	Effective	Jan. 1, 1968	47-608, 47-610, 47-657		Yes	Yes	Kansas would lose the protection of a quarantine in the event of an identified brucellosis positive herd. This regulation enables the livestock commissioner to quarantine and control the movement of animals in the event of an identified brucellosis positive herd.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Enabling regulation permitting the livestock commissioner to quarantine positive herds and regulate and monitor their movement in the event of a positive herd test for brucellosis.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-3-6	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	Definitions	Effective	Sept. 19, 2014	2013 Supp. 47-607d, 47-610, and 47-2101	2013 Supp. 47-607d, 47-610, and 47-2101	Yes	Yes	Definitions are necessary to understand for regulations. They give legal meaning to words and guidelines to Kansans who read them and rely on them.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	Chronic Wasting Disease definitions. (Cervids-Deer, Elk, Moose, Caribou, etc.)
9-3-7	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	Fees	Effective	Sept. 19, 2014	2013 Supp. 47-2101	2013 Supp. 47-2101	Yes	Yes	Allows Kansas to license and keep domesticated cervids once they have obtained the necessary license.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	Licensing fees for permitting domesticated cervids from 1-50 or more animals. Licensing and tagging is important for trace-ability in the event of exposure to a CWD positive cervid.
9-3-8	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	Records	Effective	Sept. 19, 2014	2013 Supp. 47-2101	2013 Supp. 47-2101	Yes	Yes	Record-keeping requirements to trace cervid movement within the state increasing security for Kansas ranchers and owners of domestic cervids alike aligns with interest of Dep't of Wildlife and Parks interest in tracing CWD in feral cervid populations as well.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	All information gathered is essential for tracing as well as understanding affected populations and possible origination locations for CWD outbreaks.
9-3-9	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	Certificate of veterinary inspection; importation and intrastate movement requirements and permits	Amended	Nov. 13, 2020	2019 Supp. 47-607, 47-607d, and 47-2101	2019 Supp. 47-607, 47-607a, and 47-2101	Yes	Yes	Ensures the safety of Kansas herds by requiring certification of cervids moving into the state.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	Ensures safety of Kansas herds by requiring imported cervids to indicate negative results before entry into Kansas.
9-3-10	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	Brucellosis	Amended	Sept. 19, 2014	2016 Supp. 47-610	2016 Supp. 47-610 and K.S.A. 47-614	Yes	Yes	Ensures Kansan's safety by ensuring that brucellosis positive herds undergo quarantine, depopulation, or complete a herd plan for eradication of brucellosis within the herd.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	Sets standards for remedying a brucellosis outbreak within a herd.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing statute, which implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-3-11	Article 3.-Swine	Tuberculosis and Cervids	Effective	Sept. 19, 2014	2013 Supp. 47-607d and 47-610	2013 Supp. 47-607, 47-610, 47-631, and 47-634	Yes	Yes	Adopts minimum standards for Kansas herds for compliance with USDA APHIS standards for Tuberculosis testing.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	Minimum standards of treatment for tuberculosis positive herds. Adoption of USDA definitions.
9-3-12	Article 3.-Swine	Confinement, handling, and health	Effective	Sept. 19, 2014	2013 Supp. 47-2101	2013 Supp. 47-2101	Yes	Yes	Sets minimum standards for the confinement, handling, and care for domesticated cervids in Kansas. Keeping domestic cervid populations secure from feral cervid contaminants.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	Ensures proper enclosure and handling of domestic cervids. Requirements that fencing keep domestic cervids in and feral cervids out, reducing the likelihood of outside pathogen contamination.
9-3-13	Article 3.-Swine	Escaped domesticated cervids	Effective	Sept. 19, 2014	2013 Supp. 47-610 and 47-2101	2013 Supp. 47-610, K.S.A. 47-614, and K.S.A. 2013 Supp. 47-2101	Yes	Yes	Ensures that safety of Kansas herds by requiring an escaped domesticated cervid to be reported within 48 hours.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	Sets standards for the re-capture or destruction of an escaped, domesticated cervid in the event that it escaped from a quarantined herd or constitutes a hazard to livestock by spreading disease.
9-3-14	Article 3.-Swine	Handling, care, treatment, and transportation	Effective	Sept. 19, 2014	2013 Supp. 47-610 and 47-2101	2013 Supp. 47-610 and 47-2101	Yes	Yes	Codification of APHIS-accredited veterinarian consistent with federal-program requirements.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	
9-3-15	Article 3.-Swine	Participation in the chronic wasting disease monitoring program	Effective	Sept. 19, 2014	2013 Supp. 47-610 and 47-2101	2013 Supp. 47-610 and 47-2101	Yes	Yes	Allows Kansans to participate in the "CWD monitoring program" which traces herd health for animals in the state and imported into the state. This ensures the health of Kansas herds.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	Requirements for participation in the Chronic Wasting Disease monitoring program.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-3-16	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	Program levels	Effective	Sept. 19, 2014	2013 Supp. 47-610 and 47-2101	2013 Supp. 47-610 and 47-2101	Yes	Yes	Gives Kansas herds a "herd status" level indicating the length of years they have been in compliance and the clean status of their herd.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	Incentivizes herds to only accept clean-herd animals and other participating herds by giving grades to the participating herds.
9-3-17	Article 3.-Swine Brucellosis and Cervids	CWD-infected herds	Effective	Sept. 19, 2014	2013 Supp. 47-610 and 47-2101	2013 Supp. 47-610, K.S.A. 47-614, K.S.A. 2013 Supp. 47-622 and 47-2101	Yes	Yes	Ensures the safety of Kansas herds by requiring reporting of any CWD positive animals within a herd and the disposal of infected carcasses.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services	Yes	Cervids, Equine, ~\$7000	Sets reporting requirements in the event of a positive CWD test within a herd as well as the euthanasia and disposal of the carcass of any CWD positive animal.
9-4-1	Article 4.- Disposal Plants	Definitions	Effective	Jan. 1, 1968	47-610, 47-1215	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansas's food-products safe by defining "inedible meat" and "decharacterization"	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	Swine (~\$7000)	Sets definitions for inedible and decharacterized meat-not for human consumption.
9-4-2	Article 4.- Disposal Plants	Inedible meats	Effective	Jan. 1, 1968	47-610, 47-1215	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Marks "inedible meat" not safe for human consumption and keeps Kansans safe by regulating the storage and marking of non-consumable meat.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	Swine (~\$7000)	Marks and defines storage of meat not safe for human consumption.
9-4-3	Article 4.- Disposal Plants	Handling of inedible meats; identification and decharacterization	Effective	Jan. 1, 1968	47-610, 47-1215	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Marks decharacterized meat not safe for human consumption, keeping Kansans safe.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	Swine (~\$7000)	
9-4-4	Article 4.- Disposal Plants	Records	Effective	Jan. 1, 1968	47-610, 47-1215	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Allows Kansans to track inedible or denatured meat through sales and record-keeping requirements.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	Swine (~\$7000)	Record-keeping for the sale, transportation, and end-point for meat not for human consumption.
9-4-5	Article 4.- Disposal Plants	Sales of inedible meats; registration	Effective	Jan. 1, 1968	47-610, 47-1215	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Allows for Kansas industries to buy and use inedible meat for non-human consumption.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	Swine (~\$7000)	Inedible meats permitted to be used in pet foods, must be adequately handled and registered with the livestock commissioner

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
9-4-6	Article 4.-	Disposal Plants	Exemptions	Effective	Jan. 1, 1968	47-610, 47-1215	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Exempts disposal plants who are participating in federal programs from state regulations.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	Swine (~\$7000)	Federally-inspected disposal plants are exempt from state regulations.
9-5-1	Article 5.-	Garbage Feeding	Movement or sale of garbage fed hogs	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-1304	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Ensures safety of Kansas foods by keeping "garbage fed hogs" under quarantine and tracing them through certification and permit.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Federal Agriculture Research Service (disposal)	No	Swine (~\$7000)	Restricts garbage and refuse fed animals to quarantine and restricts movement upon permit and certification for tracking and food supply-chain safety.
9-5-2	Article 5.-	Garbage Feeding	Receiving, purchasing or slaughtering animals fed garbage or slaughterhouse refuse	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-1304	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Makes it unlawful for a person to knowingly purchase or slaughter a garbage-fed animal.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Federal Agriculture Research Service (disposal)	No	Swine (~\$7000)	Makes it unlawful to purchase or slaughter a garbage-fed animal without a certificate or permit from the livestock commissioner.
9-5-3	Article 5.-	Garbage Feeding	Destruction of diseased swine; indemnity	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-1304	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Compensates Kansas for the destruction of diseased swine from vesicular exanthema so long as they follow regulations as to the sanitation of garbage and refuse cooking.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Federal Agriculture Research Service (disposal)	No	Swine (~\$7000)	Indemnity provision for owners of swine destroyed due to vesicular exanthema. (Must follow refuse and garbage cooking requirements for indemnity).
9-5-4	Article 5.-	Garbage Feeding	Feeding platforms and other feeding equipment	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-1304	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansan's livestock by limiting refuse feeding and setting standards for feeding equipment to increase sanitation.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	USDA APHIS VS	No	Swine (~\$7000)	Specifications for garbage feeding equipment
9-5-5	Article 5.-	Garbage Feeding	Disposal of materials removed from feeding platforms	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-1304	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Sets sanitation standards for the disposal of garbage-feeding equipment--protecting Kansans' health and safety.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	USDA APHIS VS	No	Swine (~\$7000)	Specifications for the disposal of garbage feeding equipment

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-5-6	Article 5.-	Veterinarian inspectors to supervise garbage and refuse feeding operations	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-1304	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Requires a certificate from the livestock sanitation commissioner or veterinarian supervising health of garbage-fed animals. Protecting Kansan's health and safety	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Veterinarian oversight and care of garbage-fed animals
9-5-7	Article 5.-	Heating requirements	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-1304	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansans safe by setting minimum heating standards for garbage and refuse to be fed to animals.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Refuse/garbage must be heated to boiling for 30 minutes minimum to be considered appropriate for feeding.
9-5-8	Article 5.-	Records	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-1304	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Allows Kansans to trace garbage-fed animals in order to ensure safety in the event of an outbreak.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Record-keeping requirements for refuse-fed swine.
9-6-1	Article 6.-Hog	Reportable disease	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-629	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansans safe by requiring Hog Cholera to reported when suspected or diagnosed.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Mandatory reporting of Hog Cholera.
9-6-2	Article 6.-Hog	Quarantine requirements	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-629	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansans safe by quarantining herds testing positive for cholera diagnosed by a veterinarian.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Mandatory quarantine for veterinary diagnosed positive cholera herds.
9-6-3	Article 6.-Hog	Supervision of quarantined herds	Amended	Jan. 1, 1970	47-610	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Ensures Kansas herd safety by keeping cholera positive herds under quarantine until released by the livestock commissioner	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	All herds with positive diagnoses for cholera under quarantine until quarantine released by the livestock commissioner.
9-6-4	Article 6.-Hog	Disinfection of premises	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-629	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Protects health and safety of Kansans by requiring disinfecting and cleaning of quarantining premises until evidence of infection has been eliminated.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Disinfecting requirements for quarantining premises.
9-6-6	Article 6.-Hog	Movement to slaughter	Amended	Jan. 1, 1970	47-610	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansas herds safe by setting manner of transport of animals from quarantine zones to slaughter.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Movement from quarantine zones to slaughter to avoid commingling of quarantined and non-quarantined animals.
9-6-7	Article 6.-Hog	Hog cholera modified live virus	Amended	Jan. 1, 1974	47-629, 47-653b	47-610, 47-1215	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by prohibiting the sale or use of modified live virus vaccines	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Prohibits modified live virus vaccines within the state.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-6-8	Article 6.-Hog Cholera	Inactivated hog cholera vaccines	Effective	Jan. 1, 1969	47-610, 47-629, K.S.A. 1968 Supp. 47-653b		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by prohibiting the sale or use of the inactivated hog cholera virus vaccine.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Prohibits inactive hog cholera virus vaccines within the state.
9-6-9	Article 6.-Hog Cholera	Vaccination with serum alone	Effective	Jan. 1, 1969	47-610, 47-629, K.S.A. 1968 Supp. 47-653b		Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansans safe by setting minimum standards for serum vaccination for hog cholera.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	Set standards for serum vaccination for hog cholera for swine shipped into the state.
9-7-1	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	General	Amended	May 1, 1982	47-607d, 47-610, 47-620	47-607	Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansas safe by monitoring the movement of livestock into and through the state.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	\$ 250,000	Sets standards for certification for livestock to move into or through the state.
9-7-2	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Health certificates	Amended	May 1, 1982	47-607d, 47-610, 47-620	47-607	Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansas safe by requiring livestock moving into or through the state to have associated health certificate. This limits the risk of commingling animals with contagious diseases.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Sets guidelines for the keeping and certification of livestock moving into or through the state.
9-7-3	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Livestock permits required	Amended	Jan. 23, 1998	47-607d, 47-610	1996 Supp. 47-607	Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansas safe by requiring health certification by a vet from the state of origin for animals coming into the state.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Requires permits for animals meeting specific criteria (location of origin, age, species, etc).
9-7-4	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Tuberculosis and brucellosis in cattle	Amended	May 27, 2016	2015 Supp. 47-607d, 47-610, and 47-657	2015 Supp. 47-607, 47-610, and 47-657	Yes	Yes	Ensures Kansan's safety by prohibiting the import of cattle which are not accompanied by a health certificate.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Sets standards for health certificate requirements. Findings by attending veterinarian for certificate.
9-7-4a	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Trichomoniasis in cattle	Effective	May 27, 2016	2015 Supp. 47-607d and 47-610	2015 Supp. 47-607 and 47-610	Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansas herds safe by setting quarantine standards for bulls exposed to trichomonas and their movement into and through the state. Also sets definitions for the section giving legal meaning to words in the regulation.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Defines and sets standards for the movement of cattle within or through the state from herds with positive trichomonas tests.
9-7-5	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Heifers for feeding and grazing	Amended	May 1, 1982	47-607d, 47-610, 47-620	47-607 and 47-610	Yes	Yes	Allows Kansans to bring heifers for feeding or grazing into the state with only a health certificate if under the age for testing. Sets up feed-lot quarantine requirements.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Allows heifers for feeding or grazing to be brought into the state for those purposes with only an accompanying health certificate

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understand the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-7-6	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Cattle; calves (heifers or bulls), and steers	Amended	Jan. 1, 1974	47-607d, 47-610, 47-620		Yes	Yes	Provides Kansans the ability to move heifers, bulls, steers over 2 and under 6 months of age with accompanying health certificate.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Allows cattle to be brought into the state under a certificate of health so long as no specific disease condition exists.
9-7-7	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Swine	Amended	Feb. 5, 1990	47-607	47-607 and 47-610 as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 16	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansans by setting standards for the import of Swine who are free from herds exposed to pseudorabies or brucellosis.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Sets standards for importing feeder, breeding, and all other swine. Sets the minimum testing requirements for each.
9-7-8	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Sheep	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-607d, 47-610, 47-620		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by ensuring that sheep imported into the state are from "scab free" zones.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Sets standards for import of sheep and treatment prior to entry into the state.
9-7-9	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Dogs	Amended	May 1, 1980	47-607d, 47-610, 47-620		Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansans and their animals safe by requiring that dogs entering the state be free of rabies symptoms and be vaccinated against rabies before entry into the state	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Requirement for rabies vaccination before entry of dogs into the state.
9-7-9a	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Cats	Amended	May 1, 1980	47-607d, 47-610, 47-620		Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansans and their animals safe by requiring that cats entering the state be free of rabies symptoms and be vaccinated against rabies before entry into the state	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Requirement for rabies vaccination before entry of cats into the state.
9-7-10	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Livestock for exhibition purposes	Amended	April 3, 1998	47-607d, 47-610	47-610	Yes	Yes	Permits moving livestock into the state so long as they are accompanied by a health certificate.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Allows livestock to be brought into the state for exhibition purposes so long as they are accompanied by a health certificate.
9-7-11	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Zoo animals, fur-bearing animals and other domesticated wild animals	Effective	Jan. 1, 1971	47-607d, 47-610, 47-620		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansans by requiring that all zoo or fur-bearing animals be accompanied by a health certificate before being moved into the state.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Protects people and animals alike from potential pathogens passing through contact by requiring proof of health prior to entry.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement of the federal agency name?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name?	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year?	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-7-12	Article 7.-	Buffalo or bison	Amended	Jan. 23, 1998	47-607d, 47-610, and 47-620	47-610 and 47-620	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by requiring a health certificate for Bison and Buffalo imported into the state. Further, prohibits free-roam buffalo from the Greater Yellowstone area from entering the state. This reduces risk of brucellosis among local cattle and buffalo populations.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Restrict the travel of bison and buffalo into the state unless accompanied by health certificate. Full ban on buffalo and bison from the Greater Yellowstone Park area.
9-7-13	Article 7.-	Goats	Effective	May 1, 1976	47-607d, 47-610, 47-620		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by restricting import of Goats without a health certificate.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Goats must have health certificate showing negative brucellosis and tuberculosis tests.
9-7-14	Article 7.-	Equidae	Amended	Jan. 23, 1998	47-607d and 47-610	47-610	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by restricting the import of Equine into the state without a health certificate as well as a proper identifier or tracing mechanism.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Equine brought into the state must have a health certificate and be properly identified through brand, tattoo, microchip, etc.
9-7-15	Article 7.-	Ratites	Effective	Jan. 23, 1998	1996 Supp. 47-607 and 47-607d	1996 Supp. 47-607 and K.S.A. 47-610	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by restricting the import of ratites (ostrich, emu, cassowaries, etc.) into the state without a health certificate and proper tracing marker.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Emus, ostriches, and other ratites may be brought into the state with certificate of health with proper tracing identifiers (brand, tattoo, microchip, etc.)
9-7-16	Article 7.-	Cervidae	Effective	Jan. 23, 1998	47-607d and 47-610	47-610	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by setting out requirements for the import of Cervidae (deer, elk, caribou, etc.) into the state.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Standards set out in K.A.R. 9-29-4.5, and 6.
9-7-17	Article 7.-	Camelidae	Effective	Jan. 23, 1998	47-607d	47-610	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by setting requirements for the import of Camelidae (camels, llamas, alpacas, etc) accompanied by a health certificate	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Requires health certificate and proper tracing identifiers (tag, tattoo, or other permanent identifier). Sets out requirements for health certificate.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-7-18	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Rodeo stock	Effective	Jan. 23, 1998	47-607d and 47-610	47-610	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas livestock and livestock in the state for rodeo exhibition by requiring a health certificate for bulls and horses entering the state for competition.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Requires negative tests for brucellosis in bulls and negative tests for equine infectious anemia in horses before health certificate may be issued and animal permitted into the state for competition.
9-7-19	Article 7.- Movement of Livestock Into or Through Kansas	Equine passport	Effective	March 15, 2002	47-607, K.S.A. 47-607d, and K.S.A. 47-610	47-607 and K.S.A. 47-610	Yes	Yes	Permits equine to obtain a passport for movement through the state upon proof that the animal is free of infectious, contagious, or communicable disease.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Sets standards for obtaining and allows for the movement of equine within the state with an "Equine Passport."
9-8-1	Article 8.- Livestock Feed Lots	Cleaning of premises	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-1505, 47-1506		Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansans safe by setting standards for the removal and disposal of feed lot manure.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Sets standard for the removal and disposal of feed-lot manure.
9-8-2	Article 8.- Livestock Feed Lots	Control of insects, rodents, and pests	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-1505, 47-1506		Yes	Yes	Protects the health and safety of Kansans and livestock by mandating the control of flies, rodents, and other pests.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Sets standards for the removal of flies, rodents, and other pests and proper methods of control.
9-8-3	Article 8.- Livestock Feed Lots	Location and construction of facilities	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-1505, 47-1506		Yes	Yes	Protects health and safety of Kansans by setting standards for the construction of feedlot facilities.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Standards for feedlot construction and surrounding structures.
9-8-4	Article 8.- Livestock Feed Lots	Drainage of feed lot	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-1505, 47-1506		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansan's health and safety by mandating proper drainage of feedlot runoff waters.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Mandates that feed lot runoff must be disposed of in an appropriate way preventing direct contact with streams and rivers.
9-8-5	Article 8.- Livestock Feed Lots	Veterinarian	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-1505, 47-1506		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by requiring an on-call veterinarian at all times for feed lot operation.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	24 hour on-call veterinary services in the case of a feed lot animal emergency, response to an outbreak, need for verification of tests, or information by the livestock commissioner.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-8-6	Article 8.-	Mechanical Livestock Feed Lots	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-1505, 47-1506		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansans from public nuisance by requiring feed lots to have the necessary, operable equipment for the disposal and hauling of manure	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Requirement for feed lots to have operational equipment in order to clear waste promptly decreasing the likelihood of nuisance complaints as well as increasing sanitation and overall health of surrounding stakeholders.
9-9-1	Article 9.-	Poultry and Hatching Eggs	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas flocks by banning the import of any poultry exposed to a list of communicable diseases under any circumstances.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Poultry - \$30000	Pullorum disease, typhoid disease, Newcastle disease, fowl plague, chronic respiratory disease, fowl cholera, infectious bronchitis, laryngotracheitis, infectious sinusitis, fowl pox, coccidiosis, ornithosis, tuberculosis, or any other infectious or contagious disease.
9-9-2	Article 9.-	Poultry for immediate slaughter; importation	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas consumers and flocks by ensuring that no fowl (chicken, turkey, waterfowl, etc) are brought into the state without an accompanying health certificate.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Allows for fowl to be brought into the state for immediate slaughter without accompanying health certificate.
9-9-3	Article 9.-	Turkeys under four (4) months; other poultry under five (5) months; poultry hatching eggs	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610		Yes	Yes	Allows fowl to be brought into the state without a health certificate under specific circumstances.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Allows fowl to enter the state without a health certificate, so long as they meet the age requirements and come from a flock participating in the national turkey or poultry improvement plan.
9-9-4	Article 9.-	Poultry importation permits	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610		Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansan's and their flock safe by only allowing poultry or hatching eggs to be imported with a importation permit from the livestock commissioner	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Requirement that anyone wanting to import poultry or eggs into the state must first obtain a permit from the livestock commissioner.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
9-9-5	Article 9.-	Poultry and Hatching Eggs	Health certificates; turkeys over four (4) months and other poultry over five (5) months of age	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610			Permits Turkeys to be brought into the state with appropriate health certificate	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Permits and sets standards for quarantine for turkeys entering the state.	
9-10-1	Article 10.-	Public Livestock Markets	Requirement for sale	Amended	May 1, 1982	47-610, 47-1009, 47-1010	47-607, 47-658b and 47-1008	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansans and their herds by setting disclosure requirements for market operators as well as veterinary inspections of livestock before sale.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Umbrella cooperative agreement with USDA ~ \$159,500 (all species combined)	Livestock market rules are currently being reviewed and revised. However, current market rules are still in place and effective for regulating the exchange of livestock from buyer to seller at public livestock markets. All livestock market regulations in Article 10 are currently being revised.
9-10-2	Article 10.-	Public Livestock Markets	Special sales at irregular intervals	Amended	May 1, 1982	47-610, 47-1010	47-607 and 47-1008	Yes	Yes	Protects property and livestock of Kansans by ensuring that the market operator is responsible for the proper sale and release of livestock.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-3	Article 10.-	Public Livestock Markets	Health certificates	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610		Yes	Yes	Protects purchasers in Kansas livestock markets and market participants by requiring that health certificates be made available to them in order to complete the sale in public livestock markets.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-4	Article 10.-	Public Livestock Markets	General inspection	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-607a, 47-610, K.S.A. 1965 Supp. 47-607		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas livestock by requiring general veterinary inspection of all livestock sold at a public livestock market.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-5	Article 10.-	Public Livestock Markets	Inspection of cattle	Amended	Jan. 1, 1971	47-610, 47-1009, K.S.A. 1970 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas livestock by requiring that cattle for sale be inspected by a veterinary inspector to be free of infectious or contagious disease (specifically lump jaw or cancer-eye carcinoma)	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above) Also sets out quarantine requirements for a detected scabies exposure at a public livestock market.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understand the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-10-7	Article 10.-	Inspection of sheep and goats	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, K.S.A. 1965 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas livestock by setting out the requirements for inspection, quarantine, and treatment of goats and sheep as well as a treatment of a suspected scabies exposure at a public livestock market.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-8	Article 10.-	Inspection of swine	Amended	May 1, 1980	47-610, 47-1009, 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas swine herds by setting the standards of inspection for swine being sold in public livestock markets and what information must be collected on the drive-in ticket or dock record	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-9	Article 10.-	Restrictions on sale of swine	Amended	Jan. 1, 1974	47-610, 47-1009, 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by mandating a 30-day quarantine on swine brought into the state before sale, in addition to an inspection by veterinary inspector.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-10	Article 10.-	Swine	Amended	Jan. 1, 1972	47-610, K.S.A. 1971 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas swine herds by setting identification requirements, an inspection by veterinarian, and meet the federal requirements before being transported to the state of destination.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-14	Article 10.-	Poultry	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, K.S.A. 1965 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Sets standards for sale of poultry in public livestock markets. Protects Kansas poultry population from communicable disease exposure and tracing at public markets.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-15	Article 10.-	Quarantine of diseased and exposed animals	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, K.S.A. 1965 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas livestock and market operators in the event that an animal needs to be quarantined or veterinary inspector has diagnosed a disease.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above) Also provides for treatment of quarantined livestock
9-10-16	Article 10.-	Limitation on sale of injured or physically defective livestock	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, K.S.A. 1965 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas livestock and end-consumers from Kansas livestock markets by allowing a veterinary inspectors to prevent the sale of an animal at public livestock markets.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing statute, which implementing statute, which change(s)?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-10-17	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Yard facilities	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, K.S.A. 1965 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas livestock and consumers from disease by setting standards for facilities providing sanitation and safety for livestock and handlers.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-18	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Limitation on use of public livestock market premises	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, K.S.A. 1965 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas livestock by limiting the feeding, holding, trading, or assembling of livestock in sale premises 24 hours before and after a sale	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-19	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Disinfection of public livestock market premises and vehicles	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, K.S.A. 1965 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansas livestock safe by requiring the disinfecting of public livestock premises.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-21	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Quarantine pens and facilities	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, K.S.A. 1965 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Keeps Kansas public livestock markets safe by requiring a separate pen facility for quarantined animals, locked with restricted access.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-22a	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Veterinary testing fees	Amended	May 1, 1980	47-610, 47-1008, 47-1009, 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas livestock markets by collecting fees for the payment of veterinary services required. Veterinary inspectors are essential for detecting injured, contagious, or otherwise unhealthy animals prior to offering for sale on public markets.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-23	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Regulatory fees	Effective	Jan. 1, 1966	47-610, 47-1011, K.S.A. 1965 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas by setting a fixed inspection fee for poultry and calves, colts, and suckling pigs when inspected as one unit with the cow, mare, or sow.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-24a	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Backtagging procedures at public livestock markets	Amended	May 1, 1982	47-610, 47-657, 47-658a, 47-1004	47-658a and 47-1008	Yes	Yes	Allows Kansas public livestock markets to trace animals by establishing back-tagging procedures to be conducted at public livestock markets.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-10-25a	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Procedures for use of testing forms, blood samples; payment to market veterinarian for testing	Amended	Jan. 1, 1974	47-610, 47-657, 47-658a, 47-1004, 47-1008		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds and public markets by creating procedures for the testing of brucellosis at public livestock markets	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-26a	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Brucellosis testing procedures and status determination for cattle; handling of exposed cattle	Amended	May 1, 1982	47-610, 47-657, 47-658a, 47-1004	47-658a and 47-1007	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansans by setting procedures for the testing, status determination, and handling of cattle exposed to brucellosis in public livestock markets.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-27a	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Procedures for handling brucellosis reactors and suspects	Amended	Jan. 1, 1974	47-610, 47-657, 47-658a, 47-1004		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas public livestock markets by setting procedures for handling reactors and suspects (quarantine or slaughter) in public livestock markets.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-30	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Swine rejected by the veterinary inspector	Effective	Jan. 1, 1972	47-610, K.S.A. 1971 Supp. 47-1010		Yes	Yes	Protects public livestock markets in Kansas by setting standards for the release of swine rejected by the veterinary inspector for regular sale. (quarantine or slaughter)	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Swine (~\$7000)	(above)
9-10-31	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Occasional livestock sale fees	Effective	Nov. 15, 1996	47-1001d, as amended by 1996 S.B. 659, § 2	47-1001d, as amended by 1996 S.B. 659, § 2	Yes	Yes	Offsets costs to Kansans for operation of Kansas public livestock markets by setting fees for occasional livestock sales.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Umbrella cooperative ~159,000 (all species)	(above)
9-10-32	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Exemption from occasional livestock sale requirements	Effective	Nov. 15, 1996	47-1001d, as amended by 1996 S.B. 659, § 2	47-1001d, as amended by 1996 S.B. 659, § 2	Yes	Yes	Exempts sales for junior exhibitors in county, state, or local public livestock markets (4-H)	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-33	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Livestock injured, disabled or unfit for sale	Effective	April 3, 1998	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 19, Sec. 2	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 19, Sec. 2	Yes	Yes	Definitions are necessary to understand for regulations. They give legal meaning to words and guidelines to Kansans who read them and rely on them.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-10-33a	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Electronic auctions; health certificates	Amended	Sept. 22, 2017	2016 Supp. 47-1008	2016 Supp. 47-1008	Yes	Yes	Allows Kansas public livestock markets to participate in electronic auctions by setting cost and form requirements for health certificates transferred electronically.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Umbrella cooperative funds (~195,000)	(above)
9-10-34	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Notice	Effective	April 3, 1998	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas public livestock markets and consignors by putting consignors on notice that animals may be inspected by a veterinarian before sale and agreement to the disposition of the animal.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-35	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Procedures	Effective	April 3, 1998	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas livestock markets and veterinary inspectors by setting procedures for the disposition of diseased or injured livestock and notice requirements to the owner.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-36	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Diseases or conditions that shall render livestock unfit for sale at public livestock markets	Effective	April 3, 1998	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas public livestock markets, herds, and end-consumers by defining diseases or conditions which render livestock unfit for sale.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-37	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Injuries that shall render livestock unfit for sale at public livestock markets	Effective	April 3, 1998	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas public livestock markets, herds, and end-consumers by defining injuries which render livestock unfit for sale.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-38	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Euthanasia	Effective	April 3, 1998	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	Yes	Yes	Protects the health and safety of Kansans and public markets by setting the standards and procedures for administering euthanasia in a public livestock market	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-10-39	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	Disposal of euthanized carcasses	Effective	April 3, 1998	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	1996 Supp. 47-1008, as amended by L. 1997, Ch. 129, Sec. 2	Yes	Yes	Protects sanitary conditions for Kansans and public livestock markets by setting a 6-hour time window for an owner to collect a carcass before disposal.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-10-40	Article 10.- Public Livestock Markets	License fees and renewals	Effective	Sept. 22, 2017	47-1001a and K.S.A. 2016 Supp. 47-1001e	47-1001a and K.S.A. 2016 Supp. 47-1001e	Yes	Yes	Offsets costs to Kansans by creating a licensing fee in order to oversee the management of health, inspection, disposal, etc. in public livestock markets.	Yes	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	(above)
9-11-10	Article 11.- Tuberculosis	Tuberculosis: uniform methods and rules	Amended	June 10, 2005	47-610 and 47-631	47-608, 47-610, and 47-631	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansan livestock by adopting the "uniform methods and rules" from the USDA-APHIS	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Umbrella cooperative funds from USDA ~ \$84,000 (cattle)	
9-12-1	Article 12.- Swine, Specific Pathogen Free Regulations	Definitions	Effective	Jan. 1, 1969	1968 Supp. 47-670		Yes	Yes	While Kansas has no active SPF designated swine herds, this regulation should stay in effect in the event that it is necessary to designate an SPF herd.	No	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Umbrella cooperative funds from USDA ~ \$7,000 (swine)	Kansas currently has no SPF facilities, but should the distinction be necessary, the regulation would allow for a herd to be accredited SPF.
9-12-2	Article 12.- Swine, Specific Pathogen Free Regulations	Laboratory SPF swine	Amended	May 1, 1982	47-670	47-669	Yes	Yes	Outlines procedure for accreditation of laboratory SPF pigs. Kansans may seek SPF accreditation under this regulation.	No	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Delegates inspection to state officials in order to gain national accreditation under SPF program
9-12-3	Article 12.- Swine, Specific Pathogen Free Regulations	Licensed laboratory approval	Effective	Jan. 1, 1969	1968 Supp. 47-670		Yes	Yes	Allows Kansas swine producers to get "SPF" accreditation from the National Swine Repopulation Association,	No	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	Participation in national accreditation program for Specific Pathogen Free designation for Kansas swine herds.
9-12-4	Article 12.- Swine, Specific Pathogen Free Regulations	Slaughter examinations	Effective	Jan. 1, 1969	1968 Supp. 47-670		Yes	Yes	Sets slaughter examination standards for SPF accreditation in the event that any Kansas swine herds sought that accreditation.	No	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	
9-12-5	Article 12.- Swine, Specific Pathogen Free Regulations	Health inspections	Effective	Jan. 1, 1969	1968 Supp. 47-670		Yes	Yes	Sets standards for veterinary inspection for SPF accreditation	No	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	
9-12-6	Article 12.- Swine, Specific Pathogen Free Regulations	Parasites	Amended	May 1, 1982	47-670	47-669	Yes	Yes	Allows for the suspension of SPF accreditation in the event that external parasites are found in the herd.	No	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-12-7	Article 12.- Swine, Specific Pathogen Free Regulations	Herd validation	Effective	Jan. 1, 1969	1968 Supp. 47-670		Yes	Yes	Sets standard that a swine herd shall be brucellosis free in order to be granted SPF designation	No	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	
9-12-8	Article 12.- Swine, Specific Pathogen Free Regulations	Accreditation suspension or termination	Effective	Jan. 1, 1969	1968 Supp. 47-670		Yes	Yes	Sets standard for the suspension of SPF status upon finding of certain diseases and requalification by sanitary commissioner	No	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	
9-12-9	Article 12.- Swine, Specific Pathogen Free Regulations	Accreditation status	Effective	Jan. 1, 1969	1968 Supp. 47-670		Yes	Yes	Sets standards for the re-certification and reaccreditation in the SPF program as well as the personnel.	No	No	N/A	No	No	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	
9-12-10	Article 12.- Swine, Specific Pathogen Free Regulations	Inspection personnel	Effective	Jan. 1, 1969	1968 Supp. 47-670		Yes	Yes	Sets personnel requirements and conflict of interest requirements for SPF inspection personnel.	No	No	N/A	No	No	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	(above)	
9-14-1	Article 14.- Livestock Dealers Registration	Definitions	Effective	May 1, 1984	47-607d, 47-610	47-607d, 47-610	Yes	Yes	Definitions are necessary to understand for regulations. They give legal meaning to words and guidelines to Kansans who read them and rely on them. Sets definitions for Article 14	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Umbrella cooperative ~\$159,000 (all species)	Livestock Dealers provisions set the registration, licensing fees, and record-keeping requirements for licensed livestock dealers within the state.
9-14-2	Article 14.- Livestock Dealers Registration	Registration; application; fee	Amended	Nov. 13, 2000	47-607d and 47-610	47-607d, 47-610, and 47-1805	Yes	Yes	Offsets costs to Kansans for the registration of livestock dealers within the state.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Yes	
9-14-3	Article 14.- Livestock Dealers Registration	Recordkeeping; violations	Effective	May 1, 1984	47-607d, 47-610	47-607d, 47-610	Yes	Yes	Protects Kansans and allows for the traceability of livestock sold in Kansas sufficient to track animals in the event of outbreak or emergency.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Yes	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-15-1	Article 15.- Livestock Brands	Single letters or numerals banned	Effective		47-418, 47-426		Yes	Yes	Protects Kansas herds by establishing a uniform tracing method (branding). Livestock brands may not be single letters or numbers	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Brands are becoming a less-frequent method of identification given technological advancements in tagging and tracking livestock. While branding is being phased-out, this provision remains in effect in the event that a Kansas farmer elects to brand for identification.
9-15-2	Article 15.- Livestock Brands	Ear marks; limited recognition	Effective		47-418, 47-426		Yes	Yes	Standard set that "ear marks" are not acceptable forms of identification.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-15-3	Article 15.- Livestock Brands	Brand locations	Effective		47-418, 47-426		Yes	Yes	Gives guidance to Kansas ranchers as to the locations on each animal to appropriately brand.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-15-4	Article 15.- Livestock Brands	Brand registration and renewal fees	Amended	Nov. 13, 2000	1999 Supp. 47-417	1999 Supp. 47-417	Yes	Yes	Allows Kansans to register their brand with the livestock commissioner and sets fee for licensing.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-15-5	Article 15.- Livestock Brands	Brand inspection fees	Effective	Dec. 29, 2000	47-426, K.S.A. 47-436, and K.S.A. 47-437, as amended by L. 2000, Ch. 111, §5	47-417a and K.S.A. 47-437, as amended by L. 2000, Ch. 111, §5	Yes	Yes	Sets fees for the reimbursement and mileage of brand inspectors to inspect Kansas rancher's brands.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-17-1	Article 17.- Pseudorabies in Swine	Definitions	Amended	Feb. 5, 1990	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 154, Sec. 14		Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove the clarity for Kansans that defined terms provide and reduce the ability to manage pseudorabies.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Though Kansas and the rest of the United States are classified at differing levels of "pseudorabies free" by USDA, these regulations are still necessary to frame a response in the event that pseudorabies is found and requires targeted management again.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for delegation of authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-17-2	Article 17.-	Qualified Pseudorabies in Swine	Amended	Feb. 5, 1990	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove Kansans ability to affirm that their swine herds are pseudorabies negative, which could have negative business consequences for producers.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Though Kansas and the rest of the United States are classified at differing levels of "pseudorabies free" by USDA, these regulations are still necessary to frame a response in the event that pseudorabies is found and requires targeted management again.
9-17-3	Article 17.-	Eradication of Pseudorabies in Swine	Amended	Feb. 5, 1990	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove requirements for herd owners of pseudorabies infected herds and will reduce the ability to manage pseudorabies.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Though Kansas and the rest of the United States are classified at differing levels of "pseudorabies free" by USDA, these regulations are still necessary to frame a response in the event that pseudorabies is found and requires targeted management again.
9-17-4	Article 17.-	Exhibition swine Pseudorabies in Swine	Amended	Feb. 5, 1990	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	No	No	Revocation of this regulation would remove requirements for owners exhibiting swine, which is an activity that presents an enhanced opportunity for disease spread. Removal of these requirements would reduce the ability to manage pseudorabies.	No	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Though Kansas and the rest of the United States are classified at differing levels of "pseudorabies free" by USDA, these regulations are still necessary to frame a response in the event that pseudorabies is found and requires targeted management again.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-17-5	Article 17.- Pseudorabies in Swine	Swine slaughter show	Effective		47-607d	47-607d, 47-608	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove protections for owners of susceptible animals shown at premises where swine are shown.	No	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Though Kansas and the rest of the United States are classified at differing levels of "pseudorabies free" by USDA, these regulations are still necessary to frame a response in the event that pseudorabies is found and requires targeted management again.
9-17-6	Article 17.- Pseudorabies in Swine	Change of ownership	Effective	Feb. 5, 1990	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove protections for buyers of breeding swine and allow potential for the spread of pseudorabies in breeding operations.	No	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Though Kansas and the rest of the United States are classified at differing levels of "pseudorabies free" by USDA, these regulations are still necessary to frame a response in the event that pseudorabies is found and requires targeted management again.
9-17-7	Article 17.- Pseudorabies in Swine	Monitored qualified feedlot breeding swine	Effective	Feb. 5, 1990	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove protections for owners of breeding swine and reduce the ability to manage pseudorabies.	No	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Though Kansas and the rest of the United States are classified at differing levels of "pseudorabies free" by USDA, these regulations are still necessary to frame a response in the event that pseudorabies is found and requires targeted management again.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
9-17-8	Article 17.-	Pseudorabies in Swine	Swine feedlot restrictions	Effective	Feb. 5, 1990	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	47-607d as amended by L. 1989, Ch. 156, Sec. 14	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would allow sale of swine animals from feedlots other than for slaughter or to another approved swine feedlot and would reduce the ability to manage pseudorabies.	No	No	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	Though Kansas and the rest of the United States are classified at differing levels of "pseudorabies free" by USDA, these regulations are still necessary to frame a response in the event that pseudorabies is found and requires targeted management again.
9-18-4	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Definitions	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	2016 Supp. 47-607d and K.S.A. 47-1712	2016 Supp. 47-607d and K.S.A. 47-1712	Yes	Yes	Kansans that fall under this would not know what requirements must be met without the definition section	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-5	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Importing dogs and cats	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	2016 Supp. 47-607, 47-607d, 47-610	2016 Supp. 47-607, 47-607d, 47-608, 47-610	Yes	Yes	Would allow spread of disease if no limitations on pet animal importation into Kansas	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-6	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Fees	Amended	Feb. 8, 2019	2018 Supp. 47-1721	2018 Supp. 47-1721	Yes	Yes	Kansans that fall under this would not know what fees they would be required to pay to obtain licensure	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-7	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Records	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	Kansans could be affected in the event of an animal disease outbreak if records were not required to be kept by facilities to facilitate traceability in that event.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
		Article 18.- Animal Facility Inspection Program- License and Registration Fees	Access to premises	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	The ability to inspect a licensed premises would be removed without this regulation in place. Therefore, we would be unable to fully enforce the rest of the KPAA rules and regulations without the ability to enter a premises and inspect.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-9		Article 18.- Animal Facility Inspection Program- License and Registration Fees	Inspections of premises	Amended	Feb. 8, 2019	47-1712	2018 Supp. 47-1709, K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 47-1733, and K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 47-1736	Yes	Yes	Similar to 9-18-8, this regulation serves as a guideline for the inspection process. Without it, Kansans that fall under the KPAA would not know what to expect as far as inspection intervals.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-10		Article 18.- Animal Facility Inspection Program- License and Registration Fees	General requirements for housing facilities	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	Revocation would lead to no minimum standards being in place for pet animal housing facilities. This would lead to some pet animals being inappropriately housed in poor conditions that would facilitate disease spread, pet health issues. Kansans would be affected by having to address these health issues when purchasing or adopting a pet, or even more significantly, when a disease the adopted/purchased pet has acquired is zoonotic.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-11		Article 18.- Animal Facility Inspection Program- License and Registration Fees	Additional requirements for indoor housing facilities and sheltered housing facilities	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	Revocation would lead to no minimum standards being in place for pet animal housing facilities. This would lead to some pet animals being inappropriately housed in poor conditions that would facilitate disease spread, pet health issues. Kansans would be affected by having to address these health issues when purchasing or adopting a pet, or even more significantly, when a disease the adopted/purchased pet has acquired is zoonotic.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
9-18-12	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Additional requirements for outdoor housing facilities	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	Revocation would lead to no minimum standards being in place for pet animal housing facilities. This would lead to some pet animals being inappropriately housed in poor conditions that would facilitate disease spread, pet health issues. Kansans would be affected by having to address these health issues when purchasing or adopting a pet, or even more significantly, when a disease the adopted/purchased pet has acquired is zoonotic.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-13	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Primary enclosures	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	Revocation would lead to no minimum standards being in place for pet animal housing facilities. This would lead to some pet animals being inappropriately housed in poor conditions that would facilitate disease spread, pet health issues. Kansans would be affected by having to address these health issues when purchasing or adopting a pet, or even more significantly, when a disease the adopted/purchased pet has acquired is zoonotic.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-14	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Cleaning, sanitization, and pest control	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	Revocation would lead to no minimum standards being in place for pet animal housing facilities. This would lead to some pet animals being inappropriately housed in poor conditions that would facilitate disease spread, pet health issues. Kansans would be affected by having to address these health issues when purchasing or adopting a pet, or even more significantly, when a disease the adopted/purchased pet has acquired is zoonotic.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing statute, which implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
9-18-15	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Compatible grouping	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	This protects Kansans' pet animals by limiting a facility's ability to place multiple animals in one area/kennel/cage that shouldn't be housed together due to a variety of reasons: illness, aggression, pregnancy prevention, etc.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-16	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Separation of animals by gender	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	Kansas taxpayers do not need to pay more money to address pet overpopulation issues when this regulation was intended to help solve one aspect of the pet overpopulation problem.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-17	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Feeding and watering	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	Without this regulation, there would be no requirement for healthy feeding and watering of pet animals in animal facilities. This could lead to health issues the potential pet owner (if buying or adopting an animal from that facility) or current pet owner (if boarding/training at a facility) would have to deal with, leading to monetary loss, time wasted, and emotional pain.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-18	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Contingency planning	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	Kansans may get stuck having to deal with problems/crises related to weather or building related events if the owner of the building does not have a plan in place when/if disaster or hardship strikes. Since live animals are involved, it can create a hardship on local communities to deal with a large number for live pet animals during an emergency.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
9-18-19	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Employees and volunteers	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	This protects Kansans from having a heavier workload than any one person is able to provide and is intended to ensure oversight to protect the health and safety of employees and volunteers while working around pet animals that are housed in a facility.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-20	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Age of animal	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	This protects Kansans from being able to adopt or purchase a puppy or kitten that is too young to be separated from its mother or not fully weaned. Given the emotional connection people develop with their pets, sending a pet home with a new owner before they are old enough to thrive on their own could be detrimental to the physical health of the animal and the emotional health of the new pet owner.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-21	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Adequate veterinary medical care	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	This protects Kansans by requiring pet animal facilities to use a licensed veterinarian, that has the knowledge and training to make medical decisions for pets that will be sold or adopted to the public.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-22	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Exercise	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	If revoked, most Kansans would find this unpalatable as there is a certain cultural expectation on how pet animals should be cared for and certain social opportunities provided while housed in a confined facility.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
9-18-23	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Transfer, movement, adoption, or other permanent relocation of feline immunodeficiency virus-positive cats	Effective	Dec. 20, 2019	2018 Supp. 47-610 and K.S.A. 47-1712	2018 Supp. 47-610 and K.S.A. 47-1712	Yes	Yes	This allows FIV positive cats to be adopted under the strict guidance and oversight of a licensed veterinarian. This regulation ensures potential owners are informed about the cat's disease prior to being allowed to adopt.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-24	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Animal breeders and animal distributors	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation is needed but would require a statutory change first.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-25	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Prohibiting the sale or gift of certain animals by pet shops	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1713	Yes	Yes	If revoked, Kansans lose the protection that comes from pet shops being required to post notices that reptiles carry zoonotic diseases that can cause serious to life-threatening illness to humans.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-26	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Animal research facilities	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	It would allow less stringent conditions at animal research facilities, which is generally not an accepted position by the majority of the general public.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-27	Article 18.-	Animal Facility Inspection Program-License and Registration Fees	Rescue networks	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712 and K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 47-1731	2015 Supp. 47-1701, K.S.A. 47-1704, K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 47-1710, K.S.A. 47-1712, and K.S.A. 2015 Supp. 47-1731	Yes	Yes	There would be no restrictions, regulations, guidelines that rescue networks would have to operate within as rescue networks are only addressed in regulation.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-18-28	Article 18.-	Pet animal foster homes	Amended	Feb. 8, 2019	47-1712	2018 Supp. 47-1701, K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 47-1704, K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 47-1710, K.S.A. 47-1712, and K.S.A. 2018 Supp. 47-1731	Yes	Yes	Limitations and requirements need to be placed on foster homes to prevent a good Samaritan from being overwhelmed and overworked in their desire to help animals by being a foster for a shelter or rescue. It places limits and protections on the number of and the condition of the animals the foster can house at any one time, as well as veterinary requirements, etc.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-29	Article 18.-	Mobile adoption facilities	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1704	Yes	Yes	Without the notice requirement, animal shelters or rescue networks could host adoption events anywhere, which could become a public nuisance. It would also hinder disease traceability efforts, if that ever becomes necessary.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-30	Article 18.-	Tethering of animals by boarding or training kennel operators	Effective	Nov. 17, 2017	47-1712	47-1712	Yes	Yes	The tethering of animals has a place in the training methods used by pet animal trainers but must be restricted because improper or prolonged use of tethering is an animal health and safety issue. Most Kansans want restrictions in place to protect pet health, safety, welfare.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-18-31	Article 18.-	Euthanasia methods; prohibition	Effective	April 29, 2016	47-1712	2015 Supp. 47-1718	Yes	Yes	If Kansans that fall under KPAA did not have guidelines for the humane euthanasia of pet animals, it could lead to pets suffering from less humane euthanasia methods.	No	In active use	N/A	No	No	N/A	No	N/A	
9-27-1	Article 27.-	Designation of infectious or contagious diseases	Amended	Aug. 19, 2016	2015 Supp. 47-610 and 47-1832	2015 Supp. 47-610 and 47-622	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove clarity about which infectious or contagious diseases animal diseases must be reported and would reduce the ability to stop the spread of those diseases.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-28-1	Article 28.-	Definitions	Effective	Jan. 23, 1998	47-607d and K.S.A. 47-610	47-610	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove the clarity for Kansans that defined terms provide and reduce the ability to manage brucella ovis.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	
9-28-2	Article 28.-	Brucella ovis tests	Effective	Jan. 23, 1998	47-607d and K.S.A. 47-610	47-610	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove Kansans ability to affirm that their herds are brucella ovis-free, which could have negative business consequences for producers.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	
9-30-1	Article 30.-	Notification	Effective	Jan. 23, 1998	1996 Supp. 47-607 and K.S.A. 47-610	47-622	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove the requirement for labs to report positive equine infectious anemia (EIA) test results and slow the tracing response for EIA, thereby allowing the spread of infectious diseases.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	
9-30-2	Article 30.-	Testing positive for equine infectious anemia	Effective	Jan. 23, 1998	1996 Supp. 47-607 and K.S.A. 47-610	1996 Supp. 47-607 and K.S.A. 47-610	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation will remove quarantine requirements for EIA positive animals and increase the risk of spreading EIA to other Kansans' horses.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	
9-30-3	Article 30.-	Infected equidae moving to another state	Effective	Jan. 23, 1998	1996 Supp. 47-607d and K.S.A. 47-610	47-608	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove identification requirements for EIA infected horses moving to other states and may increase the risk of spreading EIA to other states.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	
9-32-1	Article 32.-	Definitions	Effective	April 21, 2006	47-607d and 47-610	47-608 and 47-610	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove the clarity for Kansans that defined terms provide and reduce the ability to manage scrapie in sheep and goats.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	KDA is in the process of updating regulations relating to scrapie in sheep and goats. During that process, these regulations remain in effect.
9-32-2	Article 32.-	Identification requirements	Effective	April 21, 2006	47-607d and 47-610	47-608 and 47-610	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove identification requirements and significantly reduce the ability trace animals, which will significantly delay the response to a scrapie event.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	KDA is in the process of updating regulations relating to scrapie in sheep and goats. During that process, these regulations remain in effect.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the statute, which change(s)?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation	
																			yes/no
9-32-3	Article 32.-	Scrapie in Sheep and Goats	Movement of scrapie-infected or scrapie-exposed sheep and goats	Effective	April 21, 2006	47-607, 47-607d, and 47-610	47-607, 47-608, and 47-610	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove requirements for moving scrapie-infected or scrapie-exposed sheep and goats and could result in an increased risk of spreading scrapie to additional sheep and goat populations.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	KDA is in the process of updating regulations relating to scrapie in sheep and goats. During that process, these regulations remain in effect.
9-32-4	Article 32.-	Scrapie in Sheep and Goats	Movement into Kansas of sheep and goats intended for breeding	Effective	April 21, 2006	47-607, 47-607d, and 47-610	47-607, 47-607a, 47-608, and 47-610	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove limitations and requirements for moving breeding sheep and goats into Kansas and could result in an increased risk of spreading scrapie to additional populations.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	KDA is in the process of updating regulations relating to scrapie in sheep and goats. During that process, these regulations remain in effect.
9-32-5	Article 32.-	Scrapie in Sheep and Goats	Movement into Kansas of sheep and goats intended for slaughter	Effective	April 21, 2006	47-607, 47-607d, and 47-610	47-607, 47-608, and 47-610	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove requirements for identification and separation of sheep and goats entering Kansas destined for slaughter, which could reduce the ability to trace animals and delay the response to a scrapie event.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	KDA is in the process of updating regulations relating to scrapie in sheep and goats. During that process, these regulations remain in effect.
9-32-6	Article 32.-	Scrapie in Sheep and Goats	Exhibition sheep and goats	Effective	April 21, 2006	47-607d and 47-610	47-608 and 47-610	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove official identification requirements for exhibition animals, which have an enhanced risk of infection. Lack of official identification may reduce the ability to trace those animals and delay the response to a scrapie event.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	KDA is in the process of updating regulations relating to scrapie in sheep and goats. During that process, these regulations remain in effect.
9-32-7	Article 32.-	Scrapie in Sheep and Goats	Sheep and goats consigned to Kansas livestock markets	Effective	April 21, 2006	47-607, 47-607d, and 47-610	47-607, 47-608, and 47-610	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove identification and documentation requirements for animals consigned to livestock markets and may reduce the ability to trace those animals and delay the response to a scrapie event.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	KDA is in the process of updating regulations relating to scrapie in sheep and goats. During that process, these regulations remain in effect.

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							NECESSITY		POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION				TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS				ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	Is the rule and regulation being revoked?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing statute, which implementing statute, which change(s)?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	Could federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
9-32-8	Article 32.- Scrapie in Sheep and Goats	Recordkeeping requirements	Effective	April 21, 2006	47-607d and 47-610	47-608 and 47-610	Yes	Yes	Revocation of this regulation would remove recordkeeping requirements for Kansans that apply official identification. Without the current 5-year retention requirement, traceability could more easily be lost, and a response to a scrapie event could be delayed.	No	In active use	N/A	Yes	Yes	KDA Division of Animal Health; USDA APHIS VS	Yes	Annual Cooperative Agreement funds could be in jeopardy	KDA is in the process of updating regulations relating to scrapie in sheep and goats. During that process, these regulations remain in effect.